# Water Quality Index for the Assessment of Water Quality from Different Sources in the Niger Delta Region of Nigeria

E. E. Etim<sup>1,\*</sup>, R. Odoh<sup>1</sup>, A. U. Itodo<sup>1</sup>, S. D. Umoh<sup>2</sup>, U. Lawal<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemical Sciences, Federal University PMB, 1020, Wukari <sup>2</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Agriculture Makurdi

**Abstract** Water quality of different sources of water (stream, borehole and pipe born water) in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria was evaluated by Water Quality Index (WQI) technique. The present investigations was carried out to compute the Water Quality Index (WQI) in order to assess the suitability of water from different sources collected from different areas in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria. In this study, WQI was determined on the basis of various physico-chemical parameters like pH, total alkalinity, chlorides, sulphate, nitrate, total hardness, calcium, magnesium, electrical conductivity, dissolved oxygen, biochemical oxygen demand, total dissolved solids and total suspended solids. The results obtained for the WQI from the different sampling stations were found to be varied from 34.80 to 36.26 for pipe borne water, 38.52 to 48.67 for borehole water and 55.05 to 84.94 for stream water. The results indicate that the different water samples analysed from pipe born and borehole water are safe for safe for human consumption and for other domestic purposes while the samples analysed from stream water are not safe for human consumption.

Keywords Niger Delta, Physico-Chemical Parameters, Water, Water Quality Index

## 1. Introduction

Water of good drinking quality is of basic importance to human physiology and man's continued existence depends very much on its availability. An average man (of 53 kg - 63kg body weight), requires about 3 litres of water in liquid and food daily to keep healthy[1]. This fact apparently accounts for why water is regarded as one of the most indispensable substances in life and like air it is most abundant[2]. Increase in human population has exerted an enormous pressure on the provision of safe drinking water especially in developing countries[3]. Unsafe water is a global public health threat, placing persons at risk for a host of diarrheal and other diseases as well as chemical intoxication[4]. Most of the fresh water bodies all over the world are getting polluted, thus decreasing the portability of water[5].

The importance of water to man cannot be over emphasized. He can survive longer without food than without water. He requires it for his cooking, washing, sanitation, drinking and for growing his crops and running his factories. Therefore modern man like his primitive ancestors is heavily dependent on water for his sustenance.

Published online at http://journal.sapub.org/fs

But because water is freely available through rainfall, until recently man has tended to take this resource for granted. Apart from its industrial use water is a necessary social amenity. The provision of good quality water can help in eradicating water-borne diseases and in improving the general sanitation of Nigeria's towns and villages[6].

Water quality index (WQI) provides a single number that expresses the overall water quality at a certain location and time based on several water quality parameters. The objective of WQI is to turn complex water quality data into information that is understandable and usable by the public. A number of indices have been developed to summarize water quality data in an easily expressible and easily understood format. The WQI which was first developed by Horton in the early 1970s is basically a mathematical means of calculating a single value from multiple test results[7]. The index result represents the level of water quality in a given water basin, such as lake, river or stream. After Horton, a number of workers all over the world developed WQI based on rating of different water quality parameters[7]. Basically a WQI attempts to provide a mechanism for presenting a cumulatively derived, numerical expression defining a certain level of water quality[8].

The use of water quality index (WQI) simplifies the presentation of results of an investigation related to a water body as it summarises in one value or concept a series of parameters analysed. In this way, the indices are very useful

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author:

emmaetim@gmail.com (E. E. Etim)

Copyright  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  2013 Scientific & Academic Publishing. All Rights Reserved

to transmit information concerning water quality to the public in general, and give a good idea of the evolution tendency of water quality to evolve over a period of time. A single WQI value makes in formation more easily and rapidly understood than a long list of numerical values for a large variety of parameters. Additionally, WQI also facilitates comparison between different sampling sites and events[9]. Inadequate management of water resources as directly or indirectly resulted in the degradation of hydrological environment. Therefore, a continuous periodical monitoring of water quality is necessary so that appropriate steps may be taken for water resource management practices[10]. The present study is to serve as a baseline study since there is no literature regarding the water quality index in this region before now. The present investigations was carried out to compute the Water Quality Index (WQI) in order to assess the suitability of water from different sources collected from different areas in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria.

