Comparative Analysis of Bright Band Data from TRMM and Ground Radar Data in Malaysia
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Abstract Good knowledge of the formation and recognition of the bright band is necessary to determine the location of the melting layer. This is partly because the melting layer is one of the major hydrometeors (other include as rain, hail, and cloud) responsible for signal degradations along the slant-path, in the tropical regions of the world. These may result in signal fading, amongst others, which may lead to errors in slant-path attenuation predictions. This paper involves the comparative analysis of radar data sourced from both ground 3D RAPIC bistatic radar and space-borne precipitation radar above the Malaysian air space. For this research work, the terrestrial meteorological radar data were sourced from the Meteorological Department of Malaysia, while the satellite radar data were obtained from the near-real-time TRMM Multi-Satellite Precipitation Analysis (TMPA-RT) version 7 products. Frozen hydrometeors are observed to exhibit peculiar characteristics in terms of increased radar reflectivity as they fall from the sky, transiting from solid to liquid, and manifesting in the popular bright band signature. The melting layer is the region where melting occur, just below the 0 ℃ isotherm height. It is a major factor responsible for the problems being encountered in characterization and modelling of microwave signal propagation along the earth-space link.
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1. Introduction

Tropical Rain Measurement Mission (TRMM) was a joint project between the US National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), and Japan National Space Development Agency of Japan (NASDA) and Communication Research Laboratory (CRL). It was launched on November 27, 1997 into a near circular orbit of approximately 350 Km altitude with an inclination angle of 35 degrees and a period of 91.5 minutes (that is, 15.7 orbits per day). Japan developed the world's first space-borne precipitation radar, and provided an H-II rocket to launch the TRMM satellite into orbit. The US provided the observatory, four other sensors (visible infrared scanner (VIRS), TRMM microwave imager (TMI), lighting imaging sensor (LIS), and cloud and earth’s radiant energy system (CERES)), and the satellite operation systems. TRMM observation data are processed in both countries and distributed among users. The satellite altitude was boosted in August 2001 to approximately 402.5 Km with a period of 92.5 minutes (15.6 orbits per day) to extend the lifetime by reducing atmospheric drag.

The Precipitation Processing System (PPS) is the data processing and science information system for TRMM and GPM (Global Precipitation Measurement). The GPM is the planned global successor to the TRMM, and was scheduled to be launched into space in mid-2014. The Earth Observing System Data and Information System (EOSDIS) is the long-term archive for all TRMM data products. TRMM PR is used to estimate monthly mean rainfall with a resolution of 5 degree-by-5 degree latitude–longitude over the tropical regions’ precipitation, over both land and ocean. Sampling errors and related biases, such as beam filling errors[1] are reduced by its low altitude (350 Km) and low inclination (35°). The non-sun-synchronous orbit makes it possible to sample virtually all local times over the course within a few weeks while at the same time reducing the diurnal bias drastically[2]. It also provides 3-dimensional radar reflectivity data.

The frequency of the TRMM PR is 13.8 GHz at an approximate wavelength of 2.2 centimeters, and with a spatial resolution of approximately 4.5 Km (footprint). The vertical resolution is 250 metres, while its nominal sensitivity is approximately 17 dBZ[3]. It has a surface clutter-limited swath of about 220 Km[4-5]. Rain height is highly correlated with signal attenuation and co-channel interference resulting from scattering. The rain height distribution can be used to investigate the mechanisms responsible for variations in the attenuation distributions at any location. Rain height is one the prediction methods being used to estimate the degree of...
signal attenuations. The rain height \(H_r\) is also directly related to the 0 °C isotherm height \(H_0\). Rain height information can be obtained indirectly by studying the melting layer height in stratiform rain type. One major source of attenuation prediction errors along the slant-path is the complex nature of the rainfall structure along this path. Equally is the uncertainty in the estimation of attenuation due to the melting layer. Additionally, difficulties encountered in relating \(H_r\) to \(H_0\), particularly in the tropical regions is attributable to insufficient database as well as the peculiarity of the rainfall types existing in such regions[6].

