Review the Repeated Bout Effect in Resistance
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Abstract  When we conducted a new series of exercises particularly eccentric, have different responses at both the performance and as well as in indirect markers of muscle damage, especially in untrained or not used to this type of exercise. But it is also evident that even when the exercise is performed for a period of time, their results are attenuated primarily with regard to the muscular damage, compared to the first session. This adaptation has been reported in the literature as Repeated Bouts Effects (RBE). The RBE has been approached in various ways is kind of contractions, actions, intensity, repetitions, speed of execution, muscle groups. The duration of the protective effect is also one of the aspects discussed which is being processed from days to months, but the rest of the effect appears to be influenced by the magnitude of muscle damage in the initial session. Theories of the mechanism of neural adaptations, cellular and mechanical, are presented and responsible for RBE, although these theories require further investigation. The purpose of doing this review is to demonstrate the importance that the magnitude of muscle damage RBE is the most efficient intervention prescient.
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1. Introduction

There is a consensus in the literature that performance of strenuous resistance exercise bout, results in damage to muscle tissue due to mechanical and metabolic factors[1],[2]. The extension of muscle damage is dependent on the manipulation of acute training variables, such as: intensity, volume, rest interval, muscle action, contraction velocity, and range of motion, choice and order of exercises[3]. With greater magnitude show in exercises that emphasize high-intensity or volume eccentric muscle actions[4]

The muscle damage is characterized by disruption to the extracellular matrix, basal lamina, and sarcolemma of muscle cells, resulting in the release of intracellular proteins into bloodstream[5],[6].

From the functional point of view and perception, the muscle damage can promote changes in neuromuscular performance, resulting in delayed onset muscle soreness (DOMS); symptoms that can be present several days after resistance exercise bout[7],[8],[9].

Thus, studies use eccentric exercises (especially controlled by isokinetic equipment) to induce muscle damage, and the analyzes are obtained by indirect measurements over time post resistance exercise bout[6],[10]. Among these, the increase in subjective perception of DOMS, creatine kinase (CK) activity in blood and decreased of muscle strength are commonly used to determine the magnitude of muscle damage[11],[12].

However, the performance of a single eccentric exercise bout can protect the skeletal muscle, decreasing the magnitude of indirect markers of muscle damage in subsequent repeated eccentric bouts. This phenomenon is referred in the literature as repeated bout effect (RBE)[13],[14]. The exact mechanism of the RBE is not fully understood, however, the neural, mechanical and cellular adaptations, or a combination thereof, has been hypothesized as a possible adaptive mechanism of muscle protection [14],[15],[16]. The possible adaptive mechanism after isokinetic eccentric bout, has been reported last more than 6 months, but diminished between 9 and 12 months, when assessed untrained men[17]. In addition, previously only two maximal voluntary isometric contractions can confer a protective effect on muscle damage markers after eccentric exercise bout in untrained men.

In this way, methodologically the majority of RBE studies assess untrained and/or detrained individuals for a minimum period of 6 months, in order to avoid the protective effect muscular[18]. On the other hand, symptoms arising from muscle damage persist even in athletes and/or well-trained individuals, although in a lesser degree than untrained individuals[9].

Considering this possibility, the present study aim to review and discuss the papers that investigated the RBE in resistance-trained population. Were searched and selected articles indexed in PubMed and High wire, with relevance to the theme proposed using the words: muscle damage, repeated bout effect, resistance trained, and eccentric
authority.

**The RBE and adaptive mechanisms**

The RBE refers to the protective effect of a single training session with eccentric component observed in other subsequent sessions[19],[14],[20],[21],[22],[23],[24],[25]. The RBE is characterized by a rapid recovery mechanisms spoiled by all MTAs. That is, the muscle strength and power, the restriction on range of motion, sensation of DOMS and plasma concentrations of cytokines such as CK, LDH, troponin I, myoglobin and fragments of myosin heavy chain (MHC) found in high concentrations the bloodstream post-workout, are often used as indirect markers of muscle damage arising thereof[26],[27].

**The RBE and the theory of mechanisms of adaptation**

The adjustments related to RBE are characterized as neural, molecular and mechanics order. A lot of studies have clearly demonstrated that RBE is sustained by all of his theories, however, there is no consensus between the theories and proposals.