The main objectives of the study are:

• Collection of water samples from pipe borne water, bore hole water and stream water in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria.

• Analysis of a few quality parameters *viz.*, pH, total alkalinity, chlorides, sulphate, nitrate, total hardness, calcium, magnesium, electrical conductivity, dissolved oxygen, biochemical oxygen demand, total dissolved solids and total suspended solids as recommended by World Health Organization.

• Assessment of the water quality using water quality index (WQI).

# 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. The Study Area

The area of this study is the Niger Delta region of Nigeria. The Niger Delta covers an area of 70,000 Km<sup>2</sup> of marshland, creeks, tributaries and lagoons that drain the Niger River into the Atlantic at the Bight of Biafra. About one-third of this area is fragile mangrove forest, the second largest mangrove forest in the world. The biodiversity of the Niger Delta is very high with the area containing diverse plant and animal species, including many endangered, exotic and endemic animals and plants[11]. The Niger Delta has an estimated population of over 30 million people[12], the bulk of which lives in rural fishing and farming communities. The region is also the headquarters of Nigeria's oil and gas industry and currently the only oil and gas producing region in Nigeria[13]. Regrettably, activities of multinational oil companies have recently been linked to degradation of the natural environment, pollution and low agricultural productivity[14, 15] as well as insecurity of lives and property, hence, a reduction in quality of life expectations in Niger Delta communities [13]. The Niger Delta consists of the nine States of Abia, Akwa-Ibom, Bayelsa, Cross-River, Delta, Edo, Imo, Ondo and Rivers. Ethnically, the region

consists of the Ijaw, Urhobo, Efik, Ibibio, Ogoni, Edo, Yoruba (mainly Itsekiri and Ilaje) and the Igbo[16]. The Niger Delta region is therefore of immense geopolitical, ecologic and economic importance. It is also an important agro-climatic region in Nigeria. Fig. 1 shows the map of the Niger Delta region of Nigeria.



Figure 1. Map of the Niger Delta region of Nigeria

#### 2.2. Sample Collection

Pipe borne water, borehole water and stream water samples from different states in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria were collected. All plastics and glasses utilized were pre-treated by washing with dilute HCl (0.05m) and later rinsed with distilled water. They were then air-dried in a dust free environment. At the collection point, containers were rinsed with relevant samples twice and filled with samples and then corked tightly.

#### 2.3. Physico-Chemical Analysis

The analysis of various physico-chemical parameters analyzed namely pH, total alkalinity, chlorides, sulphate, nitrate, total hardness, calcium, magnesium, electrical conductivity, dissolved oxygen, biochemical oxygen demand, total dissolved solids and total suspended solids were carried out as per methods described in[17,18].

#### 2.4. Calculation of Water Quality Index

In this study, for the calculation water quality index, thirteen important parameters were chosen. The WQI has been calculated by using standards of drinking water quality recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO) and Indian Council for Medical Research (ICMR). The weighted Arithmetic index method[19] has been used for the calculation of WQI in this study. Further, quality rating or sub index was calculated using the following expression.

qn = 100[Vn - Vio] / [Sn - Vio] (1)

(Let there be *n*water quality parameters and quality rating (qn) corresponding to  $n^{th}$  parameter

is a number reflecting relative value of this parameter in the polluted water with respect to its

standard permissible value)

qn = Quality rating for the  $n^{th}$  Water quality parameter

Vn = Estimated value of the  $n^{th}$  parameter at a given water sampling station

Sn = Standard permissible value of the  $n^{th}$  parameter

Vio = Ideal value of  $n^{th}$  parameter in pure water (i.e., 0 for all other parameters except the parameters pH and Dissolve oxygen[7.0 and 14.6 mg/l respectively])

The unit weight was calculated by a value inversely proportional to the recommended standard value *Sn* of the corresponding parameter.

$$Wn = k/Sn$$
 (2)

Where *Wn*= unit weight for nth parameter

Sn = standard permissible value for nth parameter

k = proportionality constant.

