Age, Growth and Reproduction of the Female Kutum, *Rutilus kutum* (Kamensky, 1901) (Teleostei: Cyprinidae), in Gorgan-Rud Estuary, Northern Iran
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Abstract  Fishes in the eastern part of the Caspian Sea experience a higher temperature and salinity, compared to those in the western part. This study was conducted on 66 specimens of female kutum, *Rutilus kutum*, from a migratory population to Gorgan-Rud River during March-May to compare it with the most studied western populations and to study the effects of these ecological factors on biology of kutum. The population comprised six age groups. The 5⁺ and 6⁺ age groups were dominant in the studied population. The sex ratio was 1:1.5 (M:F) that was significantly different from 1:1 ratio (p<0.05). The slope (b) of the fork length-weight regression line indicated an isometric growth (p>0.05). Relative and instantaneous growth rates decreased with age. The mean value of condition factor was 1.50 which was not correlated with age. Absolute fecundity varied from 13494 (7 years old) to 115177 eggs (8 years old). The average absolute and relative fecundity were 70300 and 51, respectively. The relationships of fecundity (F) with fork length (FL), ovary weight (Wo) and age (t) as linear equations. Fecundity with FL, W, Wo and age had positive and high correlations. The relationships of ovary weight with total weight and fork length were as a linear function. Egg diameter varied from 158 µm to 251 µm (mean=194±17). Gonadosomatic index (GSI) varied from 4.92 to 29.05 (19.42±4.53) during the reproduction period and its peak determined to be in the last week of April. It is concluded that these ecological factors could highly affect the kutum populations and compared to the western populations, the eastern population differed in a lower b value, a lower ratio of male:female, a lower absolute and relative fecundity and a higher egg diameter. This extends our knowledge on the biology of kutum populations in different areas of the Caspian Sea.
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1. Introduction  The kutum or mahi-ye sefid (= white fish, in Farsi), *Rutilus kutum* (Kamensky, 1901), is one of the commercially most important and valuable cyprinids of the Caspian Sea and distributed from Atrek River to Gorgan Bay in the southern part of the sea[1,2]. This fish is a true migratory or anadromous species which enters the rivers for spawning. Many workers[3-6] recognize two forms for this species; autumn form which enters the rivers in October to February, and spring form which enters the rivers in March to April. Recently, due to the destruction of rivers, natural spawning of the fish has reduced significantly, on the other hand, millions of hatchery reared fry are released annually[7]. Catching rate of the fish has fluctuated from 3500 to 8500 metric tons during 1991-97 in Iran and is increasing thanks to mass production and release of hatchery reared fry[8].
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factors on kutum.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sampling

Amongst 802 specimens of kutum caught in March-May, 66 ripe females at stages 4-7 of maturity were sorted out weekly. A seine net with 28 mm sac mesh, 1200 m length and 10-12 m height, about 2500 m from the shore in the middle of the estuary was used. Gorgan-Rud estuary is located in northern Golestan province and in the Caspian Sea basin. The specimens were fixed in 10% formalin and transferred to lab for further studies.

2.2. Ageing

Age determination was carried out using 10-15 scales collected from above the lateral line and anteroventral part of the dorsal fin as suggested by [18-20].

2.3. Biometry and Growth

Total length, fork length, and standard lengths were measured at the nearest 1 mm. The total body weight was measured at the nearest 0.1 g. The following formula was used to estimate the weight–length relationship [21,22]:

\[ W = aFL^b \]

where \( W \) is the total weight, \( a \) is a constant, \( FL \) is the fork length, and \( b \) is the slope of the growth curve.