The first theory proposed is neural adaptation, according to[14], which is supported by two possible mechanisms, the increased recruitment of motor units of slow contraction and activation of more motor units.[15]. Changes in motor unit activation between repeated bouts were examined by electromyography (EMG) in humans[28],[29]. Theoretically an increase in the amplitude of the EMG relative to torque production in the repeated session indicates contractile stress redistribution among a large number of fibers. This effect is evident with the eccentric strength training[30],[31]. Conversely, a decrease in the frequency of the EMG signal in the session repeated theoretically indicate a change for the recruitment of motor units slow contraction and / or increase the synchronization of the motor unit. There was no evidence of a change in EMG amplitude between repeated sessions in eccentric hamstring muscles[29] of the tibialis anterior[28]. However, the median frequency was decreased in the session repeated for the tibialis anterior muscle and this effect was attributed to increased recruitment of slow-twitch motor units[28]. Alternatively, this effect could be attributed to the increased synchronization of the motor unit. That effect would be indicative of a neural adaptation to a single bout of eccentric exercise.

However, although the results of[28] are the first direct evidence of a neural adaptation for a single eccentric exercise session, it is evident that the effect of repeated session can occur independent of a neural adaptation[32],[33]. The RBE has been demonstrated in electrically stimulated eccentric contractions, in rats performed, in tibialis anterior muscles[32] and more recently in human elbow flexors[33]. In humans, the initial series of electrically stimulated eccentric contractions results in severe loss of strength increase the angle of the elbow relaxed reduced the angle of the elbow flexed, increasing the circumference of the arm, increase muscle thickness in ultrasound images, elevated serum CK activity and myoglobin concentration and muscle pain. Following a repeated series of the same stimulation protocol 2 weeks later, the responses had significantly in all eight markers lesions. The authors concluded that "the involvement of the central nervous system in RBE is minimal, and peripheral adaptations play a more important role." However, not alluded to any specific peripheral adaptations.

A second theory is mobile, according[14], which is supported by three possible mechanisms to add longitudinal sarcomere: for adaptation to maintain the coupling Excitation - Contraction (EC) and inflammatory responses by adjusting the eccentric contractions[15]. Morgan (1990)[34], shows that the muscular damage is irreversible due to the tension during the sarcomere eccentric contractions and, in particular, muscle contractions at lengths on the descending limb of the length’s voltage curve. Countless animal studies[35],[36],[37] and voluntary contractions in humans[38],[39], has shown that muscle length during the eccentric contraction is the critical factor in determining the extent of muscle damage. And consequently large contractions of muscles result in large elongated symptoms of damage.

Based on the theory of voltage sarcomere muscle injury[34] predicted that the results of the repair process of increasing the number of sarcomeres connected in series and this serves to reduce the voltage during an attack sarcomere repeated thereby limiting myofibrillar disruption. Theoretically, the lost of strength after a session of eccentric exercise could be due to an inability to voluntarily activate motor units secondary to pain or injury, physical disruption of structures that generate power or a failure to activate intact structures in the generation of force within the fiber muscle (EC coupling). McHugh et al.[40] and Saxton & Donnelly[41] support the voluntary activation of the motor unit is not considered damage after eccentric exercise. Warren et al[42] mentions that the lost of strength appears to be the combination of physical damage and decrease in EC coupling.

The injury in eccentric contractions is due to a mechanical disruption of myofibrils. This initial damage triggers a local inflammatory response that leads to an exacerbation of damage before signs of recovery. These events can be referred to as primary and secondary damage. Mitigate a series of repeated inflammatory response may reflect an adaptation to avoid the proliferation of myofibrils’ mechanical disruption. The reduction of the inflammatory response for a session of repeated eccentric exercise may simply reflect the fact that there was a small break in the series repeated mechanical and therefore a lesser degree of stimulation in the inflammatory response.

The third theory is the mechanics, according to[14], which is supported by factors of possible mechanisms, increased muscle stiffness and dynamic passive[15].

