A Review of Food Service Selection Factors Important to the Consumer

Caroline Opolski Medeiros^{*}, Elisabete Salay

Department of Food and Nutrition, University of Campinas, Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil

Abstract A literature review was carried out to identify the important factors perceived by consumers when choosing a food service. The review was carried out in Scopus, Scielo and the Web of Science. The price, atmosphere, food quality and location were the attributes most investigated by the researchers. The food quality and taste were perceived as essential by consumers for all types of restaurants. On choosing fast-food restaurants the price and speed of service were the most important factors. On selecting other types of restaurants, the mostrelevant factors were the food quality and taste, followed by attributes related toservice. Price was shown to be important for the student population, lower-income populations and individuals who eat out less frequently. With respect to gender, women perceived the preferences of their families and the safety of food as more important than men. Differences in the degree of importance given to the selection factors were observed according to the meal context. This review showed that further research, applying accurate methods, is needed to broadly understand the choices of differing establishments by consumers.

Keywords Food Service Industry, Restaurant, Consumer

1. Introduction

Food consumption away-from-home is relevant in various countries [1, 2, 3]. In the United States, for example, 48.7% of the food expenses were used away-from-home in 2011[2]. In Brazil, this proportion is increasing and reached the value of 31 % in 2008 and 2009[4]. In 2009, 48% of lunch meals were taken in restaurants in Canada, a proportion 4% higher than in 2008[5].

The increasing relevance of food consumed away-from-h ome brings new challenges for public health policies. Although, the impact of consumption away-from-home in the diet and health is still unclear, studies have shown that consumers can make healthy food choices in restaurants[6, 7]. For example, in self-service restaurants with a wide variety of food offered, individuals can ingest more vegetables and low energetic density food[6]. However, the elevated consumption of high energetic density food has been associated with the frequency in certain types of foodservice[8, 9, 10], and in addition, some food borne diseases were shown to originate in the foodservices[11, 12].

Studies involving consumer behavior have looked for replies to questions such as: *what, why, when* and *where* the people do their shopping[13]. The consumer decision making process can involve 5 steps: 1) problem recognition;

caroline.opolski@gmail.com (Caroline Opolski Medeiros) Published online at http://journal.sapub.org/fph 2) information gathering; 3) evaluation of alternatives; 4)decision making; and 5) post-purchase behavior[14]. In the first step, the consumer identifies a problem or need (for example feeling hungry), thenhe or she searches for information about the product or service from different sources(personal, commercial, public and experiential).Next, the consumer evaluates the alternatives (for example, different restaurants). At this stage, the consumer may consider each service(or product) as a set of attributes (for example, the attribute price and the safety of the food to choose a restaurant), each attribute havingdifferent level of importance.In the evaluation of alternatives step the consumer defines preferences among the services, and can form an intention to use the preferred [14]. The monitoring of thepost-purchase behavior can be important because it is possible to observe the level of consumer satisfaction, and failures can be corrected [14]. Cultural, personal, social and physiological factors may interfere in the consumer decision making process[14].

Previous studies have analyzed the food service consumerfrom different perspectives. For instance, Dunn et al.[15] verified the motives for eating in fast-food restaurants using the Theory of Planned Behavior, whereas Pettijohn et al.[16] and Namkung and Jang[17] investigated consumer satisfaction on frequenting food services. On the other hand, Han et al.[18], in addition to studying consumer satisfaction, focused their research on the intention to go back to that particular food service establishment. In parallel, Verma [19], on analyzing the hospitality industry, observed that the clients evaluated the advantages and disadvantages of the

^{*} Corresponding author:

Copyright © 2013 Scientific & Academic Publishing. All Rights Reserved

different services before making their choices, and their decisions could be associated with different factors simultaneously (e.g. price, brand and quality).

Although to the best of our knowledge no recent reviews on the relevant factors related to the selection of commercial restaurants by clients were published in the scientific literature. However, a general view on scientific evidence on this subject could contribute to the conception of public and private strategies with regard to foodservices. In addition, the scientific gaps and deficiencies on the subject should be pointed out.

Thus the objective of the present paper was to investigate and analyze studies concerning the factors perceived as relevant by consumers in selecting food services, when eating meals away from home.

2. Methodology

2.1. Mapping the Review

A review of the factors related to the choice of restaurants was carried out in the period from April 1st 2010 to August 25^{th} 2011 using the Scopus, Scielo and Web of Science electronic data bases, with no restrictions for the year of publication. The search was made using the following descriptor terms: [{away from home food}or{eating out}or{restaurant}or{food-service}] and[{attitude} or {perception} or {choice} or {selection} or {preference} or {opinion} or {behavior}] and[{consumer} or {customer}], with a total of 56 combinations. The summaries of the articles were analyzed, and, when necessary, the whole manuscript, in order to verify the inclusion criteria and select the articles.

Papers meeting the following criteria were included in the review: (a) the study investigated the factors considered by consumers when choosing a food service, except when exclusively for delivery; (b) the article was published in a scientific journal; (c) the work had to be original and could not be a review; (d) the articles were published in English, Portuguese, Spanish or Italian.

The search in the data bases resulted in a total of 1,298 citations. After a review of the titles and summaries, 150 articles were selected by applying the inclusion criteria, and the entire articles obtained. After a detailed reading of the whole articles, it was shown that 126 of the studies did not satisfy the inclusion criteria and were excluded from the analysis, just 24 original articles remaining. Thus it was decided to include other papers cited in the chosen articles, these papers being identified by reading the articles, resulting in the addition of a further 21 articles which met the inclusion criteria to the review, giving a total of 45 original articles for analysis.

To characterize the studies investigated, the following data were analyzed: year published, place where the study was carried out, type of restaurant investigated, study methodology and type of consumer investigated. The results of the studies were first analyzed by focusing on the factors of choice, in sequence focusing on the factors according to the characteristics of the population, and finally on the factors according to the type of restaurant and occasion investigated.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of the Studies

Forty-five studies on the selection of food services were analyzed. Table 1 shows the characterization of the type and nature of the survey, as also the data collection method employed in the survey.

The articles were published between 1979 and 2011, 66.7% of them being concentrated between 2001 and 2011 showing the current importance of this subject for the sector. With respect to localization, the majority of the studies were developed in North America (approximately 55.6%), and the surveys were carried out amongst adults or adolescents, 31.1% being undergraduate or postgraduate students (Table 2).

 Table 1.
 Characterization of the Studies Analyzed Concerning the Choice of Food Services, Published in the Period from 1979 to August of 2011

Characterization of the studies	Number of studies (n)	Frequency (% of total studies)
Туре	of research	
Survey	41	91.1
Qualitative	3	6.7
Experim ental	1	2.2
Nature	ofresearch	
Exploratory	8	17.8
Descriptive	37	82.2
Тур	es of data	
Primary	43	95.6
Secondary	2	4.4
Method of	f data collection	
Self completion questionnaires		
Postal	3	6.7
Self administered	19	42.2
Online	3	6.7
Interviews		
Telephone	1	2.2
Face to face	15	33.3
Focus groups	1	2.2
*NI	3	6.7

*NI - not clearly informed in the study

The validity of the instruments used in their studies was only clearly reported in 9 articles (20.0%),[20-28]. In the other surveys, pre-tests were carried out before the data collection[29-35]. The instrument reliability was evaluated in 15 studies (33.3%) [22-28, 36-43].

The use of a theoretical model as a base for the study was cited in 24.4% of the papers [20-22, 25, 29, 32, 34, 39, 44-46],

and the model most used was that of multi-attributes[29, 34, 44].

Twenty-three studies used literature reviews to determine the attributes of the choice of restaurants to be analyzed in the survey[20, 23-29, 31, 34, 36, 37, 39, 41, 43, 47-54]. The factors that influenced the choice of restaurant varied according to the sample of individuals investigated. Thus it is important to determine these factors in the group studied by way of an exploratory survey with pre-structured interviews or focal groups, a procedure carried out in nine articles[26, 27, 33, 40, 41, 44, 46, 55, 56].