The overall WQI is calculated by the following equation.

$$WQI = \sum qn Wn / \sum Wn$$
(3)

The suitability of WQI values for human consumption according to Table 1[8].

Table 1. Water quality index and quality of water

Water quality index level	Water quality status
0-25	Excellent water quality
25-50	Good water quality
51-75	Poor water quality
76-100	Very poor water quality
>100	Unsuitable for drinking

### 3. Results and Discussion

The results of the physico-chemical parameters of the different samples of pipe borne water, bore hole water and stream water analysed are as shown in Tables 3, 4 and 5 respectively. From the results, it can be seen that the concentrations of the respective parameters are below the WHO/ICMR standards as shown in Table 2 except for some stream water samples. Water quality index indicates the quality of water in terms of index number which represents overall quality of water for any intended use[10]. In this the WQI is established from important study. physico-chemical parameters such as pH, total alkalinity, chlorides, sulphate, nitrate, total hardness, calcium, magnesium, electrical conductivity, dissolved oxygen, biochemical oxygen demand, total dissolved solids and total suspended solids.

The results obtained for the WQI from the different sampling stations were found to be varied from 34.80 to 36.26 for pipe borne water, 38.52 to 48.67 for borehole water and 55.05 to 84.94 for stream water. The results indicate that the different water samples analysed from pipe born and borehole water are safe for safe for human consumption and for other domestic purposes while the samples analysed from stream water are not safe for human consumption[8].

The above water quality index is supported by the following physico-chemical parameters, namely pH, total alkalinity, chlorides, sulphate, nitrate, total hardness, calcium, magnesium, electrical conductivity, dissolved oxygen, biochemical oxygen demand, total dissolved solids and total suspended solids. The variations of the above physico-chemical parameters observed among the different water samples were all within the recommended standards. Among all the physico-chemical parameters selected for the WQI calculations, pH is an important parameter which determines the suitability of water for various purposes [20]. In the present study, pH ranges from 6.87 to 7.05, 6.53 to 7.20 and 5.74 to 8.9 for the entire pipe borne water, borehole water and stream water samples analyzed respectively. This shows that the pH rabge obtained for the stream waqter samples (5.74 to 8.90) was outside the recommended range of 6.50 to 8.50[21, 22]. High pH levels are undesirable since they may impart a bitter taste to the water. Furthermore, the high degree of mineralization associated with alkaline water will result in the encrustation of water pipes and water-using appliances. The combination of high alkalinity and calcium with low pH levels may be less corrosive than water with a combination of high pH, low alkalinity and calcium content. High pH levels also depress the effectiveness of disinfection by chlorination, thereby requiring the use of additional chlorine or longer contact times [5]. The pH of most natural water bodies range from 6.5 to 8.5 while the deviation from neutral pH is as a result of free carbon dioxide or bicarbonate in the water body[23].

In natural water, dissolved solids are composed mainly of carbonates, bicarbonates, chlorides, sulphates, phosphates, nitrates, calcium, magnesium, sodium, potassium, iron and manganese[5]. They originate from dissolution or weathering of the rocks and soil, including dissolution of lime, gypsum and other slowly dissolved soil minerals. Total dissolved solids (TDS) in all the water samples analysed were within the recommended standards for drinking water. TDS in drinking water has also been associated with natural source, sewage, industrial wastewater, urban run-off and chemical used in water treatment process [24]. Hardness is a measure of the ability of water to cause precipitation of insoluble calcium and magnesium salts of higher fatty acids from soap solutions. The principal hardness causing ions are Calcium, Magnesium Bicarbonate, Carbonate, Chloride and Sulphate[8]. The total hardness values of the present study were found to range between 10.92 to 16.07, 27.50 to 60.31 and 14.20 to 32.70 mg/l for the entire pipe borne water, bore hole water and stream water samples analysed respectively.

