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Abstract

About 40% of the Indian chromite ore reserves are suitable for metallurgical purpose due to higher Cr:Fe ratio. The present investigation emphasized on the liberation and beneficiation characteristics of Indian chromite ore to improve the Cr:Fe ratio. The off grade chromite sample of Sukinda region, India analysed 40.8% of Cr₂O₃ with Cr:Fe ratio of 1.7 and 5.8% of SiO₂ which was not suitable for metallurgical industry. Detailed characterisation studies were carried out to predict the theoretical limits to improve the Cr/Fe ratio. Mineralogical investigation by using QEMSCAN studies revealed that chromite, hematite, goethite, Fe Silicates and kaolinite are dominating phases in the ore. Half of the Fe was present in the chromite spinel, whereas other 30% and 20% were reported from silicates and iron minerals, respectively. It was predicted from characterisation that, product can be enriched to 2.48 Cr:Fe ratio by discarding the silicate/locked silicate, Fe silicates gangue minerals whereas product quality can be upgraded maximum to 3.58 of Cr:Fe ratio by separating all iron bearing minerals. The beneficiation studies revealed that, the product can be enriched to having Cr:Fe ratio of 2.31 and 3.35 by gravity concentration (wet shaking table) and high intensity magnetic separation (Induced Roll Magnetic Separator) respectively. So the high intensity magnetic separator (Induced Roll Magnetic Separator) was suggested for the quality improvement of the off grade chromite ore of Sukinda region, India.
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1. Introduction

Chromite ore is the main source for chromium metal, chemical and refractory. Metallurgical usability of these ores depends on the Cr: Fe ratio besides the Cr content. Forty percentage of Indian chromite reserves are suitable for metallurgical use (Cr:Fe>2.8), 33% for refractory making (Cr:Fe of 2.8-1.8) and 27% for chemical industries (Cr: Fe <1.8)[1-2]. There is heavy demand for metallurgical grade chromite ore due to rapid growth of steel industry and limited availability of such high-grade ore resources. Hence, it has become imperative to improve the Cr/Fe ratio along with Cr content of low/medium grade ore resources[3-4].

Various attempts have been made to improve the Cr/Fe ratio of Indian chromite ores. The Cr/Fe ratio of chromite concentrate was investigated by Murthy et al. and found that it can be improved up to 2.8 by using wet high intensity magnetic separator or gravity concentration equipment such as wet shaking table[5]. The Cr:Fe ratio of the two off grade ferruginous chromite sample from India was improved from 1.85 to 3.0 and 10-30% recovery by high intensity magnetic separator[3]. The ultrafine fraction (below 38μm) of tailing at Karagedic chromite concentrate of Turkey assaying 23% Cr₂O₃ was treated in wet high intensity magnetic separator and column flotation for producing the concentrate assaying 46-48% Cr₂O₃ with an recovery of 60-65%[6]. Similarly the magnetic separation technique was employed for Anka chromite ore which resulted improvement of Cr₂O₃ to 48% with Cr:Fe ratio of 6.2 from the feed assaying 36.84% Cr₂O₃[7].

Significant work has been reported in the literature to improve Cr:Fe ratio of the low/off grade chromite. A thorough physical, chemical, and mineralogical characterisation must precede the beneficiation of chromite ore to improve the Cr:Fe ratio. In most of the works reported above, the chromite samples were not relatively rich in Fe content. In the present study, chromite sample of low Cr:Fe ratio was taken up for detailed characterisation to predict the separation and subsequent beneficiation studies for validation.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

The sample was collected from a typical chromite beneficiation plant of Sukinda region, India. The sample contain 40.75% of Cr₂O₃, 15.6% of total iron, 10.8% of alumina, 5.8% of silica, 10.7% of MgO, 3.4% of CaO, and
5.4% of loss on ignition (LOI). The material is of ferruginous in nature as it is having Cr/Fe ratio of 1.7.

