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Abstract  Manager’s professionalism reveals itself in taken decisions, correct goal-directed behavior, and high job performance. Sometimes successful goal-directed behavior is hindered by inner restrictions and contradictions that are the result of conflicting concepts within human consciousness: ideas, beliefs, values or emotional reactions reinforced by stress situations. So, a successful manager is going to be the one who applies a correct (adequate, appropriate) behavioral, emotional, or cognitive coping strategy under the circumstances. The ability to choose and to use the right strategy depends on a manager’s personality. This paper focuses on the study of pictopolygraphy as a method of assessment and prediction of managers’ abilities to perform effectively in a difficult situation.

Keywords  Pictopolygraph method, Managers’ personality traits, Coping behavior, In stress situations

1. Introduction

Personality traits of a manager determining his behavior, decision – making and decision implementing abilities let him finally become successful in the performance of his job. So far, there is neither clear understanding of the traits the personality of an effective manager should possess nor criterion of such traits evaluation.

Thus, in opinion of P. Drucker (2004)[1], the basic skills of effective manager are, first of all, ability for personal development and respect for an individual. The truth of the latter statement is obvious. Only a self-aware person can develop his strengths and eliminate weak points as well as persistently organize his behavior for better pursuing his own and organizational goals.

From experience of some efficiently-run US companies, striking results in people management can be achieved by charismatic leaders who are able to arouse people’s emotions (Thomas J. Peters and Robert Waterman Jn., 2005)[2] and sufficiently use communicational and interpersonal skills (Morehouse R., 1997)[3]. This idea is supported by D. Maister. Moreover, he presumes that it is possible to become an effective manager treating people as your equal, as professionals, caring about self-realization through achievements of your employees (Maister D., 2006).[4]

Woodcock, M. and Francis, D. (1991), the authors of limitation concept, outline 11 abilities necessary for every manager and crucial for professional success. They are: self-control ability, reasonable personal values, definite personal goals, focus on continuous personal development, problem-solving skills, inventiveness and capacity for innovation, high-level ability to influence other people, notion of modern managerial approaches, leadership ability, ability to train and develop employees, and ability to form and develop high proficiency working groups.[5]

Furthermore, in our opinion, it is not by coincidence that self-control ability or, in other words, emotional balance and ability to handle stress (Parkinson S. Northcott, Rustomji M. K., 1992)[6], comes first on the list. In our unstable world, where stress and uncertainty become everyday phenomena, it is really important to learn not to waste stamina and health but, on the contrary, to mobilize efforts to achieve the intended target by adapting to the current situation. This determines the professionalism of a manager.

It should be noted that researchers demonstrate a certain interest to the issues related to active, goal directed behavior determined by problem and crisis situations. At the same time, “Such research is carried out within the framework of cognitive-behavioral model of stress management behavior – coping» (2008)[7, p.4].

“Coping” may be defined as interaction between the personality and the stressful or crisis situation (Lazarus R.S., 1966)[8]. In general, we differentiate between adaptive and maladaptive coping strategies, which are understood as peculiar to a human being model of behavior in an unusual situation. Analysis of coping strategies of management students may help to reveal and when it is necessary, to correct key parameters of effective behavior of manager - emotional balance, motivation, self-organization, etc.

Here we rely on cognitive – affective theory of Walter Mischel (1973)[9]. The theory analyzes behavior shaping...
mechanism through interaction of cognitive-affective units and situational variables. The main cognitive-affective units are comprised of competencies and self-regulatory strategies (this is what a person can do and his strategies of performing his acts), coding strategy (method of comprehension and categorization of information), anticipation and warning of possible behavioral consequences, as well as goals, values, and emotional reactions (Mischel W., 1995)[10].

Thus, the paper considers probability to predict effective behavior of a manager in complex working environment on a basis of pictopolygraph method. To research stressful situations behavior strategies, we used pictopolygraphic equipment manufactured by scientific and production engineering firm “Medicom MTD” («Медиком МТД»), Taganrog, Russia.[11]

According to the above, the following hypotheses have been built:

1. There is a correlation between practical working experience in management and typical personal patterns of coping behavior.

2. Using only verbal tests and surveys to study the coping peculiarities as a personal trait of a manager is not enough due to their inability to gain a complete objectification of results and arising need for more detailed research in real situation.

3. Implementation of pictopolygraph method provides more accurate and complete information, saves time, and provides the possibility to develop an individual program aimed at correcting ineffective behavioral reactions of managers.

2. Method

2.1. The Method Description and Analysis

Pictopolygraph method unites and renews well-known psychological and psychophysiological research methods by integrating emotion rating scale into them. The scale helps to objectively differentiate personal value of stimulus according to the degree of consistency and force of reactions belonging to different physiological and pictographic signals, simultaneously registered in the process of experimental micro-modeling human activity.