S/N	Parameters	Standards	Recommended Agency	Unit Weight (Wn)
1	$\mathbf{P}^{\mathrm{H}}$	6.5-8.5	WHO/ICMR	0.1951
2	Total dissolved solids	500	WHO/ICMR	0.0033
3	Total hardness	300	ICMR	0.0055
4	Calcium	75	WHO/ICMR	0.0221
5	Magnesium	50	WHO/ICMR	0.0332
6	Dissolved oxygen	5	WHO/ICMR	0.3317
7	Biochemical oxygen demand	5	WHO/ICMR	0.3317
8	Sulphate	150	ICMR	0.0111
9	Nitrate	45	ICMR	0.0369
10	Chlorides	250	ICMR	0.0066
11	Total suspended solids	500	WHO	0.0033
12	Electrical conductivity	300	ICMR	0.0055
13	Total alkalinity	120	ICMR	0.0138

Table 2. Drinking water standards, recommending Agencies and unit weights. (All values except P<sup>H</sup> and electrical conductivity are in mg/l)

ND= Not detected

Table 3. Water quality index for pipe borne water in Akwa Ibom state, Nigeria

Parameter	S1	\$2	\$3	<u>\$4</u>	<b>S</b> 5	<u>\$6</u>	<b>S</b> 7	58	59	S10
P <sup>H</sup>	6.87	7.01	6.92	7.04	6.98	7.02	6.94	7.05	6.99	6.95
TDS	15.41	14.59	16.22	14.92	17.01	14.22	15.42	15.62	14.59	16.27
TH	11.92	14.04	12.40	13.91	12.67	13.66	16.07	11.09	10.92	12.84
Ca	5.00	4.72	5.20	4.61	5.92	5.04	4.92	4.32	4.62	5.01
Mg	1.52	0.49	2.04	0.92	1.42	0.45	1.92	0.56	1.63	1.01
DO	4.80	4.50	4.78	4.67	4.82	4.72	4.75	4.88	4.79	4.84
BOD	0.01	0.02	ND	0.01	ND	ND	ND	0.01	0.02	0.01
$SO_4^{2-}$	1.72	2.14	1.92	1,45	2.03	1.10	1.66	1.92	2.07	1.80
NO <sub>3</sub>	2.00	1.41	2.40	1.84	1.98	1.92	2.67	2.32	1.31	1.60
Cl	0.23	0.06	0.42	0.60	0.32	0.39	0.42	0.16	0.35	0.28
T SS	0.43	0.32	0.25	0.40	2.70	1.82	0.96	0.43	0.32	2.40
EC	16.00	28.00	20.00	32.00	17.00	21.82	20.10	4.20	7.62	15.50
TA	13.25	17.92	20.10	11.52	22.40	9.02	10.11	18.52	16.20	12.32
∑Wnqn	36.26	35.78	35.78	35.49	34.80	34.94	35.53	35.06	34.76	35.01
∑Wn	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WQI	36.26	35.78	35.78	35.49	34.80	34.94	35.53	35.06	34.76	35.01

Table 4. Water quality index for borehole water samples in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria

Parameter	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	S7	S8
pН	6.88	7.20	6.88	6.95	6.71	6.53	6.98	7.01
TDS	93.2	86.5	101.2	80.5	85.5	54	21	81
TH	30.55	27.5	60.31	40.8	26.7	30.31	38.48	44.5
Са	17.6	20.61	18.4	9.51	16.5	18.66	23.16	8.25
Mg	40.66	12.4	13.52	15.22	10.2	11.65	15.32	36.52
DO	3.46	4.2	3.33	4.82	2.1	0.2	2.7	2
BOD	0.1	0.15	0.05	0.21	0.18	0	0.01	0
$SO_4^{2-}$	2.55	2.75	2.8	3.01	1.00	17.00	14.00	5
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	3.55	4.28	7.5	8.32	4.2	8.8	7.8	1.6
Cl	0.35	0.24	0.38	0.35	0.35	0.33	0.04	0.36
T SS	0.24	0.45	0.96	3.6	0.24	0.24	0.96	2.16
EC	139	129.1	151	120.1	57.29	36.18	14.07	5.27
TA	62.5	110.1	98.5	142	54	172	116	175
∑Wnqn	42.22	42.95	41.38	38.52	42.97	47.96	44.82	48.67
∑Wn	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WQI	42.22	42.95.78	41.38	38.52	42.97	47.96	44.82	48.67

