

On the Boundedness Properties of Solutions to Set Control Differential Equations

Nguyen Dinh Phu^{1,*}, Le Thanh Quang¹, Lam Quoc Dung²

¹Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Science-VNU Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam
²Faculty of Economics and Commerce, Hoa Sen University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

Abstract The set-valued differential equations (SDEs) are important parts of the set-valued analysis theory. It was investigated by professor Lakshmikantham V., and many other authors (see [1]-[6], [8]-[10]). Beside that, we have to study the problems of existence, comparison and stability of set solutions to the set-valued control differential equations (SCDEs) (see [7], [11]-[16]). In this paper, we present the problems of boundedness for set solutions to the Set Control Differential Equations (SCDEs) by the Lyapunov-like functions and by admissible control-feedback.

Keywords Set Differential Equations (SDEs), Set Control Differential Equations (SCDEs)

1. Introduction

In the last 10 years, set-valued analysis is interesting with the new field of set differential equations (SDEs). There are many authors interested in the field of SDEs, for example, Lakshmikantham V., Gnana T., Kaleva O., Mohapatra R., ... Before we proceed to investigate our problems, let's note the following facts:

In [5], Prof. V. Lakshmikantham and the other authors have studied the set differential equations (SDEs).

In [13] and [16], the authors have considered the set control differential equations (SCDEs), that is SDEs with set controls: $U(t) \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and have some important results on existence, stability.

In [11] the author has given many kinds of feedback $U(t) = U(X(t)) \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for the problem of global controllability.

In this paper, we present the boundedness of set solutions to SCDEs by the Liapunov-like functions and by feedback.

This paper is organized as follows: in section 2, we recall some basic concepts and notations which are useful in next sections. In section 3 we present the boundedness properties of set solutions to SCDEs and in the last section, we give the conclusion and acknowledgements.

2. Preliminaries

In [5], Prof. V. Lakshmikantham and the other authors have

studied the set differential equations (SDEs). In this work the authors have considered the Hausdorff metric space as follows:

Let $K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the collection of all nonempty convex subsets of \mathbb{R}^n . Given $A, B \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ - the Hausdorff distance between A and B is defined by

$$d_H[A, B] = \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(b, A) \right\}$$

We define the magnitude of a nonempty subset of A

$$d_H[A, \theta^n] = \|A\|$$

We define the magnitude of a nonempty subset of A :

$$D[A, \theta^n] = \|A\| = \sup \{ \|a\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}, a \in A \} \quad (1)$$

where θ^n is the zero element of \mathbb{R}^n which is regarded as a one point set. $\|A\| = D[A, \theta^n]$ - norm in $K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is finite when the supremum in (1) is attained with $A \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The set $K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with the metric D defined above, is a complete metric space. It has been proven that $K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ becomes a semilinear metric space which can be embedded as a complete cone into a corresponding Banach space, if it is equipped with the natural algebraic operations of addition and nonnegative scalar multiplication.

Let $A, B \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if there exists a set $C \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $A = B + C$, then C is called the Hausdorff difference (the geometric difference) of the sets A and B and is denoted by the symbol $A - B$. The mapping

$X: \mathbb{R}_+ \supset I = [t_0, T] \rightarrow K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to have a Hukuhara derivative $D_H X(\tau)$ at a point $\tau \in I$, if

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} h^{-1} [X(\tau + h) - X(\tau)] \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} h^{-1} [X(\tau) - X(\tau - h)]$$

exist in the topology of $K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and are equal to $D_H X(\tau)$.

* Corresponding author:

ndphu_dhtn@yahoo.com.vn (Nguyen Dinh Phu)

Published online at <http://journal.sapub.org/am>

Copyright © 2012 Scientific & Academic Publishing. All Rights Reserved

By embedding $K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as a complete cone in a corresponding Banach space and taking into account the result on the differentiation of Bochner integral, we find that if $X(t) = X_0 + \int_{t_0}^t \Phi(s) ds, X_0 \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

where $\Phi : [t_0, T] \rightarrow K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is integrable in the sense of Bochner, then $D_H X(\tau)$ exists and the equality

$$D_H X(\tau) = \Phi(\tau) \tag{2}$$

3. Main Results

Let's consider the set control differential equations (SCDEs):