3.2. Food Service Choice Factors

The selection factors were categorized into 6 groups: 1) service, 2) installations and ambience of the place, 3) foods, 4) price, 5) localization, and 6) other factors (Table 3).

3.2.1. Attributes for Service

Of these, the speed of service was the attribute most studied by the researchers (44.4% of the studies) (Table 3). Ayala et al.[38], Kara et al.[48, 57], Tucci and Talaga[58],

Knutson[59] and Baek et al.[32] showed that the speed of service was an important to moderately important item for the consumers who ate meals away from home. However, in the surveys carried out by Gregory andKim[51], Goyaland Singh[42] and SanchesandSalay[35], this item was not so highly valorized when compared with the other attributes analyzed.

In a study carried out by Sweeney et al.[47], the consumers perceived the behavior of the employees as the most important item in the selection of a restaurant. Similar findings were observed in other surveys[20, 22, 23, 26, 27, 33, 37, 48, 49, 58, 59]. SanchesandSalay[35] found that the most relevant attribute for the consumers was the hygiene of the employees. Another important attribute was the availability of employees ready to carry out their activities, this being considered the main item in the loyalty of choice of a food service in the survey carried out by June andSmith[29]. The "friendliness of the employees" in food services was also strongly related to consumer satisfaction on frequenting a food service[49].

Table 2. Characteristics of the Population Interviewed, Type of Restaurant and Results for the Principal Factors Considered in the Choice of Restaurant in the Articles

Author, year	Country	Type of restaurant studied	Population studied (number)	Age (years)	Principal factors considered in the choice of restaurants
Miller et al. 1979[44]	United States	Fast-food	General population (n=742)	NI	1) week-day lunch: food flavor, service speed, hygiene and convenience, price, variety on menu and popular with children; 2) lunch during visit to shopping: food flavor, hygiene, convenience, service speed, price, variety on menu and popular with children; 3) meal at night when short of time: food flavor, service speed, convenience, hygiene, price, variety on menu and popular with children; 4) meal with family when time not short: food flavor, hygiene, price, variety on menu and popular with children, service speed
Lewis 1981[60]	United States	Rest aurant : family/ popular, atmosphere, and gourmet	General population (n=110)	NI	Food quality. In the popular restaurant this was followed by the factors of atmosphere, price, variety on the menu and factors of convenience. In the atmosphere restaurant, the second attribute was price, followed by the atmosphere and factors of convenience. In the Gournet restaurant it was the variety on the menu, atmosphere and factors of convenience Liquor license, followed by the availability of attentive employees
June et al. 1987[29]	NI	Restaurants	General population (n=50)	NI	to carry out the services and privacy. In intimate dinners and celebrations with friends: liquor license. In family dinners and work lunches: the presence of attentive employees. In intimate dinners: privacy
Auty 1992[61]	United Kingdom	Pubs and ethnic restaurants	General population (n=115)	>16	Type of food served, followed by the quality of the food, value for money, image and atmosphere. On social and convenience occasions: type of food followed by the quality of the food. For celebrations: indication
Sweeney et al. 1992[47]	Australia	Rest aurant s	Students (n=56)	NI	Behavior of the employees and indication of the rest aurant. The price when the meal was with a group of friends, and the appearance of the other consumers when having a special meal with one friend
Kara et al. 1996[57]	United States and Canada	Fast-food	General population, (n=179 USA,141 Canada)	$< 25 \text{ to } \ge 46$	Frequent consumers – delivery service, variety, service, quality, hygiene, and the agreeability of the employees (USA); seating capacity, hygiene, nutritional value, agreeability of the employees (Canada). Less frequent consumers – novelties for children, price and nutritional value (USA); price, localization and novelties for children (Canada)

Author, year	Country	Type of restaurant studied	Population studied (number)	Age (years)	Principal factors considered in the choice of restaurants
Hsu et al. 1997[20]	Korea	Quick-servi ce, family-style , fine dining rest aurant	Students (n=292)	Mean age of 23	1) Quick-service restaurants – hygiene of the utensils, washroom area, food flavor, appearance of the employees, freshness, friendly service; convenient localization; quick service and varied menu; 2) Family-style restaurants – food flavor, famous items on menu, variety, presentation, portion and freshness, and all the aspects of hygiene, service, atmosphere and offer of products
Kara et al. 1997[48]	United States and Canada	Fast-food	General population (n=179 USA, 141 Canada)	<25 to ≥46	Frequent consumers – delivery service, variety, quick service, quality, hygiene and agreeability of employees (USA); place to sit, hygiene, nutritional value, agreeability of employees (USA); place to sit, hygiene, nutritional value, agreeability of employees and variety (Canada). Less frequent consumers – novelties for children price and nutritional value (USA); price, localization and novelties for children (Canada)
Tucciet al. 1997[58]	ciet al. 1997[58] United States		MBA students (n=161)	NI	Quick service, friendly employees and food quality
Anderson et al. 1998[50]	China	Fast-food	General population (n=797)	<25 to <50	Price, followed by a fast work pace and influence of friends
Clark et al. 1998[49]	NI	Restaurants	Adult university employees (n=31)	21 to 65	Food variety, food quality and flavor, price, environment and service, quick service, preference
Rumore et al. 1999[63]	United States	Fast-food	Students $(n=915)$	≥ 17	Quality, price and localization
Verma et al. 1999[55]	United States	Pizza House	Under and postgraduate students (n= 89)	NI	Reliability of service and pizza filling
Knutson 2000[59]	United States	Fast-food	Students	NI	Hygiene, agreeability, price, speed, consistency of items on the menu
Susskind et al. 2000[62]	Canada	Restaurants	Students (n=200)	18 to 30	Food quality followed by service and decoration
Azanza 2001 [30]	Philippin es	Fast-food	University students (n=100)	16 to 22 Mean age of 19	Tasty food, offer of safe food, reasonable price of the food, cleanliness of the establishment and quick service
Mattila 2001[36]	United States	Casual-dini ng restaurant	Post graduate students (n=124)	Mean age of 22	Food quality followed by service and atmosphere
Moschis et al. 2003[31]	United States	Restaurants	General population and senior citizens (n=2.082)	\leq 54 to \geq 55	Individuals aged \geq 55: Offer of special discounts according to age, comfort, located near to home or workplace, and recommendation by other people in same age range as consumer. Individuals aged \leq 54: comfort, located near to home or workplace, recommendation by other people in same age range as consumer, located near to
Gregory et al. 2004[51]	NI	Restaurants	General population (n=97)	NI	other places frequented by consumer Food quality, followed by cost, localization, atmosphere and type o food. Information that most influenced their choice was that obtained from friends and relatives
Park 2004[37]	Korea	Fast-food	General population (n=279)	<18to>30	Food flavor, followed by cleanliness, agreeability of employees, quick service and installations
Ayala et al. 2005[38]	United States	Restaurants and Fast-food	Latin women (n=357)	18 to 67 Mean age of 39.7	Taste of the food, distance from home, variety on menu and influence of children and family. 1) Fast-food: influence of children and family, followed by services, knowledge of foods offered, east of access and quick service, price, distance from home or workplace, food quality. 2) Other establishments: knowledge of the food offered, followed by services, food quality, influence of children and friends, ease of access, quick service and price
Laroche et al. 2005 [21]	Japan	Fast-food brands	Post graduate students (n=25)	18 to 28	The evaluation of consumer cognition has an impact on both their attitudes and level of confidence in a brand, and on their intention to buy the brand