Muscle damage has been described as the material fatigue typical ductile material subjected to a cyclic tensile load[43]. It is believed that the lesion induced by eccentric contraction starts with a mechanical rupture of myofibrils. It follows that an adjustment serves to protect against injury which might change the mechanical properties of the musculoskeletal
system[15]. Material fatigue refers to a structural failure caused by the accumulated tension and is distinct from the fault caused by applying a voltage only material that exceeds the tensile strength. A ductile material by traction experiences plastic deformation before failure, in contrast to a brittle material without deformation prior to failure. Skeletal muscle is a ductile material and its behavior during repeated eccentric contractions is consistent with the fatigue of materials[43]. Another important factor is the intermediate filament, where the length-tension curve is determined by myofilaments overlap that is a function of sarcomere length[44],[45]. During the stretch of the sarcomere, eccentric contractions are highly non-uniform, with some maintaining sarcomere length, while others are stretched beyond the point of overlap of filaments[46],[34]. This stretch of the sarcomere has been referred to as "snap"[34]. When a sarcomere is stretched beyond overlapping filaments ("popped"), greater reliance is placed on the passive support of eccentric contractions keeps a generate "popping". By the cyclic stress when placed structures Passive following support of eccentric contractions keeps a generate "popping". These elements are referred to an intermediate filament protein desmin and consist of vimentin and sinemina[47],[48]. Intermediate filaments are responsible for maintaining the structural integrity of the sarcomeres in series and parallels,[47],[49]. Through the power flow within skeletal muscle can be increased by the intermediate filament system[49],[50], demonstrated that the intermediate filament system provides a link between areas to bypass damaged and maintain output power Serial. While this may be beneficial to maintain power production during eccentric exercise, the final effect may be to raise subsequent damage. When sarcomeres are stretched beyond myofilament overlapping the intermediate filament system, this must bear the burden of repeated charge and subsequent contraction will result in mechanical failure of the intermediate filament system.

Thus the aim of this study is to discuss the mechanisms responsible for RBE in trained men.

When we started a new training program, the effect of first practice makes feel muscle aches for several days after the first session. This effect also applies to when increasing your training volume or intensity, or doing a different training or not used. DOMS, loss of muscle function, changes in surrogate markers of CK, Mb, PGE are typical symptoms of muscle damage, specifically induced by eccentric contractions[51]. A minor pain or no pain is experienced when we repeat the same or similar exercises within a few days or weeks or even months, reducing DOMS these results, which we call RBE[51],[52].

Already[53], mentioning that when comparing the second session with the first session is less DOMS and muscle function recovery is faster.

The RBE is characterized by a lower swelling muscle, increases or smaller discrete markers of muscle damage to blood, CK, PGE and Mb,[19],[54].

Studies have shown that the interval between the first and second session can vary from 48 hours to 2 days[55],[56] until 9 months[17].

The damage and muscular exercise eccentric

Muscle damage after a session of high intensity and / or volume eccentric exercise different or unusual is characterized by disruptions ultrastructurally specifically, protein degradation, inflammation and increased cytoskeletal proteins in the bloodstream[7],[57]. Countless markers indicate the presence of muscle damage. The most common are: muscular strength, muscular pain late onset, range of motion, circumference, blood creatine kinase activity, indirect markers of collagen degradation, the median frequency of the EMG signal[5],[12]. The most common research method for the analysis of muscle damage induced by exercise is realized through indirect measures[12].

There are few data on muscle injury induced by acute concentric and eccentric exercise[7],[58],[59] considering the same absolute loads and there is no consensus among the results. Hollander et al.[60] have shown that eccentric contractions when performed with the same load to which absolute concentric contraction, has a less pronounced damage of the markers.