The method is based on joint analysis of the trends of physiological data and behavioral activity parameters while a subject accomplishes specific tasks of diagnostic scenarios with special stylus on an electronic graphic pad. (2007).[11; 12; 13; 14; 15; 16; 17]

Tasks for diagnostic scenarios are grouped according to sense clusters. Allocation of tasks over time, averaging, specification, and sorting of integral indicators according to those sense clusters help to represent results in the form of “sense and emotional significance profiles” (fig.1).

![Figure 1. A fragment of test’s profile «Coping behavior in stressful situations»](image)

For interpretation of a sense and emotional significance profile, we take into account two main parameters – the “bar magnitude” and “reactions dispersion”, which are indicated by the horizontal (fig.2). During interpretation process, the correlation of these two parameters is basic for a researcher.

![Figure 2. Graphic representation of reaction force (M) and reactions dispersion (m)](image)
So, bar magnitude depicts «reaction force» (M) of a testee to the stimulus. In mathematical terms, this is a graphic and numeric representation of reaction average magnitude in one of X, Y or Z vectors or the integrated score in XYZ totality of the three vectors (Tytar A.D., Tytar E.T., Yershov V.I., 2009)[18], where:

Vector X – is a vector of nominally uncontrolled values on the basis of electroencephalograph signals. It may be interpreted as a cognitive element of “I think” reaction.

Vector Y – is a vector of nominally semi-controlled values on the basis of polygraphic signals of galvanic skin reaction, breathing, etc. It represents emotional “I feel” reaction.

Vector Z – is a vector of nominally controlled values on the basis of movement of electronic stylus on a pad, degree of pressure on a stylus while drawing or writing, time of delay from the beginning of the experiment till the beginning of drawing, and the time of delay from completion of drawing till proceeding to the next stage. It represents motor reaction and may be interpreted as “I do”.

Another parameter – «Reactions dispersion» (m) – represents the dispersion of reactions to the offered stimuli. In mathematical terms, this is an average error of average values on the basis of polygraphic signals of galvanic skin reaction, breathing, etc. It represents emotional “I feel” reaction.

It ought to be noted that left-side reaction most often shows that a testee feels confident in his answers while giving a greater dispersion (m) of reactions, while right-side reaction (less important to testee, unformed) – is a less intensive reaction (bar magnitude) with a greater dispersion – more than 50% of reaction force (mM).

Validity appears in an interpretation when we talk about the stability (typicality) of reaction to a presented stimulus. The smaller the reactions’ dispersion is, the greater will be the validity value. It is possible to assert they are of the same type and that is why less volatile. In terms of interpretation, this could indicate the “stability” of reaction during a certain period of time, until something can change reactions to the presented stimulus. And it is not always so when a highly intensive reaction has a validity value.

The force of reaction shows whether a testee gives a certain or an uncertain answer, and a small dispersion (m) shows that a testee feels confident in his answers while giving a greater dispersion (m) of reactions shows uncertainty of the answer. Force indicator (as we may suppose) determines the importance, significance of the topic to a testee (at the time of testing), and the dispersion of reactions - the degree of stability in relation to this topic.

From above said, we may conclude that testing with the pictopolygraphic equipment may detect even unconscious attitude to the tested areas. Assessment of unconscious predicate data is particularly important because they manifest both the true motivation and the true relation to all declared aspects of the investigated area. This becomes very clear during complex analysis of verbal testing results and their psychophysiological correlates.

In individual’s psychological space at a certain moment of time, there are various factors with different degree of importance, and among them we can single out:

1) unconsciously ignored moments, the cause of which may be, for example, irrelevance or incapability to achieve the goal etc.;
2) sensitive tense areas;
3) harmonious spheres that are apparently a kind of investigation and may be applied by each researcher individually.
reference points for an individual’s self-actualization. All of these areas have their own characteristics according to the results of not only verbal tests but also of pictopolygraphic analysis.

Hence, it follows that personality’s psychological space is more volumetric and ambiguous than it could be demonstrated only by the analysis results of verbal tests.

2.2. Participants

From 2011 till 2013, 70 management students and 25 managers of different levels and from various enterprises of Rostov Region (Russia) participated in the research.

3. Results

For diagnosis of behavioral activity strategies in stressful situations, we used "Coping behavior in stressful situations" technique (S. Norman, D. Endler, D. James, M. Parker, an adapted version by T. Kryukova) [21; 22] in a pictopolygraphic form.

For illustrative purposes, we are going to draw two examples of testing. One of the testees (Bv) has no experience in management, another (Kn) – has been working as a group leader for 5 years.

In 3a, 3b, 3c, 3d figures the results of Bv testee are represented.

According to the results of recorded sense and emotional reactions experienced in the process of answering questions related to the behavior orientation in stressful situations, we can observe a true right-sided force of reaction directed to avoidance on the orientation scale.

According to sense and emotional reactions while answering the questions on strategy types in stressful situation (fig. 3d), we record both true left-side reaction to adaptive coping strategies and right-side reaction to maladaptive ones. Moreover, a true left-side reaction was detected on Y-scale (i.e. on scale of emotional response in stressful situations).