			Tab	ole 2. Continue	ed
Author, year	Country	Type of restaurant studied	Population studied (number)	Age (years)	Principal factors considered in the choice of restaurants
Laroche et al. 2005[39]	China	Restaurants	General population (n=299)	<20 to ≥60	Discount with coupons (for low-income population)
Schroder et al. 2005[40]	United Kingdom	Fast-food	Post graduat e student s (NI)	17 to 25	Speed and convenience, flavor of food, price, quality of ingredients used
Stewart et al. 2005[56]	United States	Fast-food and fine dining restaurant	General population (n=858)	NI	Fast-food: Convenience. Fine-dining: Ambience
Baek et al 2006[32]	Korea and Philippin es	Fast-food	Students (n=303 Korea, 329 Philippines)	Mean age of 22.09 (Korea) and 18.21 (Philippines)	The price, brand, factors related to the foods and factors related to the service and hygiene (Koreans); The price, followed by factors related to the foods and factors of hygiene, service and brand (Filipinos). Both valued positive past experiences
Henson et al. 2006[33]	Canada	Restaurants	General population (n=321)	NI	The perception of food safety
Knutson et al. 2006[41]	United States	Restaurants	Senior citizens (n=685)	≥ 50	Factors related to experience (friendliness, menu variety, location, value, price, convenience, speed of service) were more important than those related to incentives (early bird discounts, coupons, senior discounts, 2-for-1 specials, senior menu, doggie bag, combination meals)
Akbay et al. 2007[53]	Turkey	Fast-food	General population (n=384)	≥ 35	Price, concern with health and preferences of children
Goyalet al. 2007[42]	India	Fast-food	Adolescents (n=171)	20 to 27	Variety of foods, followed by food flavor and quality, ambience and hygiene, service speed, price, localization of establishment and preference of other people
Jang et al. 2007[52]	United States	Restaurants	Under and postgraduate students (n=318)	Mean age of 24	Association programs
Oyewole 2007[45]	United States	Fast-food	Afro-American adults (n=315)	≥18	Hygiene and reliability, expeditiousness, ample offers, courtesy/attention, quality
Zopiatis et al. 2007[22]	Republic of Cyprus	Restaurants	Students (n=237)	NI	Hygiene, followed by employee attitude, quality of menu items, professionalism of employees, atmosphere, speed of service, special discounts and promotions, localization of restaurant, price and privacy
Njite et al. 2008[34]	United States	Fine dining restaurant	General population (n=142)	24 to 48	Relationship between employee and consumer, followed by employee competence, convenience, atmosphere and price
Rydell et al. 2008[65]	United States	Fast-food	Adolescents and adults (n=594)	≥16	Speed and facility/ convenience, as also flavor and low cost of food
Tinoco et al. 2008[46]	Brazil	Full table service restaurant	Adults (n=24)	>25	1) group of couples without children: possibility of experimenting new dishes and respect for different cultures as criteria of choice; 2) group of friends: cost of service, type of food and size of portion; 3) group of family: options offered to distract children and practicality of the local; 4) group of executive clients: client preferences and availability of tables
Liu et al. 2009[23]	United States	Chinese restaurant	General population (n=284)	Mean age of 37	Food flavor, food safety, food freshness, cleanliness of ambient, and appropriate temperatures of the food were the five most important attributes
Mamalis 2009 [24]	Greece	Fast-food	General population (n=400)	NI	Adaptation to locality, food quality and flavor, services offered by establishment, pleasure of visiting or being at a restaurant, promotional programs, quality attributes, high quality delivery service and ambience

Table 2. Continued

			140	ie 2. (Continu	
Author, year	Country	Type of rest aurant studied	Population studied (number)	Age (years)	Principal factors considered in the choice of restaurants
Choiet al. 2010[43]	United States	Rest aurant s	General population (n=307)	<20 to \geq 50	Factors related to ambient and service, followed by concern with health and economic values
Ha et al. 2010[25]	United States	Korean restaurant	General population (n=607)	Mean age of 37.7	Cost, taste and menu options
Kim et al. 2010[26]	United States	Fine dining, Buffet and Family/casu al dining	Senior citizens (n=76)	≥ 50	Fine dining: food and beverage taste, food and beverage quality and friendliness of service. Buffet: variety of menu items, food and beverage taste, parking and food and beverage quality. Family/casual dining: food and beverage taste, food and beverage quality and friendliness of service
Kim et al. 2010[27]	United States	Fine dining, Buffet and Family/casu al dining	Senior citizens (n=393)	≥ 50	Fine dining: taste of food, consistency of food quality, servers' knowledge about menu, friendliness of employees and consistency of service quality. Buffet:taste of food, variety of menu choice, adequate parking space, consistency of food quality and comfortable seating. Family/casual dining: taste of food, consistency of food quality, friendliness of employees, consistency of service quality and servers' knowledge about menu
Kozak 2010[54]	Turkey	Restaurants	Married population (n=226)	Middle-age d individuals	Obligations
Jang et al. 2011[28]	United States	Green restaurant	Y generation (n=322)	17 to 30	Value and reliability of service, food quality and reputation of restaurant
Sancheset al. 2011[35]	Brazil	Restaurants	General population (n=250)	≥ 18	Hygiene of employees followed by hygiene of establishment, food quality, taste and appearance

Table 2. (Continued)

NI: not informed

On the other hand, "service reliability" was the most relevant attribute studied by VermaandThompson[55] and Jang et al.[28]. Liu andJang[23] and Kim et al.[26, 27] also found that a reliable and consistent service was important. However, in the United States, TucciandTalaga[58] and Moschis et al.[31] observed that the guarantee to the client that the service would be offered as expected was not an important factor at the moment of choosing a restaurant.

In some studies a "delivery service" was the factor that most contributed to the choice of an establishment[48, 57]. On the other hand this element was only perceived as moderately important in the survey carried out by Hsu et al.[20]. The "efficiency" and "high quality of the delivery service" were shown to be relevant by Azanza[30] and Mamalis[24].

In a study by Kara et al.[57] in Canada, the seating capacity was valorized by consumers who most constantly frequented food services. The possibility of remaining in the place after the meal and the parking facilities were attributes perceived as moderately important to important[20].

"Offers of promotional programs" were also analyzed by some researchers, and were cited as very important by consumer in the studies of Jang et al.[52], Mamalis[24] and Kim et al.[26]. Jang et al.[52] analyzed the influence of the cost of joining membership programs in restaurants, and showed a consumer preference for the cheapest ones.

As shown in table 3, other attributes were also analyzed, but were perceived as less relevant by consumers when

choosing a food service.

3.2.2. Installation and Ambient Attributes

The "atmosphere" of an establishment was shown to be a relevant factor in 33.3% of the studies analyzed[20, 22, 24, 26, 27, 35-37, 42, 49, 51, 56, 60-62]. However June and Smith[29] and Jang et al.[28] only found moderate importance for this attribute.

In a study carried out by Stewart et al.[56] the importance of the ambience was positively related to fine-dining establishments, and negatively related to fast-food restaurants. Similar findings were encountered by Hsu et al.[20], who observed that this attribute was highly perceived by consumers in selecting family and fine-dining type restaurants, but not in the choice of quick service ones. Kim et al.[26, 27] also found that this factor was more important in the choice of fine-dining and casual-dining restaurants than in those of the buffet type.

Another factor valorized in the selection of food services was hygiene[20, 22, 23, 30, 32, 35, 37, 42-44, 59, 63]. The general appearance of the restaurant and the hygiene of the kitchen, chinaware, dining area and restrooms stood out as elements perceived by the consumers as inferring the level of food safety of the restaurant[33]. The consumer perception of food safety can influence the choice of restaurant[33]. However, the individuals' knowledge about food safety practices in restaurants is limited, and consumer education action in this area is necessary[64]. Other attributes understood as moderately important to important were: an attractive external design, an area for non-smokers, a tranquil dining area, music, a spacious dining area and attractive presentation[20], privacy[20, 22] and the comfort of the place[26, 27, 31].

3.2.3. Food Attributes

The quality of the food was observed to be the most important factor in choosing to go to a restaurant by Lewis[60], Rumore et al.[63], Susskind and Chan[62], Gregory and Kim[51] and Goyal and Singh[42]. This attribute also showed considerable relevance in other studies[22, 24-28, 32, 35, 36, 38, 49, 55, 58, 61]. "Food quality" also affected consumer satisfaction, as shown by Clark and Wood[49].

In the survey carried out by Auty[61], the type of food offered at the establishment was the most valorized item in the selection of a restaurant. Gregory and Kim[51] and Tinoco and Ribeiro[46] also observed that this element influenced the choice made by the consumers interviewed.

