Design of Miniaturized Fractal RFID Tag Antenna with Forced Impedance Matching
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Abstract  A new optimization based-methodology for miniaturizing RFID tag antenna is introduced. In this paper, the particle swarm optimization (PSO) technique in conjunction with CST Microwave Studio electromagnetic simulator are used to design a miniaturized fractal antenna having perfect impedance matching with the tag chip. The design does not need any additional loading or matching network and hence yields relatively lower cost and smaller antenna size compared with conventional tag antenna systems. A combination of two objective functions related to power reflection coefficient and antenna area are used for optimizing the antenna. The design methodology is applied to a 3rd-order Minkowski fractal nested-slot patch antenna and yields 90% area reduction compared with the reference (non-fractal) counterpart.
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1. Introduction

Radio frequency identification (RFID) is the ultimate technology for automatic identification and item-level tracking that experiences an explosive growth in terms of industrial investment and developing applications[1]. As RFID systems grow rapidly, the market demands more features such increasingly cost-effective designs. Further, new emerging applications of RFID systems such as secure identification of bank documents, money bills, and other valuable documents demand low-cost small size tags[2]. For these reasons, there is increasing interest in recent years to design RFID systems operating at the microwave frequencies (for example 5.8 GHz) rather than RF and UHF to ensure small tag antenna size[3-5]. This also opens the possibility to use microstrip patch technology to design efficient RFID antennas[6, 7].

Passive tags, which are attached to the identifying objects, contain the antenna and an integrated circuit (where the information related to the object is stored)[8]. A successful communication between the reader and the tag involves a forward link where the reader sends out continuous wave (CW) and commands to the tag. Only as the tag chip receives sufficient power from the CW, it can be turned on and respond to the command[9]. For the maximum power reception, the input impedance of the tag antenna should be conjugate matched to that of the tag chip, or more specifically, the input impedance of the rectifier connected to the tag antenna.

The problem of conjugate matching between the antenna impedance $Z_A$ and the input impedance of the chip integrated circuit $Z_C$ have been addressed carefully in the literature and different techniques have been proposed to solve it. For example,

- Paredes et al.[10] have focused on the design of dual-band impedance-matching networks of interest in RFID systems. By cascading an impedance-matching network between the chip and antenna, the performance of the RFID tags can be improved. The main aim of this study is to demonstrate the possibility of designing such networks by means of split-ring resonators coupled to microstrip transmission lines. These resonators are especially useful in this design since their equivalent circuit substantially simplifies the parameter calculation of the matching network.

- Mo and Qin[11] have proposed an open stub feed planar patch antenna for UHF RFID tag mountable on metallic objects. Compared to conventional short stub feed patch antenna used for UHF RFID tag, the open stub feed patch antenna has planar structure which can decrease the manufacturing cost of the tags. Moreover, the open stub feed makes the impedance of the patch antenna be tuned in a large scale for conjugate impedance matching.

- Kuo and Liao[12] have presented a method for computing antenna impedance of a patch-type RFID tag. In order to perform impedance calculation in a very short time, an analytic model has been proposed, and the result is highly agreeable with that obtained by the commercial software. Two important parameters are also identified for the purpose of independent impedance tuning of the real and imaginary parts. A prototype has been designed and manufactured.
based on the proposed method, showing a dimension of 118x43x1.5 mm$^3$ and a reading range of 6 m at $f = 915$ MHz.

- Chen and Tsao[13] have presented the capacitively coupled feeding technique via open-ended microstrip line to perform a complex impedance matching broadband operation.

- Qing et. al.[14] have presented an experimental methodology for the characterization of the impedance of balanced RFID tag antennas, and the application of the proposed method in RFID tag co-design has been demonstrated. The co-designed tag antenna achieves conjugate matching with the application-specific integrated circuit so that the reading range of the RFID tag is greatly enhanced.

- Kim and Yeo[15] have proposed tag antenna which can be considered as a cavity backed antenna and it is comprised of a bowtie antenna installed in a recessed cubic volume in a large metallic object, and the input impedance of the bowtie antenna can be easily matched by adjusting the coupling effect between the tag antenna and the cavity. Main parameters affecting the coupling are the dimensions of the cavity and the location of the bowtie antenna inside the cavity. For more practical analysis of the impedance matching process, an equivalent-circuit model consisting of several lumped elements has been introduced and each element is optimized using a genetic algorithm (GA).