2.2. Chromite Sample Characterisation

Characterisation studies were carried out to quantify the different mineral phases, their liberation and association with each other. The size analysis of the ore sample was carried out using wet vibratory laboratory sieve shaker. Size wise chemical analysis of the feed sample was carried out by ICP (Inductively Coupled Plasma) analyser. The X-Ray diffraction study was carried out to identify different mineral phases present in the feed sample. Sink and float studies of chromite sample were also carried out by using different organic liquids having specific gravity 2.8, 3.3 and 4.03 at different proportions.

QEMSCAN (Quantitative Evaluation of Minerals by Scanning Electron Microscopy) is an automated advanced technique for the rapid characterisation of mineral species and relationships in polished samples by scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry (SEM–EDS). The technology is widely used in the mining industry and is gaining increasing recognition in other areas of research which gives the quantitative modal mineralogical data to trace mineral levels, calculated chemistry, mineralogical association and liberation data, elemental deportment with mineralogical map of the sample [8-9]. Similar studies were carried out by using mineral analysis to predict the performance of different operations [10-14]. Mineralogical characterisation of the chromite sample was carried out by using QEMSCAN 4300 and iExplore software used for data analysis.

2.3. Beneficiation Studies

Separation studies were carried out to validate the predicted result obtained from the advanced characterisation. Gravity separation studies were carried out using laboratory scale shaking table (laboratory model No. 15 S, supplied by M/s The Deister Concentrator Company Inc., USA). It has single deck of rectangular form with 350 x1000 mm, with linoleum as surface material. It is partially riffled, with riffles parallel to the deck motion. The riffles have 5 mm height on the feed end with decreasing height from the feed edge to the concentrate edge. The gap between riffles is 12 mm. The detail regarding the equipment along with experimental procedure was summarised in previous publication [15]. Wash water flow rate was varied by keeping the other variables such as solid concentration at 20% solids by wt., 15 mm of shake amplitude, 200 cycles/min. of shake frequency, deck tilt angle of 4 degree and splitter position at 25 cm from the concentrate end were kept constant.

A laboratory model induced roll magnetic separator (manufactured by Readings of Lismore, Australia) was used for the test work. The main process variable such as applied current was studied which maintain the magnetic field strength between the magnetic pole and the rotor whereas the other process variables such as roll speed of 120 RPM, feed rate of 150Kg/hr. and splitter position were kept constant.

The results of beneficiation studies are discussed in the next section.

3. Results and Discussion

The results of the characterisation and beneficiation studies of the chromite sample are discussed in this section.

3.1. Characterisation Studies

The size distribution of the chromite sample was analysed and given in Figure 1. The feed material is of coarser in size range by varying between 850 to 75 μm. About 80% of total mass having the particle size below 300 μm and 50% is of less than 160 μm. About 25% of the material is having size below 75 μm. Result of the size wise chemical analysis of the feed sample is tabulated in Table 1. From Table 1, it is observed that at intermediate size fraction (250-37 μm), the concentration of Cr₂O₃ is maximum and lower value i.e. 33.5% is reported at finer size (<25 μm). Similarly, the Fe content is minimum at intermediate size interval and highest reported at below 25 μm size fraction. It can be noted that the Cr₂O₃ and Fe are present in inverse to each other at different size fractions which indicates the amenability of the separation of the gangue minerals. The alumina content is uniformly distributed at all size fractions whereas the silica content decreases with particle size.

Table 1. Size wise chemical analysis of the chromite ore

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size (μm)</th>
<th>Cr₂O₃ (%)</th>
<th>Fe(%)</th>
<th>Al₂O₃ (%)</th>
<th>SiO₂ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt;500</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500-250</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250-150</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150-75</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-45</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-37</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37-25</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;25</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Size analysis of feed samples.
XRD pattern of the chromite sample is presented in Figure 2 and the minerals are identified by X-Pert High Score software. From the Figure 2, it is observed that along with the chromite, different gangue minerals such as hematite, goethite, kaolinite, gibbsite, quartz are present in the sample. The sink fractions of the heavy liquid studies with different organic liquids by varying the density in between 2.8 and 4.03 was analysed and presented in Figure 3. From Figure 3, it can be observed that for achieving Cr:Fe ratio of more than 2.8, the Cr₂O₃ content should be higher than 50% in the product. It can be also presumed that the chromite sample contains huge amount of near density minerals such as goethite, hematite, etc.