$$D_H X(t) = F(t, X(t), U(t)), \tag{3}$$

where

$X(t_0) = X_0 \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^n), F \in [I \times K_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \times K_c(\mathbb{R}^d), K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)]$ $t \in [t_0, T]$, state $X(t) \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and control $U(t) \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$. If $U : [t_0, T] \rightarrow K_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ integrable, then it is called an admissible control. Let U be a set of all admissible controls. The mapping $X(t) \in C^1([t_0, T], K_c(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is said to be a solution of SCDES (3) on $t \in [t_0, T]$ iff it satisfies SCDES on $t \in [t_0, T]$ and is the symbolic representation of the following Hukuhara integral expression:

$$X(t) = X_0 + \int_0^t F(s, X(s), U(s)) ds \tag{4}$$

Definition 3.1. The set solution $X(t)$ of SCDES (3) is said to be:

a/ (B)- bounded on $t \in [t_0, T]$, if there exists the constant $M > 0$ such that, by (4) we have $\|X(t)\| \leq M$, for all $t \in [t_0, T]$.

b/ (EB)- Exponent bounded on $t \in [t_0, T]$, if there exist the constants $\beta, M \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that the supper distance: $\|X(t)\| \leq M.e^{[-\beta(t-t_0)]}, \forall t \in [0, T]$.

Assume that $F : \mathbb{R}^n \times K_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \times K_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies the followings:

(F1). there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$D[F(t, A, B), F(t, \bar{A}, \bar{B})] \leq L(D[A, \bar{A}] + D[B, \bar{B}]),$$

$$\forall A, \bar{A} \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^n), B, \bar{B} \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^d);$$

(F2). there exists constant $C > 0$ such that

$$D[F(t, A, B), \theta^n] \leq C(1 + D[A, \theta^n] + D[B, \theta^d]);$$

$$(U1). \int_{t_0}^t \|U(s)\| ds < +\infty.$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $F \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies hypotheses (F1)-(F2) and U satisfies (U1), then SCDES (3) has unique B- bounded set solutions $X(t) \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. We have to prove that:

a) By (F1), there exists the set solutions, which is represented as (4).

b) Uniqueness of $X(t)$. Assume that the other set solutions $Y(t) \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $X(t_0) = Y(t_0)$, then $X(t) \equiv Y(t), \forall t \geq t_0$ in force (F2).

c) A boundedness property of set solution $X(t)$ that means there exists $M > 0$ such that $\|X(t)\| \leq M$ for all $t \in [t_0, T]$.

We estimate $\|X(t)\|$ by (4) and (F2):

$$\|X(t)\| = D[X(t), \theta^n] \leq D[X_0 + \int_0^t F(s, X(s), U(s)) ds, \theta^n]$$

$$\|X(t)\| = D[X(t), \theta^n] \leq D[X_0 + \int_0^t C.[1 + \|X(s)\| + \|U(s)\|] ds, \theta^n]$$

Putting $l(t) = \|X(t)\|$, $k(t) = (1 + \lambda(t))$ and $a = \|X_0\| + CT$,

we have $l(t) \leq a + C \int_{t_0}^t [l(s).k(s)] ds$.

This Gronwall's inequality implies that $l(t) \leq a.exp[2(t - t_0)]$

Choosing $M \geq \frac{CT \exp[2(T - t_0)]}{1 - \exp[2(T - t_0)]}$, we have $l(t) \leq M$ for all

$t \in [t_0, T]$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $X_0 \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $D[X_0, \theta^n] \leq M_1$ and $\int_0^t D[F(s, \theta^n, \theta^d), \theta^n] ds \leq M_2$ and by contraction feedback

$U(t) = h(t)X(t)$ and $0 < h(t) \leq 1$, then SCDES (3) has the unique (B)- bounded solution in $K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Proof. (a) Problems of existence and uniqueness are clear.

(b) Problem of (B)- bounded are proved by integral expression (4) followings:

$$D[X_0, \theta^n] \leq M_1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|X(t)\| &= D[X(t), \theta^n] \leq D[X_0 + \int_0^t F(s, X(s), U(s)) ds, \theta^n] \\ &\leq M_1 + 2D[X(\cdot), \theta^n] + 2C + M_2 + 2 \int_0^t D[X(s), \theta^n] ds \end{aligned}$$

Using Gronwall's inequality, we infer

$$D[x(t), \theta^n] \leq [M + 2D[X(\cdot), \theta^n]] \exp(2T),$$

where $M = M_1 + M_2 + 2C$, we obtain $D(X(t), \theta^n) \leq M$.