On the other hand, the attribute that most contributed to the choice of a restaurant according to Miller and Ginter[44], Park[37], Ayala et al.[38], Goyal and Singh[42], Ha and Jang[25] and Kim et al.[26, 27] was the taste of the food. This aspect was also shown to be important in studies carried out by Hsu et al.[20], Anderson and He[50], Clark and Wood[49], Azanza[30], Rydell et al.[65], Liu and Jang[23], Mamalis[24] and Sanches and Salay[35].

The "variety of the menu" was another relevant factor in the selection of food services (Table 2), although in some studies this attribute was relatively less important in the choice of restaurant [22, 23, 35, 37]. The "variety of the menu" seems to affect consumer loyalty and satisfaction with respect to a specific food service [49, 25; respectively]. It should be mentioned that Choi and Zhao [43] observed the importance of offering a variety of healthy options to the consumers.

Other attributes related to the food that were also relevant in the selection of restaurants were: the nutritional value[43, 48, 57], the appearance[35], the coherence of the items on the menu, size of the portion, temperature of the food when served, freshness, offer of foods requested by the client and the offer of healthy foods (Tables 2 and 3). Some other items presented moderate value: authenticity, aroma and presentat ion of the food served[23]. The rest of the items were categorized as of little importance.

3.2.4. Price

The "price" was the attribute most researched in the studies analyzed (64.4%) (Table 3). This item was shown to be important in the selection of a food service in the majority of the studies that investigated it. Baek et al.[32] showed that Korean and Filipino consumers perceived price to be the most relevant factor in the choice of a restaurant. On the other hand, for American consumers the price was the

second factor in the selection of a family/popular restaurant or an atmosphere restaurant[60]. This item was also the third most valorized factor in the selection of ethnic restaurants and pubs[61], as also for fast-food restaurants[59]. Price was also shown to be important in the selection of restaurants by Rumore et al.[63], Gregory and Kim[51], Ayala et al.[38], Goyal and Singh[42], Njite et al.[34] and Kim et al.[26].

However, in other studies the price was considered to be an attribute of little importance when compared to other factors[20, 23, 29, 33, 35-37].

3.2.5. Localization

The attribute of localization was investigated in 44.4% of the studies (Table 3). A "convenient localization" and "localization" were attributes understood as important in the selection of food services according to Hsu et al.[20], Rumore et al.[63], Gregory and Kim[51], Ayala et al.[38], Stewart et al.[56] and Goyal and Singh[42]. When close to the residence or place of work, the "localization of the establishment" appears to be an important factor in selecting a restaurant[31]. According to Azanza[30], the proximity of the establishments to their residences was of greater importance for students than to be near their schools and/or work.

For individuals with a smaller degree of commitment to restaurant loyalty programs, the localization had greater importance than for individuals with a greater degree of commitment[36]. According to Gregory andKim[51], the localization was a significantly more important attribute for individuals with no information concerning the establishme nt, than for individuals who had such information.

Stewart et al.[56] observed that consumers tended to choose establishments that offered more nutritive options, if they were also more conveniently located and had an agreeable ambience.

Mamalis[24] observed the importance of adapting the restaurant according to the characteristics of the locality (country, region or city). Thus when implanting an establishment in a new locality one should consider the particularities of the region, and, when necessary, always attend the likes of the local population.

3.2.6. Other Factors

The "indication of a restaurant" was the type of informati on most used by consumers for celebrations[61], and other authors made similar findings[31, 50, 47]. According to Moschiset al.[31], when the recommendation was made by individuals in the same age bracket, it could be more effective.

Gregory and Kim[51] showed that the information that most influenced the choice of restaurant was that passed on by friends and relatives (94.5%), this information being more used than that obtained from the media. Such results were also observed in other studies [20, 43, 47, 63].

	Jang et al. 2011[28] Sancheset al. 2011[28]		×			х				x					хх	х						x	×	x	x	x x	x	
	[7] Kim et al. 2010[27]		×			x			×			~	x		×					x		Ş	Ç	×	x		×	
	Kim et al 2010[26]		×			×			×				×		×					×		Ş	Ç	Ş	Ş	Ç	×	
	Choiet al. 2010[43]		, ,	x		, .			, ,			, ,				x				, ,		, .	, ,	, .	<u>~</u>	<u> </u>	×	
	Ha et al. 2010[25]			~					×						×							x		×	×			
	Kozak 2010[54]								~						~										~			
	[42]9002 silemeM			x					x		×				x							x		x				
	[52]6005 15 billion Liu et al. 2009[23]			~		x			~	x	~					x			x						x		×	х
	Tinocoet al. 2009[36]			x		×				, ,						×		x	, ,				×	×	, ,		×	
	Rydell et al. 2008[65]																							×				
	Njite et al. 2008[34]					x									x													
	Zopiatiset al. 2007[22]					×						x			×	×		x				×			x			×
	Goyaletal. 2007[42]		×												~	×						×		×	×			
	Oyewole 2007[45]		~												~										~			
	Akbay 2007[53]														x													
	Jang et al. 2007[52]										x				n							x						
	Knutson et al. 2007[52]		x			x						x										Ŷ			x			
			~		~	×						~	x		x	×						2	×		ⁿ			2
	Henson et al. 2006[33]				~	~							~										~		J			ŕ
	Ayala et al. 2005[32] Baek et al. 2006[32]		x	x											x	x	×					x		x	x			
			×	×													×					×		×				
Studies	Stewart et al. 2005 [56]								x						×									~				
Stuc	Laroche et al. 2005[39] Schroder et al. 2005[40]											x																
	Park 2004[37] Laroche et al 2005[21]																											
	Gregory et al. 2004[51]		x			x						х	J		x	×	×							×	×			
			x					x				x	x		Ŷ		Ŷ			x		×	x					
	Mattila 2001[36] Moschis et al. 2003[31]		×																	Ŷ			Ŷ					
				x											×							x						
	[05] 1002 aznazA											×				×								x				
	Knutson 2000[59]		×			x						х				x									х			
	Susskind et al. 2000[62]														×							×						
	Vermaet al. 1999[55]		×					х		×		Х			x							×						
	Rumore et al. 1999[63]		×	х					×							×						x		x				
	Anderson et al. 1998[50]																											
	Clark et al. 1998[49]		×			×							x		x							×		×	х			
	Tucci et al. 1997[58]		×			×		x														x						
	Kara et al. 1997[48]		x			x	×						x			×									×	×		
	Hsu et al. 1997[20]		×		×	×	×				х	х			×	×		х	х					×	×			
	Kara et al. 1996[57]		x			×	×						x			х									x	×		
	Sweeney et al. 1992[47]				x	×																						
	[19]2601 YiuA		x										x		×		x					×	x					
	June et al. 1987[29]			х											×							×						
	[00]1801 siwoJ														x							×			X			
	Miller et al. 1979[44]		×													x						x		x	х			
	Factors*	Service	Service speed	Employee service	Employee appearance	Employee behavior & friendliness	Delivery service	Service guarantee	Service quality	Reliable & consistent service	Promotional programs	Discounts, coupons & incentives	Opening hours	Installations and ambience	Atmosphere	Hygiene	Installations for children	Privacy	Music	Comfort	Food	Food quality	Type of food	Taste of food	Varied menu	Information on nutritional value	Appearance of food	Food safety