- Braaten[16] has presented a novel compact planar antenna for passive UHF RFID tags. Instead of using meander-line sections, many smaller open complementary split ring resonator particles have been connected in series to create a small dipole with a conjugate match to the power harvesting circuit on the passive RFID tag.

According to this survey, the complex matching techniques used in RFID tag systems can be classified into the following categories (see Figure 1).

(i) Inserting matching network or stub lines between the antenna and the chip[10, 11], (Figure 1(a)).

(ii) Loading the antenna in capacitively or inductively manner with small loop or short or open stub line to adjust the antenna input impedance in order to ensure $Z_A = Z_C = \frac{1}{Z_C}$, (Figure 1(b)).

(iii) Reshaping or modifying the antenna geometry, for example, using a typical asymmetrical balanced dipole antenna[14], inserting the antenna in a recessed cavity[15], employing a nested, shaped slot[17], or designing the antenna with series connected open complementary split ring resonator[16], (Figure 1(c)).

All these techniques require the insertion of additional elements and/or characterized by a larger area size compared with the conventional counterparts.

In this paper a new methodology is proposed to design tag antenna operating with perfect matching with the tag chip. The methodology is based on tuning the geometrical parameters of the antenna to vary its input impedance till it reaches the conjugate of the input impedance of the tag chip at the resonance frequency (see Figure 1(d)). The methodology is enhanced further to design miniaturized antenna under conjugate matching environment by introducing fractal geometry on the reference patch followed by applying PSO technique to address area optimization of the resultant antenna. The degree of impedance matching is estimated using CST Microwave Studio (CST MWS) software which works synchronously with the PSO technique during the optimization process.

![Figure 1. Matching techniques used for RFID](image)

**Figure 1.** Matching techniques used for RFID (a) Impedance matching network or stub line (b) Loading elements (c) Reshaping or modifying the antenna geometrical parameters (d) Tuning the antenna geometrical parameters via optimization

It is worth to mention here that the proposed design methodology does not require the addition of matching network or stub lines to ensure conjugate impedance matching condition while it yields a miniaturized antenna having reduced area compared with the conventional counterpart. For illustration purposes, the design methodology is applied to a 3rd-order Minkowski fractal nested-slot patch antenna operating at 5.8 GHz resonance frequency.

2. System Model and Design Procedure

The architecture of the passive microwave RFID Tag under investigation is shown in Figure 2. The coupling element between the reader antenna and the chip is a patch antenna. A rectifier converts the input alternating voltage into a dc voltage, which is used by a series voltage regulator to provide the regulated voltage required for the correct operation of the tag. The voltage rectifier is matched with the antenna in order to ensure the maximum power transfer from the tag antenna to the input of the rectifier. A backscatter modulator is used to modulate the impedance seen by the tag antenna, when transmitting. The RF section is then connected to the digital section, which typically is a very simple microprocessor or a finite-state machine able to manage the communication protocol.
Figure 3 shows a simplified block diagram and the corresponding equivalent circuit of the system depicted in Figure 2 from impedance point of view. A tag antenna of input impedance $Z_A$ is connected to a tag chip of input impedance $Z_C$. As the tag is illuminated by a plane wave, part of the power collected by the antenna is transferred to power up the chip, while the other part is scattered or radiated into free space. The power received by the chip $P_C$ is given as

$$P_C = \left( 1 - |S|^2 \right) P_A$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The optimization problem under investigation here is to minimize the antenna area subjected to the condition $Z_A = Z_C^*$. Since the chip includes an energy storage stage, its input reactance is strongly capacitive. The chip impedance takes the form $Z_C = R_C + jX_C$, with $X_C$ is negative. Therefore, for perfect matching $Z_A$ should be inductive, (i.e., $Z_A = R_A + jX_A$ with $X_A$ is positive).

Recall that the fitness function requires the calculation of the return loss $S_{11}$. Unfortunately, the CST MWS can calculate $S_{11}$ and $S$ for only real load $Z_C$. Therefore, an indirect method is adopted here to calculate $S$ for complex values of $Z_C$. The method is based on calculating the input impedance of the antenna using CST MWS and then using the results to deduce the value of $|S|^2$. Hence, the power reflection coefficient $|S|^2$ or PRC is calculated as

$$PRC = 10 \log \left| \frac{Z_A - Z_C^*}{Z_A + Z_C} \right|^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (3a)

$$= 10 \log \left| \frac{(R_A + jX_A) - (R_C + jX_C)^*}{(R_A + jX_A) + (R_C + jX_C)} \right|^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (3b)

$$= 10 \log \left| \frac{(R_A - R_C) + j(X_A + X_C)^*}{|R_A + R_C| + j|X_A + X_C|} \right|^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (3c)

Equation (3c) is used in the fitness function to estimate the value of PRC at the resonance frequency $f_r = 5.8$ GHz.