The relative growth based on length and weight was calculated by the following formulae [20]:

\[ RFL = \frac{FL(t+1) - FL(t)}{FL(t)} \times 100 \]

and

\[ RW = \frac{W(t+1) - W(t)}{W(t)} \times 100 \]

The spontaneous growth in different ages were calculated as below [19,22,23]:

\[ G = \frac{\ln W(t+1) - \ln W(t)}{\Delta t} \]

To determine the growth pattern, and \( b \) value, Pauly's method [24], as suggested by [25] was used. For the condition factor, [27,28] formulae, as suggested by [28] was used:

\[ K_n = \frac{W}{FL^3} \]

2.4. Reproduction

The ovaries were taken out carefully from the abdomen and weighed using a digital scale to the nearest 0.1 g and kept in 7% formalin. The gonadosomatic Index (GSI) [29] or maturity index, an indirect method for estimating spawning period [30], was calculated for each day, by the following formula, where \( Gw = \) Gonad weight and \( Tw = \) total length:

\[ GSI = \frac{Gw}{Tw} \times 100 \]

To determine the fecundity and egg diameter, 0.2 g of eggs from the fore, mid, and hind part of the ovary were preserved in 5% formalin and carefully counted and generalized to the whole ovary weight. The egg diameter was measured using a scaled ocular with a magnification of 40 times. The absolute fecundity (total number of ova) was determined using the following formula, where \( nG = \) number of eggs in the subsample and \( g = \) weight of the subsample:

\[ F = \frac{nG}{g} \]

The relative fecundity (number of ova per unit of body weight or length), were calculated by the following formulae, where, \( R_L = \) relative fecundity based on the fork length, \( R_W = \) the relative fecundity based on the total weight, \( F = \) absolute fecundity, \( F_L = \) total body weight (g), and \( F_L = \) fork length (mm)[22].

\[ R_L = \frac{F}{F_L} \]

and

\[ R_W = \frac{F}{F_W} \]

2.5. Statistical Analyses

To determine the best fit for the relationships between fecundity and fork length, total weight and age, linear, binomial, power, and exponential equations were viewed [20,31]. Ratio of female: male in the clutch was determined and tested by Chi-Square. The t-test used for comparing means. All the calculations were carried out in Minitab 13.3 and SPSS 18.0 computer programs.

Figure 1. Frequency of different length classes of female kutum in Gorgan-Rud estuary

3. Results

3.1. Ageing

The females were divided into 6 age groups; 3+ to 8+ (Table 1). 1+, 2+ and higher than 8+ groups were not observed. In this study, 5+ and 6+ age groups were the dominant fishes. The oldest specimen was an 8 year old female. Amongst the 802 landed specimens, the sex ratio was 1:1.5 (Female:Male); 39% female versus 61% males and were significantly different (p<0.05) from 1:1 ratio.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age class</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3+</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4+</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5+</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>37.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6+</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>33.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7+</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8+</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Age group classes of female kutum in Gorgan-Rud estuary
3.2. Biometry and Growth

The average total, fork and standard length were 483.8, 439.5 and 417.2 mm, respectively. The range for the lengths is shown in Table 2. The average weight was 1326.9g. The range was between 468.6 (in a 345 mm fish) and 2281.7g (in a 490 mm fish). As shown in Fig. 1, the frequency of length class 444-473 mm is the highest. The relationship between fork and standard lengths was linear (Table 2). The weight-length relationship in the studied fish was as $W = 0.019 \text{FL}^{2.930}$ ($r^2 = 0.886$) (Fig 2). The mean weight of mature female is highly correlated with length. The $b$ value (2.930) was not significantly different from 3 which is indicative of an Isometric growth ($P>0.05$).

The highest relative growth rate was in the $3^+$ age group. The relative weight and length decreased by ageing. Despite the increased weight and length with ageing, the instant growth rate decreased. The average condition factor was $1.50\pm0.20$ (Table 3) and not highly correlated with age.