(Continued)	
э.	
Table	

	Sancheset al. 201 1[35]			x		x												x			I
						×							x								
	Jang et al. 2011[28]	x	x	×		×		x					~						×	×	
	Kim et al 2010[26] Kim et al 2010[27]	Ĵ	2	×		x		x											Ŷ	Ş	
	Choiet al 2010[26]	Š	r X	×		ĸ		×							x			×	ŕ	ŕ	
	Ha et al. 2010[25]	ſ^	×	~				×							~			ŕ			
I	Kozak 2010[57]							×			x										×
l	[24] [24] [24] [24] [24] [24] [24] [24]					J		Ŷ			ŕ										
1						x															
l	Liu et al 2009[23]			×		J			<u>,</u> ,							J			J		J
I	Tinocoet al. 2008[46]	х		X		x		x	х							×			х		х
ļ	Rydell et al. 2008[65]															×					
l	Njite et al. 2008[34]			×												х					
	Zopiatiset al. 2007[22]			×		x		x										×			
l	Goyaletal. 2007[42]			X		x												х			
	Oyewole 2007[45]													х				Х			
l	Akbay 2007[53]										×										х
I	Jang et al. 2007[52]																				
1	Knutson et al. 2006[41]			X		×										×					
l	Henson et al. 2006[33]			×				×							×			×			
1	Baek et al. 2006[32]			×										x							
I	Ayala etal. 2005[38]			×		×					×							x			
I	Stewart et al. 2005[56]					×										×		x			
I	Schroder et al. 2005[40]															×					
۱	Laroche et al. 2005[39]																				
۱	Laroche et al. 2005[21]																				
	Park 2004[37]			×		×							x			x					
	Gregory et al. 2004[51]			×		×		x	x					x							
	Moschis et al. 2003[31]					×		x										х			
۱	[36] [36] [36]			X		×				x											
	[05]1005 aznazA			x		x												x			
	[62]0002 nostunX			×		×															
	Susskind et al. 2000[62]																				
۱	Vermaetal. 1999[55]			×																	
۱	Rumore et al. 1999[63]			×		×		×			×				x						
I	[0č]8991.1615 noerson et al. 1999[50]							×			×										
	Clark et al. 1998[49]			~																	
	Tucci et al. 1998[10]			×																	
	Kara et al. 1997[58]																u.				
l	[81]7991 af al. 1997[20]			x		x		4				x			J.	×	х		x		
l		х		×		~		x							x		u.		~		
1	Kara et al. 1996[57]			×		ų.		4				x	J.		J.	×	х				
۱	Auty 1992[61] Sweeney et al. 1992[47]			×		хх		x		×			х		x						
I						~		~	x												
۱	June et al. 1987[29]			×																	
	[44] (4 : 10 : 10 : 10 : 10 : 10 : 10 : 10 : 1			×												x					
	Miller et al. 1979[44]			×												x					
	2rotors	Size of portion	Healthy options Price	Price	Localization	Localization	Other factors	Indication	New experience	Past experience	Influence of company	Novelties for children	Reputation of restaurant	Brandname	Marketing	Convenience	Seating area	Demographic data	Parking space	Carryout availability	Food preference

In the studies of Auty[61] and Gregory and Kim[51], having a new experience was not a relevant factor for restaurant choice. Sweeney et al.[47] showed that past experience was moderately important in the choice of a restaurant.

Other attributes presented as important in the selection of food services were the premises, freshness, accurate guest check, pleasure of visiting or being at a restaurant, relationship with the client, obligation, influence of the company, novelties for children, appearance of the other consumers, parking space and liquor license (Tables 2 and 3). It should be mentioned that novelties for children appeared to be more relevant for those consumers who went less frequently to restaurants [48, 57], and that the appearance of the other consumers was more valorized by those individuals that went out for a meal with one friend than by those that went out for a meal with a group of friends [47]. In addition, the reputation of a restaurant was an additional motive to choose an establishment, according to Sweeney et al. [47], Park [37] and Jang et al. [28].

3.3. Factor Importance According to the Characteristics of the Population

Some studies showed that the behavior of students differed from that of other consumers, and so this group was analyzedseparately [20, 31, 65].

For the student population, the following factors were considered more important: 1) price; 2) the behavior or friendliness of the employees, speed of service and food quality; 3) the taste of the food; 4) hygiene. Other factors considered important were: atmosphere and localization of the establishment, reliability of the service offered, food safety, past experience and sales incentive programs offered by the restaurants (Table 2). Indication of a restaurant by other people was also taken into consideration by students in their choice of an establishment.

The attribute perceived as most important by the students was price. However, in a survey carried out by Tucci and Talaga[58], it was shown that although there was a greater use of lower price services, the difference in price level was not significant in the choice of restaurants with full table service. On the other hand, Zopiatis and Pribic[22] showed that this item was more important for individuals who ate away from home less frequently, approximately once a month. In addition, Laroche et al.[39] observed that for the low-income population, discount coupons could influence their attitudes in the choice of restaurants. A low income was also shown to be significant for eating in fast-food restaurants[45].

The ethnicity, sex and age of the consumers also appeared to influence the perception of the individuals at the moment of selecting a food service.

In Cyprus, the locals were more influenced by the factors of speed of service, quality of the items on the menu and professionalism of the employees than students of other nationalities who lived in Cyprus[22]. On comparing consumers from different countries, Baek et al.[32] noted that the price was the most relevant factor for Korean and Filipino consumers, but that the sequence of factors more important in the selection of food services differed from country to country (Table 2).

Considering the sex of the consumers, it can be seen that male students and younger students both perceived the price as relatively more important than female and older students. On the other hand, when making their choices, female students gave greater value to the hygiene of the establishment[63]. Ayala et al.[38] found that Latin women noted the following to a greater extent: the taste of the food, the distance from home, the variety on the menu and the preference of the children and the rest of the family. For their part SanchesandSalay[35] showed that women considered the service time, quality and appearance of the food and the appearance and friendliness of the employees more important than men (p<0.05). Zopiatis and Pribic [22] made similar findings. Finally Rydell et al.[65] observed that women were more inclined to eat in fast-food restaurants than men (p=0.0005), due to the preferences of their family and/or friends.

With respect to age, Rydell et al.[65] observed that consumers aged between 16 and 24 tended to eat in fast-food restaurants due to the preference of their family and friends, but this was not the case for individuals \geq 55 years of age (*p*<0.0005). Oyewole[45] also observed that the frequency of eating in fast-food restaurants decreased with age.

According to the survey of Auty[61], the type and quality of the food was a concern of all interviewees, with the exception of those over 56 years of age. Similar findings were made by Moschis et al.[31] who observed that for mature consumers the main reasons for choosing restaurants were the offer of special discounts for senior citizens, the comfort of the place, localization near to home or work, and recommendations by other consumers in the same age range. Kim et al. [26] also found that special discounts for senior citizens were important, and in addition, observed that the availability of nutritional information concerning the items on the menu was an important attribute for consumers, and that the older the consumer, the greater the degree of importance given to this item when choosing a restaurant. Nevertheless, in another survey carried out by Kim et al. [27] with senior citizens, discounts and nutritional information were given less emphasis when choosing a restaurant. Finally, Knutson et al.[41] found that initially discounts had less importance when compared with other factors related to the experience of the restaurants (Table 2), although they were highly relevant for a group of senior citizens.

It is worth mentioning that according to Auty[61], consumers over 56 years of age were inclined to always eat at the same places, and thus factors such as the type of food and the search for novelty had little effect on the choice of these consumers.

Although some studies showed that consumer age could be directly related to factors that influenced the choice of restaurants, 13 of the papers analyzed did not inform the age of the consumers interviewed (Table 2), partially limiting the discussion of the results.

3.4. Factor Importance According to Type of Restaurant and Occasion Investigated

Some authors analyzed the selection of the choice of restaurant in more than one type of restaurant, and in the present review, it was observed that the type of restaurant most investigated was that of fast-food (40.0%) (Table 2).

3.4.1. Fast-food

3.4.1.1. Choice Factors

According to the frequency of citation in the studies, the most important factors in the selection of fast-food restaurants were: 1) price; 2) speed of service and quality of the service and/or of the food; 3) hygiene; 4) taste of the food; 5) friendliness and behavior of the employees and convenience (Table 2). Other studies additionally cited the following attributes as relevant aspects: the offer of quality delivery service, variety, installations, seating capacity, nutritional values, preference of other people, fast working pace, localization, menu, food safety, positive past experience, restaurant brand, reliability and service speed (Table 2).

It is worth mentioning that in a study carried out by Akbay et al.[53] in Turkey, where the consumers perceived price as a relevant factor when eating meals away from home, they were less inclined to eat in fast-food establishments. It was also shown in the same country that concern with food safety could be a limiting factor in the selection of fast-food restaurants.