The following steps are used for designing the miniaturized antenna under complex matching condition (see Figure 4)

(i) Design the non-fractal patch reference antenna (RA) where fractal geometry will be embedded on it in the next step. The antenna geometrical parameters are deducted using PSO in conjunction with CST MWS to ensure 5.8 GHz resonance frequency under the constraint that PRC is equal to or less than a threshold value ($PRC \leq (PRC)_\text{th}$). This constraint ensures high degree of impedance matching.

(ii) Insert the fractal geometry on the patch slot of RA and then optimize the generated fractal antenna using a fitness function that takes into account the antenna area and the
degree of impedance matching (PRC performance). The design yields a miniaturized antenna (with respect to the RA) with high degree of impedance matching through satisfying \( \text{PRC} \leq (\text{PRC})_{th} \) at the resonance frequency \( f_r = 5.8 \) GHz.
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**Figure 4.** Data flow for designing both optimized reference and the miniaturized fractal RFID tag antennas. In the designing the fractal antenna, the initial antenna geometrical parameters are taken from the optimized RA

3. **Minkowski Fractal Nested-Slot Patch Antenna**

Tag antennas are usually designed using symmetrical geometry with respect to the chip connection. In this section, a design example is given where a nested-slot patch antenna (NSPA) is adopted as the reference antenna with 3rd-order Minkowski fractal geometry is introduced on it. Figure 5 shows the geometry of the reference NSPA used in the design. The main advantageous of using this antenna structure are its low profile, simple geometry, easy integration with monolithic microwave integrated circuits, low cost, easy fabrication, and approximately stable radiation performances. Also, it’s having impedance tuning capability that made it matched to complex load, and the possibility to host electronics packaging[19, 20].

![Antenna Design](image2)

**Figure 5.** Reference Minkowski fractal nested-slot patch antenna MFNSPA (a) 3D view (b) front side (c) left side

![Antenna Design](image3)

**Figure 6.** Minkowski fractal nested-slot patch antenna (MFNSPA) (a) zero-order (reference) (b) 1st-order (c) 2nd-order (d) 3rd-order
3.1. Design of the Reference Antenna

The initial values of the geometrical parameters of the conventional patch antennas are usually determined using basic analytical (approximated) equations. Then the geometrical parameters are tuned by trial-and-error method to ensure the required resonance frequency. This approach cannot be applied here to design the nested patch RA due to the imposed condition of conjugate impedance matching in addition to the presence of nested slot in the antenna configuration. Therefore, an optimization technique based on PSO algorithm operating in synchronically with CST are adapted to design a RA that satisfies both complex impedance matching condition and the required resonance frequency. The PSO algorithm adopted here is a basic one follows closely with that in Ref. [21].

The patch RA, whose slot radiator is implemented on an FR4 substrate with relative permittivity of 4.3, dielectric loss tangent of 0.025 and substrate thickness of 0.8 mm is designed to achieve perfect impedance matching at 5.8 GHz through using the following optimization model.

**Minimize** the fitness function

\[
\text{Fit}(\mathbf{x}) = \left( \text{PRC}_{\text{at } \xi} - (\text{PRC})_{\text{th}} \right) \cdot \left( u\left( \text{PRC}_{\text{at } \xi} - (\text{PRC})_{\text{th}} \right) \right)
\]

and the constraints:

\[ x_l \leq x_i \leq x_u \]

where PRC_{at \xi} is the value of PRC at the resonance frequency and \( u \) is the unit step function. The optimization process is finished whenever the fitness function in (4) is zero, i.e., PRC_{at \xi} \leq (PRC)_{th}.