### Table 1. Description of studies eccentric training and muscle damage and effect of repeated bouts - RBE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AUTHORS</th>
<th>POPULATION</th>
<th>MUSCLE GROUP</th>
<th>PROTOCOL</th>
<th>TIME INTERVAL BETWEEN SESSIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Howatson et al. (2007)</td>
<td>16 mens</td>
<td>Elbow flexors</td>
<td>3 x 15 repetitions</td>
<td>14 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Howatson &amp; Someren (2007)</td>
<td>16 mens</td>
<td>Elbow flexors</td>
<td>3x15 repetitions</td>
<td>14 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falvo et. al. (2007)</td>
<td>31 resistance trained men</td>
<td>Bench Press</td>
<td>100 eccentric actions of 70% 1RMconc.</td>
<td>14 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloomher et. al. (2007)</td>
<td>36 healthy men</td>
<td>Bench press</td>
<td>10x10 using 70% 1RMconc</td>
<td>14 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falvo et. al. (2009)</td>
<td>11 resistance trained men</td>
<td>Bench Press</td>
<td>100 repetitions (10 sets of 10 repetitions)</td>
<td>14 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Among these markers, creatine kinase (CK) has often been used because the increase and greater magnitude relative to other enzymes and proteins, especially at low cost compared to other analyzes[5]. In contrast, however markers of muscle damage using the methodology of intramuscular proteins in the bloodstream is limited, requiring a balance between what is released and it is filtered, namely the muscle cells into the blood and excreted by the kidneys respectively[7].

In eccentric muscle action, the ability of muscle strength is generated approximately twice higher compared to the isometric action. However when compared to the predominantly concentric action the energy cost and the isometric action. However when compared to the number of motor units activated are smaller[61]. Armstrong et al,[62] Faulkner et al,[63], mentioning that this reduction in the number of activated motor units creates more muscle fibers by mechanical stress, which causes muscle damage and DOMS.

The DOMS is characterized by discomfort and / or pain in the skeletal muscles that occurs hours after exercise practice. The pain is manifested initially between 8 and 24 hours post-workout and has its peak between 24 and 48 and disappears 5-7 days[64].

**Muscle damage and RBE**

The symptoms of muscle damage have been defined after a recovery period of an initial session, both concentric and eccentric contractions. The mechanism of this effect is still uncertain, the duration, many authors have investigated the effect that occurs after the initial session, and the period ranging from 24 hours up to a period exceeding 6 to 9 months.

Their benefits are found in a short period ranging from 24 hours[65] and up to six months. Nosaka et. al[18] and Mair et. al[21] investigated the adaptation to eccentric training that occurred within 4 to 13 days after a training session. Twenty-two male subjects (20-26 years old) were divided into two groups (A - 4-day interval between sessions; B - 13 days apart). Each group held a session of eccentric training (7 sets of 10 maximal eccentric contractions of the quadriceps) with the right leg and the left leg acting as controls. The level of DOMS felt after training sessions was measured using a questionnaire (scale of 1 = no pain to 10 = maximal pain). The results showed that 24-48 hours after the initial training session, the highest levels of pain have been reported (7.5 to 8). Pain decreased over the following days. Furthermore, although the muscular pain was felt in group, an achieved lower levels in comparison with the first training session (5), unlike group B, which had no manifestation of pain. This suggests that, after forming eccentric, even when the recovery period between training sessions is not sufficient (based on the study, 4 days) there is a protective effect expressed by a reduction in DOMS after a second session of eccentric training.

Sorichter et. al[66], using similar methodology to that of[21], investigated the effect of three different frequencies formation during the initial phase of training eccentric. They analyzed muscle strength, delayed onset muscle soreness, serum CK, fragments of myosin heavy chain (MHC) type I fibers and fragments of troponin before and 4 and 7 days after the first training. The same procedure was applied after a second training session. Thirty subjects were males (21-25 years old), and were divided into three groups (A, B and C). One group the series were applied once a week (5 days), group B series carried out twice weekly (2 weeks) and group C series carried out three times a week (3 weeks). Results show that markers of damage was attenuated after the last training session, especially in groups A and B. The RBE also occurred in group C, however, the levels of delayed onset muscle soreness remained are elevated after the second training session. This suggests that during the initial phase of eccentric training, 1 or 2 days eccentric training per week are sufficient to maintain muscle adaptation, while forming with three sessions per week, can minimize this effect in a more extreme. Saka et. al[67] compared in their study, performance on different muscle groups, reporting that the magnitude of muscle damage is greater and the recovery is slower after eccentric exercise maximum knee flexor than extensors knee to sedentary men. Chen et al.[68] suggested that when the arm muscles also worked, are more susceptible to muscle damage to the leg muscles, but that knee flexion is more susceptible to muscle damage than the knee extensors.

**What factors influence the RBE?**

Many studies are mostly exercises elbow flexors, and its magnitude attenuating muscle damage occurs in the second session. However, other muscle groups such as the knee extensors and flexors corroborate with the RBE[69],[70]. Among them, we can mention the performance and indirect markers of muscle damage, which we discuss below.