Also with respect to the choice of fast-food restaurants, Baek et al.[32] observed that the students preferred local fast-food establishments when compared to restaurants with an international franchise.

3.4.1.2. Context

Miller and Ginter[44] investigated the selection of fast-food restaurants considering specific situations: 1) week-day lunch, 2) snack during a visit to a shopping center, 3) meal at night when time is short, and 4) meal with family when time is not short. Table 2 shows the order of importance of the factors in each situation, and the fact that the taste of the food was the most important attribute considered by the consumers on all occasions, stood out.

3.4.2. Other Restaurants

3.4.2.1. Choice Factors

In addition to fast-food restaurants, other types of restaurant were also investigated. Some studies did not specify the type of restaurant analyzed, considering the analysis of the choice of restaurant in general. The factors involved in the choice of restaurants in general, quick service restaurants, fine-dining restaurants, family-style restaurants, table-service restaurants, Chinese restaurants, pizza houses, Korean restaurants and "green" restaurants, are discussed below.

An investigation of the factors that determine the choice of restaurants in general was carried out in 15 studies (33.3%), (Table 2). It can be seen that, in this case, the results of the articles were more diversified than in the case of fast-food restaurants. The following attributes were noted as more relevant in the choice of establishments cited as "restaurants": 1) food quality; 2) availability of attentive employees and their behavior, quality or speed of service and the atmosphere or ambience of the restaurant; and 3) localization of the establishment, taste of the food, hygiene and price (Table 2).

Other studies specified the type of restaurant investigated, and some researchers analyzed more than one type of restaurant. Hsu et al.[20] found that hygiene was the most valorized attribute in the selection of quick-service or fine-dining restaurants, whereas it was the taste of the food in the selection of family-style restaurants (Table 2). In the studies by Kim et al.[26, 27], the taste and quality of the foods were the relevant attributes in the selection of all the types of establishment investigated (fine dining, buffet and family/casual dining restaurant) (Table 2).

Njite et al.[34] also studied the motives used in the choice of fine-dining restaurants, and showed that the most important attribute was the relationship between the employees and the consumers, followed by employee competence and convenience. Stewart et al.[56] and Kim et al.[26] also found evidence that the ambience was positively related to fine-dining establishments. An analysis of the surveys carried out by Kim et al.[26, 27] showed that the attributes perceived in the choice of fine-dining and casual-dining restaurants by more mature consumers were similar, but differed at the moment of selecting a buffet-type restaurant (Table 2).

TucciandTalaga[58], investigating the selection of table-service restaurants took the following into account to a special extent: hygiene, service, atmosphere and offer of products. In addition they observed a greater use of establishments that offered quicker service. On the other hand, Liu and Jang[23] surveyed Chinese restaurants and showed that the food quality and hygiene of the environment influenced the consumer decision more strongly, and could be understood as prerequisites in the choice of these establishments.

Pizza houses were investigated by Verma and Thompson [55], who showed that "service reliability" and "pizza filling" were the most important attributes when selecting these establishments (Table 2).

Ha and Jang[25] analyzed the choice of Korean restaurants, and their results suggested that American consumers considered the cost, the taste or the menu options at the moment of choosing an ethnic restaurant, as also when choosing an American restaurant. Of the attributes analyzed, the taste, menu variety and the option of healthy foods had a significant relationship with the satisfaction and buying

intention model. They also observed that when the consumers preferred healthier foods, they tended to select fine-dining establishments instead of fast-food restaurants. In the same way, individuals who practiced physical activities were less inclined to purchase food in fast-food restaurants[56].

On analyzing the choice of "green" restaurants, Jang et al.[28] observed that the most important attributes were the value and service reliability, food quality and reputation of the restaurant. The pro-environmental activities carried out by the food services were not perceived as relevant.

3.4.2.2. Context

In a study carried out by June and Smith[29], a liquor license in restaurants was shown to be more important in the case of intimate dinners and celebrations amongst friends, such as birthdays, whereas the presence of attentive employees was more relevant for family dinners and business lunches, and a secluded place for intimate dinners.

Sweeney et al.[47] also considered distinct situations, and observed that the price was more important when selecting a restaurant for a meal with a group of friends, than when having a special meal with one friend. Another attribute analyzed by the authors was the appearance of the other consumers, which was more important for individuals who go out for a special meal with one friend than for those who go out for a meal with a group of friends.

Auty[61] showed that the type of food was the most relevant attribute when choosing a food service for social or convenience occasions, followed by the food quality. On the other hand, for a celebration, the recommendation of the restaurant was the most important information. It was also apparent that for special occasions and celebrations, attributes related to service speed and the opening times of the restaurant were not cited, and for convenience occasions, recommendations were not cited. Service speed was the third most important attribute for convenience occasions together with value for money.

Tinoco and Ribeiro[46] determined the factors for the choice of full-service restaurants with four distinct groups of people: 'couples without children', 'group of friends', 'family group' and 'executive group'. Differences were found between the groups and the factors considered (Table 2). Another type of establishment investigated was that used for the occasional dinner. In this case the three principal reasons cited by the interviewees were the food quality followed by the service and the atmosphere[36].

4. Practical Implications and Conclusions

This review of the body of studies regardingfood service choice results in relevant conclusions and applications. The findings showthat differences in the degree of importance given to the selection factors varies according to the type of food service, the characteristics of the customers (ethnicity, sexand age) and the context in which the meals will be eaten.

The "food quality" and "food taste" appear to be important factors in the choice of all types of food service. For fast-food restaurants, the aspects observed to be more relevant were: price, service speed, service and food quality, hygiene and food taste. On the other hand, for general type restaurants and the other types of establishment analy zed, the most relevant factors were: food quality and taste, employee behavior and friendliness, service, atmosphere and ambience of the restaurant and its localization.

Price was the most relevant factor for the student population, and was also shown to be important for the lower-income populations, for individuals who eat out less frequently and for fast-food restaurant frequenters. On the other hand, for the mature consumer the importance of the following stood out: the offer of special discounts, the attributes related to food and service quality, the taste of the food and the comfort of the place. With respect to gender, it was shown that women were more inclined than men to valorize the preferences of their family and children when choosing a food service, and they were also more concerned about food safety, quality and taste.

The participation of food consumed away from home is relevant in the diets of several populations and also for their health. The few papers that have studied the nutritional information, nutritional value and the offer of healthy foods showed that these factors were not so relevant in the choice of a restaurant. Maybe policies should be designed with a view to increasing consumer awareness of healthy food choices when eating away from home. However, new studies regarding consumer perception about nutritional information and healthy food choices in restaurants are necessary. Food service managers should also search for strategies that take into consideration different occasions. For example, for every day contexts, the attributes considered most important by food service clients were the hygiene of the establishment and the quality and taste of the food, whereas for fast-food restaurants, an accessible price and speedy service appear to be indispensible. However for commemorations, amongst the factors perceived by the consumers, a liquor license, appearance of the other consumers and recommendations of the restaurant by other people stood out.

Some studies observed that "information passed on by friends and relatives" had more influence on the choice of restaurant than information obtained from the media. The value given to the "word of mouth" shows how important it is to satisfy the customer, since a satisfied customer tends to recommend the establishment[66], and can also encourage his or her intention to return[67].

Few studies cited the validity and reliability of the instrument used in the survey. When using a validated instrument the researcher is considering that the instrument used in the data collection really is measuring the variable it intended to measure. Instrument reliability is related to its consistency, repeatability and reproducibility. Thus the validity and reliability of the instrument are fundamental requirements for research instruments[68].

Some studies did not clearly report the characterization of the population analyzed. The age of the interviewees were not reported in 13 papers. The lack of such information makes it difficult to compare the results of different papers. Thus it is recommended that future papers provide relevant data regarding the characterization of the population studied.

Amongst the limitations of the present study, one could say that there may be other articles published that analyze the choice of food services by consumers, but did not enter the present review because they were not in the data bases used in this review and/or were not cited in the articles found in these data bases. Nevertheless, the authors believe that the survey carried out managed to compile many studies that analyzed the selection of food services, and thus the results of the survey are of relevance to the sector.