3.2. Mineral Analysis by using QEMSCAN

The mineral characterisation study of the sample was carried by using QEMSCAN. The particle view and modal mineralogical analysis of the head sample are depicted in Figures 4 and 5 respectively. It can be noted that, concentration of free chromite minerals is high in coarser fractions (>75µm) whereas free iron and silicates grains are more at size <25µm. Inverse relationship between chromite and iron in different sizes support the presence of iron in the form of different iron bearing minerals which showed that about 70% (by weight) of the sample was chromite particles whereas about 20% of the total mass was iron bearing minerals such as goethite 7%, hematite 3%, kaolinite 2% and iron bearing silicates (olivine, pigeonite, etc.) of 11%. Gibbsite and silicate minerals (quartz) are of 1% and 2% respectively. So, it is observed that 10% of the gangue minerals (hematite and goethite) are of near density minerals.

The separation or beneficiation of the minerals is generally depending on the liberation of chromite and other gangue minerals. The main objective of the present investigation is to improve the Cr:Fe ratio of the product by separating iron bearing gangue minerals. In this context the presence of Fe in different mineral phases in the ore was analysed and presented in Figure 6 which envisaged that about 50% of the Fe reported from the chromite minerals and other 50% distributed in hematite, goethite and Fe silicate minerals. Chromite was deported in various different combinations of spinels of (Cr,Fe,Al,Mg and O. Cr₂O₃) in pure chromite varies between 48 to 61 %weight, Al₂O₃ is 7.10–15.09 %weight, whereas Fe₂O₃ is very low (0.03–3.20 %weight). The amount of RO to R₂O₃ varied within a narrow limit of 0.98–1.13, indicating that the chromite was chemically balanced.
bearing minerals decreased which could be difficult for the selective separation of iron bearing minerals from chromite. It is also noted that, very negligible amount (~5%) of hematite grains present in the free form. A minor quantity (each about 15%) of goethite is in the liberated form and interlocked with chromite.

The iron present in the samples can be classified in three categories (a) free iron minerals (b) iron present in chromite minerals and (c) iron associated with gangue minerals. The most important was deportment of iron to improve the Cr/Fe ratio and reported that iron was deported in four major phases (goethite, hematite, Fe silicates and chromite). Half of the Fe was from the chromite spinal and remaining from the iron minerals as well as in iron silicates. From the characterisation studies, the separation of iron bearing minerals and the projected Cr:Fe ratio was predicted and shown in Figure 7. It is observed that, Fe content of the product (Product 1) can be reduced to 12.48% and correspondingly Cr:Fe ratio improved to 2.41 by discarding the silicate gangues and silicate bearing minerals such as olivine. Since the silicates and iron bearing silicates are having density less than 3gm/cc and also there is a difference in specific gravity of silicate bearing minerals and others, so the separation can be simulated by the gravity concentration. Similarly, all the iron bearing minerals are separated from the chromite than the Fe content of the product (Product 2) can be reduced to 7.8 and the Cr: Fe ratio was 3.58. The separation results can achieved by magnetic separation where iron bearing minerals and chromite particles separated by exploiting the magnetic susceptibility between the minerals.

3.3. Beneficiation Studies

It was evident from the detailed characterisation studies that, iron bearing minerals can be separated and consequently the Cr:Fe ratio of the chromite can increase. By keeping this as objective, test work was carried out in gravity concentration by using the wet shaking table and magnetic concentration by high intensity induced roll magnetic separator. The details of the test results are described further.