As shown in Figure 5, there are six geometrical parameters common to the all fractal orders. These parameters are ground length \( L_g \), ground width \( W_g \), patch length \( L_p \), patch width \( W_p \), slot length \( L_s \) and slot width \( W_s \). The parameter \( L_g \) and \( W_g \) are considered as the main parameters where other geometrical parameters are scaled from them. The feeding port parameters, gap length \( L_{gap} \), and gap width \( W_{gap} \) are both set to 1 mm. The following equations describe the relations among the geometrical parameters with the main parameters.

\[
\begin{align*}
L_p &= K_{LP} \times L_g \quad (5a) \\
W_p &= K_{WP} \times W_g \quad (5b) \\
L_s &= K_{LS} \times L_p = K_{LS} \times K_{LP} \times L_g \quad (5c) \\
W_s &= K_{WS} \times W_p = K_{WS} \times K_{WP} \times W_g \quad (5d)
\end{align*}
\]

where \( K_{LP} \), \( K_{WP} \), \( K_{LS} \), and \( K_{WS} \) are scaling factors. Further, there are additional four geometrical parameters associated with the fractal shape, two are related to slot lengths, \( K_{LS} \) and \( K_{Lh} \), and two related to slot width, \( K_{Wa} \) and \( K_{Wb} \).

To prevent failure in antenna geometrical configuration during the optimization process, the slot length geometrical parameter \( L_s \) must be ranges within the limit

\[
L_{gap} < 2L_s + L_{gap} < L_p \quad (6a)
\]

Hence,

\[
0 < L_s < \frac{L_p - L_{gap}}{2} \quad (6b)
\]

The RA is designed using PSO algorithm to resonate at 5.8 GHz with input impedance, \( Z_{th} = 10 + j250 \ \Omega \). The ranges of the geometrical parameters of the RA used in the optimization are illustrated in Table 1. The ranges of ground length \( L_g \) and ground width \( W_g \) are chosen here to guarantee that the antenna will resonate at \( \frac{5.8 \text{GHz}}{2} \approx 25 \text{mm} \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Ranges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ground length (mm)</td>
<td>( L_g )</td>
<td>20.00 - 28.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground width (mm)</td>
<td>( W_g )</td>
<td>20.00 - 28.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patch length scale</td>
<td>( K_{LP} )</td>
<td>0.60 - 0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patch width scale</td>
<td>( K_{WP} )</td>
<td>0.60 - 0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patch slot length scale</td>
<td>( K_{LS} )</td>
<td>0.21 - 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patch slot width scale</td>
<td>( K_{WS} )</td>
<td>0.40 - 0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. PSO Behavior and Optimized Geometrical Parameters of RA

The number of particles used to optimize RA is 24 particles, 4 for each of the six geometrical parameters. The criteria used to stop the optimization process is that whenever the power reflection coefficient equals to or less than \( (PRC)_{th} = -15 \text{dB} \) (i.e., the unit step function becomes zero). The optimization procedure here doesn’t search for a minimum area but for any design that yields \( PRC \leq (PRC)_{th} \) at the resonance frequency, i.e., any solution satisfy this condition, the optimization process will be stopped. Figure 7 shows the performance progress in the optimization process. Part (a) of this figure displays the best fitness function (solid line) at each iteration (among the 24 particles) and the best fitness function to the current iteration (‘o’ mark). Part (b) shows the variation of power reflection coefficient, PRC with PSO iteration number. It is seen from Figure 7(a) that the fitness function equals to zero at the 36th iteration. At this point, PRC equals to \(-16.22 \text{dB} \) (Figure 7(b)).
The optimized geometrical parameters of the RA, obtained at the end of the PSO algorithm, are listed in Table 2.

Table 2. Optimized geometrical parameters of the RA antenna

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ground length (mm)</td>
<td>$L_g$</td>
<td>21.723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground width (mm)</td>
<td>$W_g$</td>
<td>24.911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patch length scale</td>
<td>$K_{lp}$</td>
<td>0.721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patch width scale</td>
<td>$K_{wp}$</td>
<td>0.763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patch slot length scale</td>
<td>$K_{ls}$</td>
<td>0.224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patch slot width scale</td>
<td>$K_{ws}$</td>
<td>0.427</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The next step is to miniaturize a 3rd-order Minkowski fractal nested-slot patch antenna (MFNSPA3) starting from the RA using a fitness function that takes into account both area reduction and PRC performance. The optimization model can be expressed as

\[ \text{Minimize } \text{Fit}(x) = PRC_{obj} + \text{Area}_{obj} \] (7a)

where

\[ PRC_{obj} = (PRC_{at} - (PRC)_{th}) \cdot \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } PRC_{at} > (PRC)_{th} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \] (7b)

\[ \text{Area}_{obj} = \left( \frac{A_F}{A_R} \right)^{-1} \] (7c)

Subject to: \[ A_F \leq A_R \]

and the constraints: \[ x_i^L \leq x_i \leq x_i^U \], (7c)

Here $A_R$ and $A_F$ represent, respectively, the area of the RA and the fractal antenna.