![Figure 2. Weight-length relationship of female kutum in Gorgan-Rud estuary](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2.</th>
<th>Total, Fork and standard length ranges and their relationships for kutum in Gorgan-Rud estuary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Range (mm)</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total length</td>
<td>350-585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fork length</td>
<td>320-535</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard length</td>
<td>300-500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3.</th>
<th>Mean of fork length, weight, and relative growth of different age groups of female kutum in Gorgan-Rud estuary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age classes (Year)</td>
<td>Fork length±SD (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3^+$</td>
<td>353.3±28.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4^+$</td>
<td>403.3±10.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5^+$</td>
<td>429.4±11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$6^+$</td>
<td>461.2±6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$7^+$</td>
<td>500±16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$8^+$</td>
<td>495±00.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3^+\text{-}8^+$</td>
<td>439.5±12.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. The mean of some parameters related to kutum in Gorgan-Rud estuary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age classes (Year)</th>
<th>Absolute fecundity ±SD</th>
<th>Egg diameter ±SD (µ)</th>
<th>Egg weight ±SD (g)</th>
<th>Ovary weight ±SD (g)</th>
<th>GSI ±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3+</td>
<td>5028±0.0000</td>
<td>179±0</td>
<td>3.00±0.00</td>
<td>151.0±0.00</td>
<td>14.63±0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4+</td>
<td>5285±14385</td>
<td>182±7</td>
<td>3.07±0.37</td>
<td>165.8±66.2</td>
<td>17.04±2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5+</td>
<td>6792±22385</td>
<td>189±15</td>
<td>3.66±0.68</td>
<td>256.0±111.6</td>
<td>19.56±3.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6+</td>
<td>7612±26370</td>
<td>203±18</td>
<td>4.24±0.64</td>
<td>315.5±1.08.2</td>
<td>20.32±5.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7+</td>
<td>75018±41849</td>
<td>203±11</td>
<td>4.67±0.25</td>
<td>344.6±189.2</td>
<td>18.10±8.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8+</td>
<td>115177±0000</td>
<td>211±0</td>
<td>4.32±0.00</td>
<td>498.6±0.00</td>
<td>23.69±0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+-8+</td>
<td>70300±25790</td>
<td>194±17</td>
<td>3.88±0.75</td>
<td>277.0±124.0</td>
<td>19.42±4.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Relationship of fecundity with fork length, body weight, ovary weight and age in kutum in Gorgan-Rud estuary

3.3. Reproduction

The mean absolute fecundity was 70300±25790, with a range of 13494 in 7 year old fish to 115177 in 8 year old fish. The relative fecundity was 50 per 1 g of body weight and 150 per 1 mm of fork length. The fecundity showed highly positive correlations with length, weight, and ovary weight (P<0.05), but a low correlation with age (Fig 3). The equations for these correlations are as below:

\[ Fe = 0.035 FL^{2.380} \] (\( r^2 = 0.69 \))

\[ Fe = 46.31 W_b + 9121 \] (\( r^2 = 0.84 \))

\[ Fe = 180.4 W_o + 21502 \] (\( r^2 = 0.87 \))

\[ Fe = 14088 t - 2139 \] (\( r^2 = 0.32 \))

The relationships of ovary weight with total weight and fork length is as follow:

\[ W_o = - 23.5 + 0.22 W \] (\( r = 0.90 \))

\[ W_o = - 634.4 + 2.052 FL \] (\( r = 0.67 \))

It appears that the ovary weight more correlated with body weight than fork length. The egg diameter was 158-251\( \mu \)
with an average of 194±17 and positively correlated with length, weight and age. Egg diameter increases with age. The gonadosomatic index varied between 4.92 and 29.05 in March–May and increased with age; the highest mean being in 8+ age group and the lowest in 3+ age groups (Table 4). The highest mean of GSI was in the last week of April, indicating the peak of the spawning period.

4. Discussion

In this study the age of the female kutum migrating to Gorgan-Rud river varied from 3+ to 8+ years, however, that could be due to using a 280 mm mesh size net which prevented catching age groups under 3 years. About 75% of the specimens were at the age of 5 and 6. The maximum age reported for this species is 10 years. References[13,32] in the same area found a similar age groups as in ours. Reference[33] reported the age groups for fish caught by the coastal seines in Guilan and Mazandaran provinces (western part), between 1+ to 8+; 12.8% of them being in the immature 1+ and 2+ age groups. Some of the 3+ individuals were also immature and comprised 6.7% of the total catch while in the last decades the 5+ and 6+ age groups were the dominant groups[34,35]. References[15,16] reported age classes 3-7 in the western part of the Caspian Sea.