**Performance and RBE**

A study by[71] reported that no significant difference between among the four groups studied, but the MIF decreased significantly (p <0.01) approximately 60% of pre-exercise level immediately after ECC1 and recovering around 70% baseline 3 days after ECC1 for all groups without significant difference (p> 0.01) between groups. Although there was a small additional reduction (P <0.05) immediately after MIF ECC2 groups to 100% (56.1%), 90% (54.5%) and 80% (51.2%), MIF was recovered to the same level as the control group after ECC2 for all groups on the next day. In another study the same[72] showed a decrease in MVC after ECC1 were significantly lower (P <0.05) for 30 eccentric actions compared to 50 and 70 eccentric actions. There weren’t significant differences between groups 50 and 70 (P = 0.24), and groups 50-50 and 70-70 (P = 0.26). Immediately after ECC2, MVC decreased significantly (P <0.05) in the groups 30-30 (5.3%), 30-70 (12.5%), 50-50 (8.2%), and 70-70 (9.1%) and the magnitude of the decrease of the MVC 30-30 for the group was significantly (P <0.05) lower than the other groups. Despite the fall in the immediate post-ECC2 MVC, MVC was recovered to the pre-ECC2, in the next day, and there weren’t significant differences between the 30 and 30-30 (P = 0.31), 50 and 50-50 (P = 0.26),
and 70 and 70-70 groups (P = 0.17) were evident. Changes in MVC after ECC2 were not enough (P = 0.91) between groups 30-30 and 30-70. Corroborating these data[73] reports that there was a significant effect for MVC (F1, 14 = 26.6, P <0.001) showing a reduction of torque in session 2. The post-hoc analysis showed a significant reduction of MVC comparing the second to the first session in the LI at 48 and 96 h (P = 0.006 and P = 0.045, respectively). Furthermore, a significant effect (F1, 14 = 10.5, P = 0.006) was demonstrated in ROM. A significant interaction was also observed and post-hoc analysis showed the LI group showed a significant reduction in restriction of ROM at 48 and 96 h session 1 for session 2 (P = 0.026 and P = 0.022, respectively). In addition, there was a greater constraint on the ROM CL group after session 2 in 48 h than in the LI (P = 0.037). No differences were found between the CL’s grades group. Howatson et. al[74] all time-dependent variables showed a significant effect (p <0.001). There is a significant effect (F1, 4 = 23.1, p <0.001), indicating a higher CK efflux in session 1 than session 2. Pain was higher in the session 1 than in session 2 (F1, 14 = 14.4, p. = 0.002) was also observed with post hoc analysis showing DOMS to be significantly higher in group 1 for attack 45ECC than 10ECC 45ECC group and also higher in group 45 and group ECC-45ECC 10ECC 45ECC-in session 2 (P <0.001).

Interestingly, the group 45ECC-45ECC showed the greatest reduction in DOMS from session 1 to session 2, corresponding to ~ 70%. MVC. Furthermore, the group 45ECC 45ECC showed a 13.2% difference between series 1 (fall of 18.3%) and 2 (5.1% decrease). A significant attenuation in the ROM 2 in the attack was observed (F = 5.3, p = 0.037). Falvo et. al[75] report that the magnitude is small and does not show significant differences, was not clear and the RBE.

Nosaka et al.[17] showed that falls in the initial maximal isometric force increases with increasing numbers of actions of eccentric 2 (20%) 6 (33%) and 24 (56%). This loss of strength seems to be the result of muscle damage, fatigue and not only because the force was not recovered 24 h after exercise. It is also possible that exists some differences in adaptive responses to the RBE when comparing different muscle groups or joint movements, something recently described for a single session of eccentric exercise[68]. Eston & Starbuck[76], reported in their study that the degree of strength loss (p <0.05) in the ipsilateral group after the second session of eccentric exercise was -22% cf.-3% for session 1 and 2 24 h, respectively. Loss of strength after eccentric exercise was also attenuated (p <0.05) at 24 h in group contralateral (-30 cf. 1 and 13% for the second session, respectively). Muscle pain (34 cf. 19 mm) and changes in RAA (≈ 5 cf. 3%) were also lower after the second attack eccentric exercises (p <0.05), although there was no difference in the overall change in these values between groups . A similar reduction in MF between sets for the two groups provides evidence for a neural adaptation.