The geometrical parameters that enter the optimization process are ten, six parameters are common with RA and the other four parameters come from fractal shape introduced in the slot of the patch. Thus the number of particles required to perform the optimization are 30 particles, three for each parameter. A stop criterion is chosen such that 60 PSO iterations are reached or the fitness function remains unchanged with less than 2% error for at least 20 successive iterations.

The constraints used in the optimization process for the geometrical parameters of MFNSPA3 are illustrated in Table 3.

Table 3. Design parameter constraints used for miniaturizing MFNSPA3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antenna Geometrical Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Ranges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ground length (mm)</td>
<td>$L_g$</td>
<td>6.00 ~ 28.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground width (mm)</td>
<td>$W_g$</td>
<td>6.00 ~ 28.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patch length scale</td>
<td>$K_{lp}$</td>
<td>0.60 ~ 0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patch width scale</td>
<td>$K_{wp}$</td>
<td>0.60 ~ 0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patch slot length scale</td>
<td>$K_{ls}$</td>
<td>0.20 ~ 0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patch slot width scale</td>
<td>$K_{ws}$</td>
<td>0.40 ~ 0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractal patch slot length scale 1</td>
<td>$K_{la}$</td>
<td>0.10 ~ 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractal patch slot length scale 2</td>
<td>$K_{lb}$</td>
<td>0.20 ~ 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractal patch slot width scale 1</td>
<td>$K_{wa}$</td>
<td>0.10 ~ 0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fractal patch slot width scale 2</td>
<td>$K_{wb}$</td>
<td>0.20 ~ 0.33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3. PSO Behavior and Optimized Geometrical Parameters of MFNSPA3

The performance progress of optimization process for the MFNSPA3 is displayed in Figure 8. Part (a) of this figure shows total fitness function while PRC and area objectives are plotted in part (b). One can see the rapid speed in optimization convergence (represented by blue circles to indicate the best optimum up to the current iteration). On the other hand, Figure 8(b) depicts that the global optimization (minimum area with PRC less than $(PRC)_{th}$ of -15 dB) occurs at 45th iteration.
The geometrical parameters of the miniaturized MFNSPA3 are listed in Table 4. Results related to optimized RA are also included here for comparison purposes.

It is worth to mention here that the optimized MFNSPA3 achieves 90.02% area reduction ($\Delta A$) compared to the RA, where

$$\Delta A = \frac{A_f - A_r}{A_r} \quad (8)$$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>MFNSP A3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$L_g$</td>
<td>21.723</td>
<td>7.343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_g$</td>
<td>24.911</td>
<td>7.344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{L_p}$</td>
<td>0.721</td>
<td>0.699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{W_p}$</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td>0.859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{L_s}$</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>0.307</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{W_s}$</td>
<td>0.427</td>
<td>0.693</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{L_d}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{L_b}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.317</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{W_a}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{W_b}$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.275</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Performance Results of the Optimized Antennas

The electromagnetic properties of the optimized antennas (reference and fractal) are simulated using CST MWS. Results are presented for both optimized fractal and reference antennas.

4.1. Power Reflection Coefficient and Impedance Characteristics

The frequency dependence of power reflection coefficient, PRC and power transmission coefficient, $\tau = 1-\text{PRC}$, for the two antennas are shown in Figures 9(a) and (b), respectively. It’s seen from Figure 9(a) that MFNSPA3 has one resonance frequency at 5.8 GHz with PRC of -22.06 dB. In contrast, RA has two resonance frequencies, one at 5.8 GHz with PRC of -16.26 dB and the other at 2.76 GHz with PRC of -14.18 dB.

Figure 10 presents the spectra of the input resistance and reactance of the two antennas as function of frequency. Its clear that good conjugate matching has achieved and also the self resonance of MFNSPA3 is less than of upper self resonance of RA. Also an interesting behavior is noticed from viewing reactances curves that both antennas have equivalent inductive reactance at low frequencies beyond 5.8 GHz. This is necessary for conjugate matching with capacitive load that represented the chip impedance.

4.2. Radiation Performance
In order to simulate the radiation performance of these antennas using CST, a lumped element (a 10Ω-resistor in series with 0.11 nF-capacitor) corresponding to the tag chip impedance at 5.8 GHz is added in series with the discrete port to ensure complex matching condition. Figure 11 shows the two antennas that simulated under the connection of lumped element (blue) to 50Ω discrete port (red). This is different from the default connection when only a 50Ω discrete port is connected to the antenna to simulate antenna impedance without connection of any load.