Figure 3a. The result of verbal answers to the questions on orientation of behavior in stressful situations

Figure 3b. The result of verbal answers to the questions on type of strategies in stressful situations
Thus, as for this testee, we may assume that his emotional reactions are of disorganizing character in stress situations and he will be oriented at avoidance of such situations. According to the obtained data, Bv testee should be recommended to undergo a supplementary testing to reveal personality factors causing such results, and in case of necessity to retain this employee in staff, he should also be recommended to receive emotional stability training.

According to the results of the verbal answers (Figure 3a), the testee in his opinion is oriented 61% to problem solving and 39% to the avoidance of difficult situations. At the same time, 65% of his behavior corresponds to adaptive strategies (Fig. 3b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of sense cluster</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Validity</th>
<th>Correlation of modal components</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Avoidance-oriented coping</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoidance-oriented coping</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>p&lt;0.001</td>
<td>Y*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoidance-oriented coping</td>
<td>-7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem solving oriented coping</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>p&lt;0.01</td>
<td>Y* &gt; Z &gt; X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem solving oriented coping</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem solving oriented coping</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Problem solving oriented coping</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coping oriented at emotions</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coping oriented at emotions</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coping oriented at emotions</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coping oriented at emotions</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
<td>Z &gt; Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3c. Sense and emotional reactions while answering questions on orientation of behavior in stressful situation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of sense cluster</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Validity</th>
<th>Correlation of modal components</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adaptive coping strategies</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptive coping strategies</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptive coping strategies</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptive coping strategies</td>
<td>-4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maladaptive coping strategies</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>p&gt;0.05</td>
<td>Z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maladaptive coping strategies</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>p&lt;0.05</td>
<td>Z &gt; Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3d. Sense and emotional reactions while answering questions on strategy types in stressful situation

4a, 4b, 4c, 4d figures represent testing results of working manager’s coping behavior (Kn).

Figure 4a. The result of verbal answers to the questions on orientation of behavior in stressful situation
According to the results of verbal answers (рис. 4а), the testee in his opinion is 57% oriented to problem-solving and 43% to avoidance of challenging situations. Moreover, 60% of his behavior corresponds with the adaptive strategies (fig. 4b).

According to the recorded sense and emotional reactions during behavior orientation testing (fig. 4c), we can see on orientation scale both a true left-side reaction force directed to avoidance and a true right-side reaction force oriented at problem-solving. Analysis of sense and emotional reactions of the testee while answering the questions on strategy types in stressful situation (fig. 4d) demonstrates a true right-side reaction to adaptive coping-strategies and right-side reaction to maladaptive coping strategies. It should be noted that right-side reactions in the first case are presented on X and Y scale and in the second - on X axis.

Thus, it may be assumed that in stress situations despite notable assessment of his emotional reactions in X axis (fig. 4c), the prevailing behavior for Kn will be orientation at problem-solving. In connection therewith, the testee may be recommended to receive self-assertion and emotional stability trainings.

Thus, having considered the above examples, one may conclude that focus on verbal answers in questionnaires and disregard of sense and emotional reactions may lead to the
loss of highly proficient employees, while in-time correction of their abilities and self-concept will ensure their adaptive behavior and let them increase proficiency.

3.1. Discussion

On the basis of the conducted research, we drew the following conclusions.

Most testees with working experience apply maladaptive coping behavior strategies. However, in contrast to the managers without working experience, they also demonstrate more adequate assessment of their behavior particulars in challenging situations. This can be explained by the fact that management students have not faced stressful factors at work yet and their opinion is supported only by what they think they would do in a certain situation; however, the confirmation of the last statement requires a separate research.

Issues related to study of other personality traits and situational factors influencing mode of behavior not only in stress, conflict situations but also in everyday work routine, require special research as well.

Thus, present research proved the hypothesis of getting reliable and complete data on personality traits by implementation of pictopolygraph method. Moreover, the results gained due to this method may be useful in the process of building individual program of correction of managers’ ineffective behavioral reactions. The results may be of particular interest already in the training process of management students in college or in the process of individual’s adaption in the organization.

In general, it should be noted that further inquiry of pictopolygraph method usage for testing manager’s personality traits could be useful both from practical and theoretical points of view.

Theoretical value of similar researches may consist in the extension of knowledge of prospects of human behavior management by means of effecting some definite cognitive-affective units and situational variables, including stressful factors.

From practical point of view, research results may be useful for human resources management. Thus, after pictopolygraphic testing appears possibility to inquire into not only an individual’s past, but also into his plans for the future; as well as it significantly increases the capabilities of top managers for personnel selection, personnel monitoring, and control of employee’s loyalty. Such testing also helps to reveal hidden health problems, various types of addictions; it is also useful for the prevention of malfeasance.
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