Parameter	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	S7	S8
pН	6.59	6.00	6.00	5.74	6.51	6.2	8.9	5.7
TDS	0.16	0.11	0.12	0.02	0.04	0.03	50	17.2
TH	14.2	18.5	22.11	19.41	32.7	29.42	32.5	13.9
Ca	32.6	82.9	40	56	40	18	38.9	62.01
Mg	29.6	42.9	28	40	31	22.6	11.49	34.00
DO	3.10	3.66	3	3	3.66	3.2	5.49	5.30
BOD	1.60	0.80	0.82	0.7	2	0.4	3.52	4.72
$SO_4^{2-}$	0.03	0.03	0.031	0.04	0.02	0.01	7.2	7.24
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	1.40	0.88	1.4	1.22	0.92	0.9	2.84	1.42
Cl	12.00	11.00	12	17.7	13	12.1	15	18.47
T SS	0.09	0.089	0.076	0.02	0.04	0.03	19.52	11.52
EC	0.04	0.014	0.06	0.016	0.03	0.01	9.41	0.14
TA	17.4	19.40	14.59	17.42	24.5	35.23	22.4	19.8
$\Sigma W_{22}$ are	() 7(	(1.77	(1.02	(5.91	61.14	55.05	92.17	94.04
2 wnqn	03.76	01.//	61.93	05.81	61.14	55.05	82.17	84.94
∑wn	1.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
WQI	63.76	61.77	61.93	65.81	61.14	55.05	82.17	84.94

Table 5. Water quality index for stream water samples in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria

Keys: S1-S10: Different samples; ND: Not detected; TDS: Total dissolved solids; TH: Total hardness; Ca: Calcium; Mg: Magnesium; DO: Dissolved oxygen; BOD: Biochemical oxygen demand;  $SQ_4^{-2}$ : Sulphate; NO<sub>3</sub>: Nitrate; Cl: Chloride; TSS: Total suspended solids; EC: Electrical conductivity; TA: Total alkalinity; Wn: Unit weight for nth parameter; qn: Quality rating for the *n*<sup>th</sup> water quality parameter; WQI: Water quality index

Magnesium is often associated with calcium in all kinds of water. Magnesium is essential for chlorophyll growth and acts as a limiting factor for the growth of phytoplankton. Therefore, depletion of magnesium reduces the number of phytoplankton's population[5]. The quantities of Calcium in natural water depend upon the type of rocks. Small concentration of calcium is beneficial in reducing the corrosion in water pipes. Magnesium hardness particularly associated with sulphate ion has laxative effect on persons unaccustomed to it. In the present study, Calcium and Magnesium contents are with within the recommended standards. Dissolved oxygen analysis measures the amount of gaseous oxygen  $(O_2)$  dissolved in an aqueous solution. Dissolved Oxygen (DO) is an important parameter which is essential to the metabolism of all aquatic organisms that posses aerobic respiration[25]. Presence of DO in water may be due to direct diffusion from air and photosynthetic activity of autotrophs [26]. Oxygen can be rapidly removed from the waters by discharge of oxygen demanding wastes[20]. The values of DO obtained in this study are within the recommended standards except for some samples of stream water analysed.

The biochemical oxygen demand, abbreviated as BOD, is a test for measuring the amount of biodegradable organic material present in a sample of water. The results are expressed in term of mg/L of BOD which microorganisms, principally bacteria will consume while degrading these materials. Biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) is the parameter used to assess the pollution of surface water and ground water[24]. The values obtained for BOD in this study are within the recommended standards for all the water samples analysed. Electrical conductivity (EC) is the measure of the ability of an aqueous solution to convey an electric current. This ability depends upon the presence of ions, their total concentration, mobility, valence and temperature. The EC values recorded in this study were within the recommended standards.