These values are to be compared with 1.644 dB and 32.85% for the RA.

Figure 11. Antenna configuration after adding a lumped element (blue) representing the load (chip). The lumped element is connected in series with the 50Ω-discrete port (red) to model the tag antenna with chip (a) RA and (b) MFNSPA3

Figure 12. Return loss $S_{11}$ of the RA and MFNSPA3

Figure 13. Gain (a) and efficiency (b) of the optimized antennas

The maximum read range $r_{\text{max}}$ can be calculated using Friis free-space formula as [22]

$$ r_{\text{max}} = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{P_t G_t \tau G_r}{P_{\text{th}}}} $$

Figure 14. Reading ranges of the optimized antennas as a function of frequency

The reading ranges for each of the designed antennas are calculated over the operating frequency range using (9). The results are displayed in Figure 14 for two values of ERIP, 3.2 W and 0.82 W assuming threshold power $P_{\text{th}} = 10 \mu W$. It can be observed that both RFID tags are functional across the entire ISM frequency band of 5.725–5.875 GHz. At 5.8 GHz and ERIP of 3.2W, the reading range decreases from 2.392 m to 0.484 m when the RA is replaced by the fractal antenna. These values are to be compared with 1.211 m and 0.245 m, respectively, at ERIP of 0.82W.
An investigation of the effect of the surface currents over the antennas' surface is also carried out. The computed surface currents for 5.8 GHz operating frequency is shown in Figure 15 which indicates that these currents are almost negligible at upper and lower of the nested-slot. In contrast, these currents are dominated at left and right of the slot. Also, one can deduce from this figure that vertical sides represent the radiation sides for both antennas.

Figure 15. Simulated surface current distributions on the antenna surface at 5.8 GHz resonance frequency (a) RA and (b) MFNSPA3

Figure 16. Radiation patterns for the optimized antennas; (a) RA (b) MFNSPA3
The 2D and 3D far-field radiation characteristics at frequency 5.8 GHz for the two antennas are plotted in Figures 16 and 17, respectively. The results, in general, show these antennas have a stable monopole-like radiation pattern with conical radiations in the elevation planes (xz- and yz-planes) and a nearly omnidirectional pattern in the azimuth plane (xy-plane).

Table 5. Simulation results of the reference and fractal NSPAs at 5.8 GHz

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antenna Type</th>
<th>Antenna Performance Parameters</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>MFNSPA3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRC (dB)</td>
<td>-16.266</td>
<td>-22.059</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \tau )</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_a ) (( \Omega ))</td>
<td>13.626</td>
<td>11.552</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( X_a ) (( \Omega ))</td>
<td>249.800</td>
<td>249.300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G (dB)</td>
<td>1.644</td>
<td>-12.839</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \eta ) (%)</td>
<td>32.85</td>
<td>2.579</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW (GHz)</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f_l ) (GHz)</td>
<td>5.662</td>
<td>5.710</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( f_h ) (GHz)</td>
<td>5.964</td>
<td>5.904</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_{max} ) (m), at 3.2W ERIP</td>
<td>2.392</td>
<td>0.484</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( r_{max} ) (m), at 0.82W ERIP</td>
<td>1.211</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A ) (mm²)</td>
<td>541.141</td>
<td>53.927</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta A ) (%)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>90.034</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 summarizes the main results related for fractal and reference antennas. The table shows that the -3 dB bandwidth of MFNSPA3 is equal to 194 MHz while it is 302 MHz for the RA. On the other hand, the two antennas almost satisfy the conjugate matching condition (recall that \( Z_C = 10-j250 \, \Omega \)) and have met the operating frequency of ISM RFID band, which extends, 5.725 to 5.875 GHz.

5. Conclusions

The paper has presented the design of a miniaturized 3rd-order Minkowski fractal nested-slot patch antenna for 5.8 GHz RFID passive tag which operates in conjugate impedance matching environment with the tag chip. The design methodology uses PSO technique to minimize the antenna area while keeping high level of conjugate matching with the connected chip. The simulation results reveal that the designed antenna offers 90% area reduction compared with the reference (non-fractal) counterpart.

The design methodology can be applied to other antenna structures and configurations and does not need any additional elements to serve as a matching or loading network to ensure conjugate matching condition between the antenna and the tag chip.
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