### 2. Indirect Markers, Damages of Muscle Pain and the RBE

The studies of surrogate markers show results that contribute to determining the RBE. The indirect markers of muscle damage in trained individuals[73], demonstrated a significant attenuation of CK after session 2 compared to session 1 (F 1.14 = 28.5, P <0.001). There were no significant differences between groups in session 1, but CK showed lower significance in the LI group compared to the CL attack at 96 h 2 (P = 0.002). Muscle pain was significantly higher in session 1 than in session 2 (F1, 14 = 34.5, P <0.001). Pain was also attenuated in the second session compared to session 1 in the CL group at 48 h (P = 0.007). Moreover, there was less pain after session 2 in 48 h in IL than the CL group (P = 0.009). Bloomer et. al[77] showed that an effect of time was observed for CK activity (P <0.0001) together with values peaking at 24 hours post-exercise (317 ± 29U • L -1) compared to pre-exercise (139 ± 29U • L -1). Creatine kinase activity was significantly higher than the pre exercise at 24 and 48 hours post-exercise (P <0.05), corroborating these studies The study by Falvo et. al[78], support the hypothesis that the RBE is absent in resistance trained men. And yet supported due to the lack of significant differences observed between sets for all other markers of muscle damage, with the exception of the effect observed for the perception of muscle pain. In the absence of an RBE for any measure variable, it is possible that adaptations associated with the RBE are already present in the exercises of resistance force trained men[77].

Contrasting these studies[55], demonstrated that both the DOMS as CK, had no significant differences in RBE, with an interval of 2 days between the first and second session corroborated with this study. Nosaka & Newton[7] showed that performing the same eccentric exercise 2 days after the initial series does not affect the recovery of muscle function responses of plasma CK activity and development of DOMS. Similarly[56],[72], reported that the second session of maximal eccentric exercise of the elbow flexors performed 3 days after the first attack did not affect changes in indicators of muscle injury. It should be noted that the exercise intensity in these studies was between 50 and 80% of the maximum power, and the present study used eccentric exercise of higher intensity for the first (100%) (80-100%) the second session. ECC1 resulted in significant reductions in MIF and ROM, increasing the CIR, muscle thickness, and intensity, blood markers of muscle damage (CK, LDH, Mb), and development of DOMS for all groups. These changes were similar to findings from previous studies in an exercise protocol similar to this study. It should be noted that the study subjects were athletes and used a high load. Nosaka & Newton[7] investigated the concentric or eccentric contractions of the elbow flexors, applying a load of 50% of 1 RM (3x10 reps) for both modes of contraction, saw a more significant increase in delayed onset muscle soreness during
eccentric contractions. And also Nosaka & Newton[7] study showed that submaximal concentric contraction (50% of maximal isometric force), produces changes indirect markers of muscle damage and CK DOM), which, although less pronounced than those found in maximal eccentric contractions, still show changes five days after the workout in such intensity.

Paschalis et. al[79] reported no significant difference between DOMS high intensity (12 sets of 10 repetitions of maximal eccentric contractions) and low intensity (continuous eccentric contractions at 50% of maximum torque cam) with eccentric exercise of the quadriceps, where the total work was the same in the two exercise protocols. This was supported by this study Uchida et. al[80], which confirmed that the intensity of muscle contractions was not a major factor determining the magnitude of DOMS. Therefore, we can assume that the total volume, rather than the intensity, determines the magnitude of DOMS.

3. Conclusions

Definitely understand the mechanisms of adaptation, their applicability and results of RBE will require much research and studies to elucidate these aspects. There are so many variables that guide the RBE study, such as different types of people, different exercises since its intensity, volume, induction for being eccentric, concentric, or submaximal maximum interval between sessions, finally. Allied to all these prerequisites, are the complexities of neural mechanisms, cellular and mechanical, its association, direct or indirect markers of muscle damage. The relationship between muscle damage and RBE is not new, but needs further research in order to clarify and understand the mechanisms of exercise-induced muscle damage, which does not have answer.
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