The value of b in the length-weight equation was 2.930 indicative of an isometric growth. This results differ from that of[13] who calculated that as 3.1[33], as 2.86[15] and as 3.04 and concluded an allometric growth for this species in the western region. It is recommended to calculate the two sexes separately. The b value often equals 3 and ranges between 2.5 and 4.5. In fishes, like other animals, the weight fluctuation is higher than length and follows a power equation. Variation in the b value also depends on the different developmental and growth stages, age differences, ripeness, species, geographic status, environmental conditions, fishing season, stomach evacuity of the fish, diseases, and parasites[19,20]. Reference[3] showed that the slope of the length-weight regression line is not fixed and would change significantly by factors affecting the fish growth. Variation in growth is considered as an adaptation to different ecological conditions such as temperature and food quality and quantity[29,37].

The condition factor showed no regular trend with the age. In[32], the condition factor increased by age in females, but in our study was irregular and the highest value was for the 8+ age group. The differences in the condition factor might be related to different environmental conditions such as season, food quality and water system (lake vs. river) and interspecific variations. The highest value of instant growth, percentage of relative length and weight, occurred in the 3+ age group (Table 3) and regularly decreased with ageing. In contrary, in[32], the instant growth rate showed a great variation not containing in the growth laws.

The sex ratio in the migratory fish was 1:1.5 male to female which may not be indicative of the population in other stages of their life. Reference[32] calculated the ratio as 1:1.6, a figure very close to our findings. Reference[15] reported a ratio of 3.33:1 for the male:female in Tonekabon River, western part of the Caspian Sea. The overall sex ratio in most fishes is close to 1 but it may deviate in different age and size groups. Males are usually dominant in younger age groups because they reach the maturity earlier and have a shorter life span[29].

Reference[1] reported the average absolute fecundity as 106505 and the highest value as 198560 (in a 63 cm and 4 kg fish) and the lowest as 36200 (in a 38 cm and 840 g fish) and[38] estimated the absolute fecundity as 74774, both in the western part. The highest absolute fecundity in Gorgan-Rud estuary was 115177. The relative fecundity in Gorgan-Rud estuary was 51 eggs per 1 g of body weight, but it was reported as 49 in Anzali Wetland[1] and 58 in Havigh River[13], both in the western part. The relative fecundity, based on the fork length, was 150 eggs per 1 cm. Reference[1] reported this figure as 169 and[13] as 208. Weight fluctuation due to environment changes, season, spawning immigration, parasites and diseases can affect the fecundity and thus, the relative fecundity based on length should be used[39]. The minimum number of eggs per 1 g of eggs was 178 and the maximum was 430 and 208 on average. Our result shows a significant difference with[13] results in which he reported the range of egg weight as 205-430 with an average of 304 in the western part.

Most researchers such as[41-43] considered the relation between absolute fecundity and length as a third degree power equation. While some others such as[44,45] consider it as a square of length. A linear relationships between fecundity and weight was reported[46,47]. Reference[48] found a linear relationship between the fecundity and weight and concluded that fecundity is more correlated with weight rather than length of the females. However, some researchers argued that the correlation between fecundity and weight is not more than that of between fecundity and length, because the weight changes just before the spawning season[49,50]. Reference[47] believed that in fishes where b is about 3, the relationship is as a power equation.

Most researchers reported that the fecundity increases as ovary weight increases[51]. Reference[52] stated that in most fishes the egg number do not change significantly with season, but ovary weight causes increase in their volume and mass that is from consumed food or body tissue. Thus, there is disagreement on the effects of egg size on fecundity raised by[53] and followed by others such as[54]. However, some others such as[55] showed that eggs are not the only factors affecting fecundity, but the differences in fecundity of a species in different regions are related to genetic differences of different subspecies and it is affected by age, size, species, diet, season, environmental conditions and year. In general, bigger fish has a higher fecundity and the correlation of fecundity to weight in most fishes is more than that to length and age[56]. Our results indicated a significant positive correlation between fecundity and length, body and ovary weight. However, it differed in different age groups; older
5. Conclusions

In conclusion, the eastern populations of kutum differ from the western populations in a lower $b$ value, a lower ratio of male:female, a lower absolute and relative fecundity and a higher egg diameter mean. It’s been shown that these ecological factors could highly affect the kutum populations in different parts of the Caspian Sea that could be used for fishing, stocking and management purposes.
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