Sulphate cannot readily be removed from drinking water, except by expensive process such as distillation, reverse osmosis or electrodialysis. The concentrations of sulphate in all the samples analysed in this study ranged from 0.01 to 17.00 mg/L. Diarrhea can be induced at sulphate levels greater than 500 mg/L but typically near 750 mg/L. While sulphate imparts a slightly milder taste to drinking water than chloride, no significant taste effects are detected below 300 mg/L[10]. Nitrate concentration depends on the activity of nitrifying bacteria which in turn get influenced by presence of dissolved oxygen. In the present study the values of nitrate obtained were within the recommended standards for the entire water samples analysed. Nitrate is the most important nutrients in an ecosystem[20]. Generally water bodies polluted by organic matter exhibit higher values of nitrate.

Excess of chloride in inland water is usually taken as index of pollution. The salts of sodium, potassium and calcium contribute chlorides in water. Large contents of chloride in freshwater is an indicator of pollution[5]. The sewage water and industrial effluent are rich in high chloride and hence the discharge of these wastes result in high chloride level in fresh water. SMCL (Secondary Maximum Contaminant Limit.) of 250 mg/L for chloride is the level above which the taste of the water may become objectionable to the consumer. In addition to the adverse taste effects, high chloride concentration levels in the water contribute to the deterioration of domestic plumbing, water heaters and municipal water works equipment. High chloride concentrations in the water may also be associated with the presence of sodium in drinking water. In the present study, the values of chloride obtained were within the recommended standards for the entire water samples analysed.

## 4. Conclusions

Assembling different parameters into one single number leads an easy interpretation of index, thus providing an important tool for management purposes. An index is a useful tool for "communicating water quality information to the public and to legislative decision makers;" it is not "a complex predictive model for technical and scientific application". From the application of water quality index for the determination of the quality of water from different sources in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria, it is concluded that all the pipe borne water and bore hole water samples analysed in this study are fit and suitable for drinking and for other domestic applications while the stream water samples are found unfit and unsuitable for human consumption based on the water quality index standard applied in this study. The source of pollution of these streams as observed at the site includes human activities as defecation and dumping of untreated waste. The streams could be free or level of pollution reduced if proper measures are put in place to discourage the users from polluting the water in order to bring about improved health.

## REFERENCES

- Onweluzo, J. C. and Akuagbazie, C. A.(2010). Assessment of the quality of bottled and sachet water Sold in nsukka town. Agro-Science Journal of Tropical Agriculture, Food, Environment and Extension Volume 9 Number 2, pp. 104 -110
- [2] Okonko, I. O., Ogunjobi, A. A., Adejoye, A. D., Ogunnusi, T. A. and Olasogba, M. C. (2008). Comparative studies and microbial risk assessment of different water samples used for processing frozen sea foods in Ijora-olopa,Lagos State,Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 7 (16):2902-2907.
- [3] Umeh, C. N., Okorie, O. I. and Emesiani, G. A. (2005). Towards the provision of safe drinking water: The bacteriological quality and safety of sachet water in Awka, Anambra State. In: the Book of Abstract of the 29th Annual Conference & General Meeting on Microbes As Agents of Sustainable Development, organized by Nigerian Society for Microbiology (NSM), University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, pp. 22.
- [4] Hughes, J. M. and Koplan, J. P. (2005). Saving Lives through Global Safe Water. Journal of Emerging Infectious Diseases, 11(10): 1636-1637.
- [5] Chandra, S; Singh, A and Tomar, P. K (2012). Assessment of Water Quality Values in Porur Lake Chennai, Hussain Sagar Hyderabad and Vihar Lake Mumbai, India. Chem Sci Trans., 1(3), 508-515 Chemical Science Transactions. DOI:10.7598/cst2012.169
- [6] Ayoade, J. O. (1975). Water resources and their development In nigeria. Hydrological Sciences-~Bulletin~des Sciences Hydrologiques, XX, 4 12/1975