It was found that the silica interlocked with iron mineral grains which can be separated from the higher density chromite minerals using the gravity separation methods. Wet shaking table (wilfley table) is a very basic unit operation which is carried out to evaluate the feasibility of gravity separation in mineral beneficiation. The result of the test work is depicted in Figure 8. From the beneficiation of the chromite ore by wilfley table, it is observed that the Cr_2O_3 can be improved to 48.9% from the feed assaying 40.8% with Cr: Fe ratio of 2.31. The Cr: Fe ratio has varied between 1.7 to 2.3 as there is an increase in the wash water flow rate. The recovery of Cr_2O_3 in the concentrate fraction is varied from 24.3% to 50.32%. The recovery (%Cr_2O_3) of concentrate has decreased as there is an increase in the wash water flow rate. It can be possible that the low density gangue minerals such as quartz, gibbsite, kaolinite, Fe silicates etc. having below density 3gm/cc. were effectively separated at this condition. But the major iron bearing minerals are reported to the concentrate fraction along with chromite due to its lower concentration criterion which results in poor Cr: Fe ratio.

Further improvement in the Cr/Fe is not possible using gravity separation methods. So, high intensity magnetic separation can be used to separate the liberated iron minerals and bring down the Fe as maximum possible.
Magnetic separation utilises the magnetic susceptibility of minerals grains to separate from each other. As the chromite sample contains huge quantities of iron minerals as a gangue, magnetic separator can be utilised for the separation of iron bearing minerals from the chromite. The magnetic separation was carried out in a high intensity induced roll magnetic separator which is of dry high intensity type. The result of the test work on high intensity induced roll magnetic separator (IRMS) is shown in Figure 9. It is observed from the Figure that, the Cr$_2$O$_3$ content of the non-magnetic fraction is enriched up to 52.3% with recovery of 43.34% having Cr:Fe ratio of 3.35. It is observed that as the applied current increases, there is an increase in the grade as well as the Cr:Fe ratio of the non-magnetic fraction. But beyond 2amp of applied current there is no upgradation in the Cr$_2$O$_3$ content because at higher magnetic intensity the chromite particles are also reported to the magnetic fraction due to its feebly magnetic in nature. It can also be noted that as there is an increase in the applied current, there is decrease in the recovery of %Cr$_2$O$_3$ to the non-magnetic fraction.

So from the beneficiation studies on the off grade chromite ore revealed that, the Cr$_2$O$_3$ content can be upgraded to 48.9% with Cr:Fe ratio of 2.31 by gravity concentration whereas product quality can be enriched to 52.3% Cr$_2$O$_3$ with Cr:Fe ratio of 3.35 by using magnetic separation technique.

4. Conclusions

The advanced characterisation and quality improvement study on chromite ore of Sukinda area, India was investigated to improve the Cr/Fe ratio. The Cr$_2$O$_3$ content is distributed maximum at intermediate size fractions but iron is distributed at coarser and finer fraction. X-Ray Diffraction and QEMSCAN studies revealed that, along with chromite, hematite, goethite, kaolinite, gibbsite, and quartz minerals were present in the ore. About 30% of iron (by wt.) was present in the form of iron silicates which are having low specific gravity and can be separated by gravity separation process. It was predicted from the characterisation that, the chromite ore can be enriched up to 2.48 Cr:Fe ratio by discarding the silicate/locked silicate, Fe silicate gangue minerals whereas product quality can be upgraded maximum up to 3.58 of Cr:Fe ratio by separating iron bearing minerals (hematite, goethite and iron rich silicates). Beneficiation studies revealed that, the product can be enriched to having Cr:Fe ratio of 2.31 with a grade of 48.9% Cr$_2$O$_3$ by gravity concentration (wet shaking table) whereas Cr:Fe ratio of 3.35 with 52.3% Cr$_2$O$_3$ can be achievable by using high intensity magnetic separation (IRMS). So the high intensity magnetic separator (Induced Roll Magnetic Separator) can be used improve the quality of the off grade chromite ore of Sukinda region, India.
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