Considering the diversity of types of restaurant on the market, there is a need for more surveys, since many types of food service have not yet been investigated, or were only involved in a limited number of surveys, such as full-service restaurants, buffet by weight restaurants, vegetarian restaurants, ethnic restaurants, etc. In addition, the development of new research with adolescent and elderly populations is recommended, since few papers have analyzed these population segments. Similarly the study of restaurant choice in several contexts is suggested.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development–CNPq/Brazil (Process 141036/2010-9) for providing a fellowship to the first author.

REFERENCES

- [1] Online Available: http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/62-554-x/62 -554-x2001001-eng.pdf.
- [2] Online Available: http://www.ers.usda.gov/data-products/foo d-expenditures.aspx.
- [3] Associação Brasileira das Indústrias da Alimentação, "O mercado de food service no Brasil", ABIA, 2010.
- [4] Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística, "Pesquisa de orçamento familiar 2008-2009: despesas rendimento e condições de vida", IBGE, 2010.
- [5] Online Available: http://www1.agric.gov.ab.ca/\$department/ deptdocs.nsf/all/sis13383.
- [6] A. Lassen, K.S. Hansen, E. Trolle, "Comparison of buffet and à la carte serving at worksite canteens on nutrient intake and fruit and vegetable consumption", Public Health Nutrition, vol.10, no. 3, pp.292-297, 2007.

- [7] Melina V. Santos; Rossana P. C. Proença, Giovanna M. R. Fiates, Maria Cristina M.Calvo, "Pay-per-kilo restaurants in the context of healthy eating away from home", Brazilian Journal of Nutrition, vol.24, no.4, pp.641-649, 2011.
- [8] Joanne F. Guthrie, Biing-Hwan Lin, Elizabeth Frazao, "Role of food prepared away from home in the American diet, 1977-78 versus 1994-96: changes and consequences", Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior, vol.34, no.3, pp.140-150, 2002.
- [9] Philippos Orfanos, AndronikiNaska, DimitriosTrichopoulos, Nadia Slimani, Pietro Ferrari, Marit van Bakel, Genevieve Deharveng, Kim Overvad, Anne Tjønneland, JytteHalkjær, Maria S. Magistris, Rosario Tumino, Valeria Pala, Carlotta Sacerdote, Giovanna Masala, GuriSkeie, Dagrun Engeset, Eiliv Lund, Paula Jakszyn, Aurelio Barricarte, Maria D. Chirlaque, Carmen Martinez-Garcia, PilarAmiano, J. Ramon Quirós, Sheila Bingham, Ailsa Welch, Elizabeth A. Spencer, Timothy J. Key, Sabine Rohrmann, Jakob Linseisen, Jennifer Ray, Heiner Boeing, Petra H. Peeters, H. Bas Bueno - de -Mesquita, MargaOcke, Ingegerd Johansson, Gerd Johansson, Göran Berglund, Jonas Manjer, Marie-Christine Boutron -Ruault, MathildeTouvier, Françoise Clavel- Chapelon, Antonia Trichopoulou, "Eating out of home and its correlates in 10 European countries. The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study", Public Health Nutrition, vol.10, no.12, pp.1515-1525, 2007.
- [10] Biing-Hwan Lin, Joanne Guthrie, "Nutritional Quality of Food Prepared at Home and Away From Home, 1977-2008", EIB-105, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service, 2012.
- [11] Timothy F. Jones, Frederick J. Angulo, "Eating in Restaurants: A Risk Factor for Foodborne Disease?", Clinical Infectious Diseases, vol.43, no.10, pp.1324–1328, 2006.
- [12] Craig W. Hedberg, S. Jay Smith, Elizabeth Kirkland, Vincent Radke, Tim F. Jones, Carol A. Selman, Ehs-Net Working Group, "Systematic environmental evaluations to identify food safety differences between outbreak and nonoutbreak restaurants", Journal of Food Protection, vol.69, no.11, pp.2697-2702, 2006.
- [13] Leon G. Schiffman, Leslie L. Kanuk, Comportamento do Consumidor, Livros Técnicos e Científicos Editora S.A., Brazil, 2000.
- [14] Philip Kotler, Marketing Management Millenium Edition, 10nd ed., Pearson Custom Publishing, USA, 2002.
- [15] Kirsten I. Dunn, Philip B. Mohr, Carlene J. Wilson, Gary A. Wittert, "Beliefs about fast food in Australia: a qualitative analysis", Appetite, vol.51, no.2, pp.331-334, 2008.
- [16] Linda S. Pettijohn, Charles E. Pettijohn, Robert H. Luke, "An Evaluation of fat food restaurant satisfaction: determinants, competitive comparisons and impact on future patronage", Journal of Restaurant & Foodservice Marketing, vol.2, no.3, pp.3-20, 1997.
- [17] Young Namkung, SooCheong (Shawn) Jang, "Are highly satisfied restaurant customers really different? A quality perception perspective", International Journal of Contempor ary Hospitality Management, vol.20, no. 2, pp.142-155, 2008.
- [18] Heesup Han, Ki-Joon Back, Betsy Barrett, "Influencing factors on restaurant customers' revisit intention: the roles of

emotions and switching barriers", International Journal of Hospitality Management, vol.28, no.4, pp.563-572, 2009.

- [19] RohitVerma, "Customer choice modeling in hospitality services: a review of past research and discussion of some new applications", Cornell Hospitality Quarterly, vol.51, no.4, pp.470-478, 2010.
- [20] Cathy H.C. Hsu, SungheeByun, Il-Sun Yang, "Attitudes of korean college students towards quick-service, family-style, and fine dining restaurants", Journal of Restaurant & Foodservice Marketing, vol.2, no.4, pp.65-85, 1997.
- [21] Michel Laroche, Ikuo Takahashi, Maria Kalamas, LefaTeng, "Modeling the selection of fast-food franchises among Japanese consumers", Journal of Business Research, vol.58, no.8, pp.1121-1131, 2005.
- [22] AnastasiosZopiatis, JovanaPribic, "College students' dining expectations in Cyprus", British Food Journal, vol.109, no.10, pp.765-776, 2007.
- [23] Yinghua Liu, SooCheong (Shawn) Jang, "Perceptions of Chinese restaurants in the US: What affects customer satisfaction and behavioral intentions?", International Journal of Hospitality Management, vol.28, no.3, pp.338-348, 2009.
- [24] Spyridon Mamalis, "Critical success factors of the food service industry", Journal if International Food & Agribusiness Marketing, vol.21, no. 2-3, pp.191-206, 2009.
- [25] Jooyeon Ha, SooCheong (Shawn) Jang, "Effects of service quality and food quality: the moderating role of atmospherics in an ethnic restaurant segment", International Journal of Hospitality Management, vol.29, no.3, pp.520-529, 2010.
- [26] Yen-Soon Kim, Christine Bergman, CarolaRaab, "Factors that impact mature customer dining choices in Las Vegas", Journal of Foodservice Business Research, vol.13, no.3, pp.178-192, 2010.
- [27] Yen-Soon Kim, CarolaRaab, Christine Bergman, "Restaurant selection preferences of mature tourists in Las Vegas: A pilot study", International Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Administration, vol.11, no. 2, pp.157-170, 2010.
- [28] Yoon J. Jang, Woo G. Kim, Mark A. Bonn, "Generation Y consumers' selection attributes and behavioral intentions concerning green restaurants", International Journal of Hospitality Management, vol.30, no.4, pp.803-811, 2011.
- [29] Leslie P. June, Stephen L. J. Smith, "Service attributes and situational effects on customer preferences for restaurant dining", Journal of Travel Research, Fall, pp.20-27, 1987.
- [30] Patricia V. Azanza, "Food consumption and buying patterns of students from a Philippine university fastfood mall", International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition, vol.52, no.6, pp.515-520, 2001.
- [31] George Moschis, Carolyn F. Curasi, Danny Bellenger, "Restaurant-selection preferences of mature consumers", Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly, vol.44, no.4, pp.51-60, 2003.
- [32] Seung-HeeBaek, Sunny Ham, Il-Sun Yang, "A cross-cultural comparison of fast food restaurant selection criteria between Korean and Filipino college students", International Journal of Hospitality Management, vol.25, no. 4, pp.683-698, 2006.
- [33] Spencer Henson, Shannon Majowicz, Oliver Masakure, Paul

Sockett, Andria Jones, Robert Hart, Deborah Carr, Lewinda Knowles, "Consumer assessment of the safety of restaurants: the role of inspection notices and other information cues", Journal of Food Safety, vol.26, no.4, pp.275-301, 2006.