The rain height is the boundary between the rain region and the snow region and often corresponds to the 0 °C isotherm height. In the vertical dimension, the rainfall rate is assumed to be constant up to the point that represents the top of the rain height. Attenuation beyond the rain height is generally considered insignificant, and thus neglected[7]. However, recent research has shown that the effect of melting layer on signal attenuation may not be negligible as popularly believed, especially for weaker rain rates[8]. Good understanding of the Bright band layer can provide information about the microphysical processes involved in the precipitation (Table I). The reflectivity factor of a radar is given as:

\[
Z = \int_{0}^{D_{max}} N(D)D^6 dD \text{ (mm}^6\text{m}^{-3})
\]  

(1)

Where \(D = \text{Diameter of the rain drop.}\)

This may ultimately lead to a more accurate rain attenuation prediction by adopting appropriate reflectivity-rain rate \((Z–R)\) relationships, such as in[9], and with the expression:

\[
Z = aR^b \text{ (mm}^6\text{m}^{-3})
\]  

(2)

Where the values for \(a\) and \(b\) are 200 and 1.6 respectively, according to Marshall-Palmer[9]. Therefore, signal strength

\[
dBZ = 10 \log_{10} Z
\]

(3)

Combining Equations (2) and (3) gives

\[
dBZ = 10 \log_{10} \left(200 R^{1.6}\right)
\]

(4)

Thus giving a rain rate,

\[
R = \left[ \frac{10^{(dBZ/10)} - 1.6}{200} \right]^{-1.6}
\]

(5)

At the \(H_0\), hydrometeors changes from solid to liquid in the melting layer, leading to increase in their reflectivity; manifesting in the bright band signature seen in radar measurements. This band identification is made possible because of its sharp peak of reflectivity in high frequency domain[10-12]. Furthermore, if the bright-band was not recognized, it can result in serious over-estimation of precipitation reaching the ground by a factor as high as five[13].

The melting layer is a major factor responsible for the problems being encountered in characterization and modelling of microwave signal propagation. It the region where melting occurs; lying just below the 0 °C isotherm height. The importance of system modelling in link budget analysis and equipment designs cannot be over-emphasized[14].

Table 1. Brief Summary of Some Melting Layer Models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wim Klaassen [20]</td>
<td>Attenuation of radio waves in melting layer.</td>
<td>1. Reflectivity is very sensitive to dielectric prop. &amp; densities of melting particles. 2. BB width increases with rain intensity.</td>
<td>Agreement with Doppler radar observations.</td>
<td>Assumes particles are stationary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabry &amp; Szyrmer[21]</td>
<td>Dynamics &amp; Microphysics</td>
<td>BB peak intensity varied by a factor of 10 between strongest models (0 and 1) and weakest (3 and 4).</td>
<td>Agreement with Doppler radar observations.</td>
<td>For particle with small dimensions compared with wavelength of radiation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William Olson et al[22]</td>
<td>1-D steady-state microphysical</td>
<td>Reflectivity of melting layer is sensitive to dielectric models.</td>
<td>More complete model of the melting process.</td>
<td>Only applicable to regions with weak updrafts and downdrafts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Thurai &amp; H. Hanado[23]</td>
<td>N-N (Non coalescence-Non breakup)</td>
<td>1. BB is intensity dependent. 2. No direct relationship between BB and Z.</td>
<td>Agreement with Doppler radar observations.</td>
<td>Modifications required to relate intensity with BB.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Takahashi &amp; J. Awaka[8]</td>
<td>Path integrated Attenuation of melting layer.</td>
<td>1. Effect of melting layer not negligible especially for weak rain rates.</td>
<td>Retrieval error reduced by 20% for rain rate less than 10 mm/h.</td>
<td>Can’t distinguish stratiform from convective.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 briefly summarizes a few of the characteristics of some of the major melting layer models.

This paper compares the results of the analyses undertaken in Johor state of Malaysia using 3-D RAPIC ground radar[14] and satellite (TRMM) precipitation radar data.

2. Methodology

The meteorological radar data employed in this analysis were obtained from versions 6 standard products of the TRMM precipitation radar (PR) and the near-real-time TMPA-RT version 7 products. The TRMM PR is one of the five instruments that are on-board the TRMM satellite. It measures the returned power at 13.8 GHz at 2.17 cm wavelength. The PR scans across the ground track of the satellite once every 0.6 seconds, and with a 35° scan angle, which corresponds to a swath width of 220 Km. The vertical resolution is 250 metres, and nominal sensitivity of approximately 17 dBZ.

The TRMM PR 3A25 data product computes the monthly statistics of the PR measurements at both a low horizontal resolution of 5° x 5° latitude-longitude and a high horizontal resolution of 0.5° x 0.5° latitude-longitude. The low resolution grids are in the Planetary Grid 1 structure and include mean and standard deviation of the rain rate, reflectivity, path-integrated attenuation (PIA), storm height, Xi, bright band height and the NUBF (Non-Uniform Beam Filling) correction, rain fractions and histograms of the storm height, bright-band height, etc. The high resolution grids in the Planetary Grid 2 structure are used to compute mean rain rate, standard deviation and rain fractions[24]. The low resolution grids which are in the Planetary Grid 1 structure were used in this work. This is because the Grid 2 structure is replete with large numbers of missing data; thus impinging on its data reliability and integrity.