- [7] Miller, W. W., Joung, H. M., Mahannah, C. N. and Garrett, J. R. 1986. J Environ Quality 15: 265-272.
- [8] Asuquo, J. E.; Etim, E. E. 2012a. Water quality index for assessment of borehole water quality in Uyo metropolis, Akwa Ibom state, Nigeria. *International Journal of Modern Chemistry*, 1(3): 102-108.
- [9] Stambuk-Giljanovic, N. 1999. "Water quality evaluation by an index Dalmatia," Water Research, 33 (16): 3423-3440. doi:10.1016/S0043-1354(99)00063-9
- [10] Etim, E. E, Akpan, I. U, Andrew, C. Edet, E. J. (2012). Determination of water quality index of pipe borne water in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. International journal of chemical sciences, 5(2):179-182
- [11] World Bank (1995). Defining an Environmental Development Strategy for the Niger Delta. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- [12] National Population Commission of Nigeria (2009). 2006 Population and Housing Census of the Federal Republic of Nigeria: National and State Population and Housing Tables – Priority Tables Vol.1. Abuja: NPC.
- [13] Nzeadibe, T.C and C. K Ajaero.(2010). Assessment of socio-economic characteristics and quality of life expectations in rural communities of Enugu State, Nigeria. Applied Research in Quality of Life. 5 (4) 353- 371.DOI: 10.1007/s11482-010-9096-4.
- [14] Abutudu, M., Joab-Peterside, S., and Isumonah, V. (2007). Akwa Ibom State. In L.A Jinadu., B.A. Chokor., O. Ibeanu., Y. Oruwari., P.A. Egom., M. Abutudu., V.A. Isumonah., S. Joab-Peterside., N. Toyo., D. Garuba., T. Bolton., and U. Ukiwo (Eds.), Democracy, Oil and Politics in the Niger Delta: Linking Citizens' Perceptions and Policy Reform (pp.94-139). Port Harcourt: Centre for Advanced Social Science.
- [15] Ibeanu, O., Oruwari, Y., and Egom, P.A (2007). Abia State. In L.A Jinadu., B.A. Chokor., O. Ibeanu., Y. Oruwari., P.A. Egom., M. Abutudu., V.A. Isumonah., S. Joab-Peterside., N. Toyo., D. Garuba., T. Bolton., and U Ukiwo (Eds.), Democracy, Oil and Politics in the Niger Delta: Linking Citizens' Perceptions and Policy Reform (pp.49-93). Port Harcourt.: Centre for Advanced Social Science.
- [16] Ibeanu, O (2006).Civil Society and Conflict Management in the Niger Delta: Scoping Gaps for Policy and Advocacy. CLEEN Foundation Monograph Series No.2. Lagos: CLEEN Foundation.
- [17] APHA. American public health association, Standard Method of the Examination of Water and Wastewater.18th edition, Washington D. C, 1992.
- [18] WHO. 1992. International standards for drinking water. World Health Organization. Geneva, Switzerland.
- [19] Brown, R.M; Mccleiland, N.J; Deiniger, R.A; M.F.A. O' Connor. M.F.A. 1972. Water quality index – crossing the physical barrier (Jenkis, S H ed) Proc. Intl. Conf. on Water Poll. Res. Jerusalem 6: 787 – 797
- [20] Chandaluri, S. R; Sreenivasa, R. A.; Hariharann, V.L.N; Manjula, R. 2010. Determination of water quality index of some areas in Guntur district Andhra Pradesh. IJABPT, 1: 79-86.

- [21] World Health Organization, Guidelines for drinking water quality, 3rd Edn., WHO, Geneva, 2000.
- [22] ICMR. 1975. Manual of standards of quality for drinking water supplies. Indian Council of Medical Research, Spe. Rep. No. 44.
- [23] Edet, E. J; Etim E. E; Titus, O. M. 2012. Bacteriological and physicochemical analyses of streams water in Nduetong Oku community, Uyo, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. International Journal Of Modern Chemistry, 3(1):65-73.
- [24] Asuquo, J. E., and Etim, E. E .2012b. Physicochemical and bacteriological analysis of borehole water in selected areas in uyo metropolis. International Journal of Modern Chemistry, Vol. 2 (1): 7-14.
- [25] Wetzel, G. W., 2001. Limnology: Lake and River Ecosystems. Academic Press, New York. 15-2.
- [26] Shanthi. K; Ramasamy, P; Lashmanperumalsamy, M. 2002. Hydrological study of Singanallur lake at Coimbatore, Nature Environment& Pollution Technology, 1(2), 97-101.