- [34] David Njite, Greg Dunn, Lisa H. Kim, "Beyond good food: what other attributes influence consumer preference and selection of fine dining restaurants?" Journal of Foodservice Business Research, vol.11, no. 2, pp.237-265, 2008.
- [35] MicheleSanches, ElisabeteSalay, "Alimentação fora do domicílio de consumidores do município de Campinas, São Paulo", Revista de Nutrição, vol.24, no.2, pp.295-304, 2011.
- [36] Anna S. Mattila, "Emotional bonding and restaurant loyalty", Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly, vol.42, no.6, pp.73-79, 2001.
- [37] Cheol Park, "Efficient or enjoyable?Consumer values of eating-out and fast-food restaurant consumption in Korea", International Journal of Hospitality Management, vol.23, no.1, pp.87-94, 2004.
- [38] Guadalupe X. Ayala, Kristin Mueller, Eva Lopez-Madurga, Nadia R. Campbell, John P. Elder, "Restaurant and food shopping selections among latino women in southern California", Journal of the American Dietetic Association, vol.105, no.1, pp.38-45, 2005.
- [39] Michel Laroche, Maria Kalamas, Qinchao Huang, "Effects coupons on brand categorization and choice of fast foods in China", Journal of Business Research, vol.58, no. 5, pp.674-686, 2005.
- [40] Monika J. A. Schröder, Morven G. McEachern, "Fast foods and ethical consumer value: a focus on McDonald's and KFC", British Food Journal, vol.107, no.4, pp.212-224, 2005.
- [41] Bonnie Knutson, Jeffrey Beck, Jeffery Elsworth, "The Two Dimensions of Restaurant Selection Important to the Mature Market", Journal of Hospitality & Leisure Marketing, vol.14, no. 3, pp.35-47. 2006.
- [42] Anita Goyal, N. P. Singh, "Consumer perception about fast food in India: an exploratory study", British Food Journal, vol.109, no.2, pp.182-195, 2007.
- [43] Jinkyung Choi, Jinlin Zhao, "Factors influencing restaurant selection in south florida: Is health issue one of the factors influencing consumers' behavior when selecting a restaurant?", Journal of Foodservice Business Research, vol.13, no.3, pp.237-251, 2010.
- [44] Kenneth E. Miller, James L. Ginter, "An investigation of situational variation in brand choice behavior and attitude", American Marketing Association, vol.16, no.1, pp.111-123, 1979.
- [45] Philemon Oyewole, "Fast food marketing and the African American consumers: the impact of socio-economic and demographic characteristics", Journal of International Consumer Marketing, vol.19, no.4, pp.75-108, 2007.
- [46] Maria A. C. Tinoco, José L. D. Ribeiro, "Estudo qualitativo dos principais atributos que determinam a percepção de qualidade e de preço dos consumidores de restaurantes a la carte", Gestão & Produção, vol.15, no.1, pp.73-87, 2008.
- [47] Jillian C. Sweeney, Lester W. Johnson, Robert W. Armstrong, "The effect of cues on service quality expectations and service selection in a restaurant setting", The Journal of

Services Marketing, vol.6, no.4, pp.15-22, 1992.

- [48] Ali Kara, ErdenerKaynak, OrsayKucukemiroglu, "Marketing strategies for fast-food restaurants: a customer view", British Food Journal, vol.99, no.9, pp.318-324, 1997.
- [49] Mona A. Clark, Roy C. Wood, "Consumer loyalty in the restaurant industry – a preliminary exploration of the issues", International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Managem ent, vol.10, no.4, pp.139-144, 1998.
- [50] Patricia M. Anderson, Xiaohong He, "Price influence and age segments of Beijing consumers", Journal of Consumer Marketing, vol.15, no.2, pp.152-169, 1998.
- [51] Susan Gregory, Joohyang Kim, "Restaurant choice: the role of information", Journal of Foodservice Business Research, vol.7, no.1, pp.81-95, 2004.
- [52] Dongsuk Jang, Anna S. Mattila, Billy Bai, "Restaurant membership fee and customer: the effects of sunk cost and feelings of regret", International Journal of Hospitality Management, vol.26, no.3, pp.687-697, 2007.
- [53] CumaAkbay, Gulgun Y. Tiryaki,AykutGul, "Consumer characteristics influencing fast food consumption in Turkey", Food Control, vol.18, no.8, pp.904-913, 2007.
- [54] MetinKozak, "Holiday taking decisions the role of spouses", Tourism Management, vol.31, no.4, pp.489-494, 2010.
- [55] RohitVerma, Gary M. Thompson, "Managing service operations based on customer preferences", International Journal of Operations & Production Management, vol.19, no.9, pp.891-908, 1999.
- [56] Hayden Stewart, Noel Blisard, Dean Jolliffe, SanjibBhuyan, "The demand for food away from home: do other preferences compete with our desire to eat healthfully?", Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics, vol.30, no.3, pp.520-536, 2005.
- [57] Ali Kara, ErdenerKaynak, Orsay Kucukemiroglu, "Positioni ng of fast -food outlets in two regions of north America: a comparative study using correspondence analysis", Journal of Professional Services Marketing, vol.14, no.2, pp.99-119, 1996.
- [58] Louis A. Tucci, James Talaga, "Service guarantees and consumers' evaluation of service", The Journal of Services Marketing, vol.11, no.1, pp.10-18, 1997.

- [59] Bonnie J. Knutson, "College students and fast-food: how students perceive restaurant brands", Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly, vol.41, no.3, pp.68-74, 2000.
- [60] Robert C. Lewis, "Restaurant advertising: appeals and consumers' intentions", Journal of Advertising Research, vol.21, no.5, pp.69-74, 1981.
- [61] Susan Auty, "Consumer choice and segmentation in the restaurant industry", The Service Industries Journal, vol.12, no.3, pp.324-339, 1992.
- [62] Alex M. Susskind, Edwin K. Chan, "How restaurant features affect check averages: a study of the Toronto retaurant market", Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly, vol.41, no.46, pp.56-63, 2000.
- [63] Nancy Rumore, Zhiwei Zhu, John Tanner, Larry Scheuermann, "Effectiveness of competitive strategies in fast-food markets: an analysis of customers' preferences", Journal of Restaurant & Foodservice Marketing, vol.3, no.3/4, pp.39-47, p.1999.
- [64] Paula L. Uggioni, ElisabeteSalay, "Consumer knowledge concerning safe handling practices to prevent microbiological contamination in commercial restaurants and sociodemographic characteristics, Campinas/SP/Brazil", Food Control, vol.26, no. 2, pp.331-336, 2012.
- [65] Sarah A. Rydell, Lisa J. Harnack, J. Michael Oakes, Mary Story, Robert W. Jeffery, Simone A. French, "Why eat at fast-food restaurants: reports reasons among frequent consumers", Journal of the American Dietetic Association, vol. 108, no. 12, pp.2066-2070, 2008.
- [66] Pedro Longart, "What drives word-of-mouth in restaurants?", International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Managem ent, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 121-128, 2010.
- [67] Li Dongjin, An Shenghui, Yang Kai, "Exploring Chinese consumer repurchasing intention for services: An empirical investigation", Journal of Consumer Behaviour, vol.7, no. 6, pp.448-460, 2008.
- [68] Colleen K. Di Iorio, Measurement in health behavior: methods for research and education, Jossey-Bass A wiley print, USA, 2005.