The data used in these analyses spans thirteen months (November 2006 to November 2007) for the ground radar, while that of the TRMM is from January 2001 to May 2013 (twenty-two months). The wider data capture window of the TRMM is to statistically make up for some of the missing or compromised data due to the inherent susceptibility of the source to error, resulting from the relative remote proximity of the space-borne PR instrument. Johor is a tropical station with geographical longitude and latitude of 103.75°E and 1.45°N, respectively.

3. Results and Discussions

The TRMM vertical reflectivity profiles are displayed in Figures 1-6, while that of the ground radar are shown in Figures 7-10. The VRP plots in Figure 2 are obtained from the version 6 standard products of the TRMM precipitation radar data. It is observed from the Figure that the bright band is not clearly defined; hence underscoring the need for a better standard algorithm as evidenced in the other improved plots (Figures 3-7), with more visibly defined bright band signatures. These plots are representatives of the TRMM’s version 7 standard products, underpinning the better quality derivable from this improved standard. Consequently, data from version 6 products shall be neglected in further analysis.

Figures 7-10 are the results of the extension of the analysis of the work reported in[14]. The month-to-month variations for $H_0$, $BB_H$, $BB_{SH}$, and $H_R$ for 2007 is shown in Figure 14. The mean values for these parameters for the thirteen months under investigation are 6.6 Km, 4.71 Km, 3.03 Km and 1.68 Km respectively.

The TRMM PR month-to-month variations for $H_0$, $BB_H$, $BB_{SH}$, and $H_R$ for some months in 2011, 2012 and 2013 are shown in Figures 11-13. Both sets of data (TRMM PR and ground radar) are observed to show similar patterns in month-to-month variations as can be observed in Figures 11-14.
Figure 2. TRMM VRP plot for the months of January-April 2011 for Johor, Malaysia

Figure 3. TRMM VRP plot for the months of May, September, November and December 2011 for Johor, Malaysia
Figure 4. TRMM VRP plot for the months of January, February, March and June 2012 for Johor, Malaysia

Figure 5. TRMM VRP plot for the months of July, August, September, October and December 2012 for Johor, Malaysia
However, the mean values for the TRMM data are much lower when compared to that of the ground radar (405 m, 383 m, 202 m and 168 m for $H_0$, $BB_H$, $BB_{HH}$, and $H_R$ respectively). This may as a result of some of the missing or compromised data due to the relative remote location of the TRMM PR instrument.

Figure 6. TRMM VRP plot for the months of January-May 2013 for Johor, Malaysia

Figure 7. Ground Radar VRP plot for the months of November-December 2006 for Johor, Malaysia
From Figure 14, it is observed that the $0^\circ$C isotherm and rain heights are at their peak in the months of March and September, coinciding with the beginning of the two monsoons. These are the North-East monsoon (October to March) from the South China Sea, and South-West monsoon (April to September) from the Indian Ocean[14].
Similar patterns were exhibited by the TRMM data in Figure 12, save for the peak value for June, which may be due to missing data for the months of April and May, and other reasons earlier stated amongst others. The rain and $0^\circ$C isotherm heights are lowest in the months of February, July and September for TRMM PR data; and January/February, April, July and October/November for the ground radar data.

Figure 10. Ground Radar VRP plot for the months of September-November 2007 for Johor, Malaysia

Figure 11. TRMM Month-to-month variation for $H_g$, $BB_HY$, $BB_{HY}$, and $H_R$ for 2011
Figure 12. TRMM Month-to-month variation for $H_0$, $BB_H$, $BB_{TH}$, and $H_R$ for 2012

Figure 13. TRMM Month-to-month variation for $H_0$, $BB_H$, $BB_{TH}$, and $H_R$ for 2013
4. Conclusions

The results of the comparative analysis of the processed ground radar data with the TMPA-RT PR derived data showed a good degree of agreement in terms of pattern variations of the measured parameters. The 0°C isotherm and rain heights experiences peak and low values in the months of March and September; and February and July respectively for both TMPA-RT PR and ground radar data. More research work need to be carried out in this area to further augment the current lean database ultimately for more effective research results in the tropical stations of the world.
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