Next, we present some results about (B), (EB) of solutions in $K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with using the Lyapunov-like functions.

Theorem 3.3. Assume that the positive Lyapunov - like function $V \in C[\mathbb{R}_+ \times K_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \times K_c(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{R}_+]$ which satisfies the following conditions:

$$(i) |V(t, X(t), U(t)) - V(t, \bar{X}(t), \bar{U}(t))| \leq L(D[X(t), \bar{X}(t)] + D[U(t), \bar{U}(t)]), \text{ where } L \text{ is}$$

bounded Lipschitz constant, for all

$$X(t), \bar{X}(t) \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^n), u(t), \bar{u}(t) \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{R}_+;$$

(ii) $b(D[x(t), \theta^n]) \leq V(t, X(t), U(t)) \leq a(t, D[X(t), \theta^n])$, for $(t, X, U) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times K_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \times K_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $b(\cdot), a(\cdot, \cdot)$ are increasing functions;

(iii)

$$D^+V(t, X(t), U(t)) \equiv \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} \sup \frac{1}{\tau} \{V(t + \tau, X(t) + \tau f(t, X(t), U(t))) - V(t, X(t), U(t))\} \leq g(t, V(t, X(t), U(t))),$$

where $g \in C[\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{R}]$, $g(t, 0) = 0$ for all

$X(t) \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^n), U(t) \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we have the following affirmations:

a/ If $g(t, V(t, X(t), U(t))) \leq 0$, then a set solution

$X(t) \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of SCDEs (3) is (B)-bounded.

b/ If $g(t, V(t, X(t), U(t))) < 0$,

(or if $g(t, V(t, X(t), U(t))) < -\beta V, \forall t \geq t_0$) then a set solution $X(t) \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of SCDEs (3) is (EB)-bounded.

Proof. Setting the function $m(t) = V(t, X(t), U(t))$, we have $D^+m(t) = D^+V(t, X(t), U(t)) =$

$$\limsup_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\tau} \{V(t + \tau, X(t) + \tau f(t, X(t), U(t))) - V(t, X(t), U(t))\} \leq g(t, V(t, X(t), U(t))),$$

so $D^+m(t) \leq g(t, m(t))$, implies that $m(t_0) \leq \omega_0$. Since $m(t) \leq r(t, t_0, \omega_0, t)$ where $r(t, t_0, \omega_0, t)$ is maximal solution of ODE:

$$\frac{d\omega}{dt} = g(t, \omega) \tag{5}$$

then $V(t, X(t), U(t)) \leq V(\cdot, X(\cdot), U(\cdot)) \leq V(t_0, X_0, U_0)$ for all $0 \leq t \leq T$.

• Let $0 < \varepsilon < r, t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, be given. Choose a $\delta = \delta(t_0, \varepsilon)$ such that $a(t_0, \delta) < b(\varepsilon)$. We claim that with this δ then (B)-bounded solution. If it's not true, there exists solution $X(t)$ of SCDEs (3) and $t' > t$, such that $D[X(t'), \theta^n] = \beta$ and $D[X(t), \theta^n] \leq \varepsilon < r$, where $\beta > \varepsilon$ for all $t < t' \leq T$.

Wherever $D[X_0, \theta^n] < \delta$, because

$$V(t, X(t'), U(t')) \leq V(t, X(t), U(t)) \leq V(\cdot, X(\cdot), U(\cdot)) \leq V(t_0, X_0, U_0) \text{ for all } 0 \leq t < t' \leq T,$$

then

$$b(\beta) = b(D[X(t'), \theta^n]) \leq V(t', X(t'), U(t')) \leq V(t, X(t), U(t)) \leq V(t_0, X_0, U_0) \leq a(t_0, D[X_0, \theta^n]) \leq a(t_0, \delta) < b(\varepsilon)$$

by $b(\cdot)$ is a increasing function, therefore this contradiction proves that (B)-bounded solution.

• In the case, if $g(t, V(t, X(t), U(t))) < 0$ (or $D^+V(t, X(t), U(t)) < -\beta V(t, X(t), U(t))$) then we have $V(t, X(t), U(t)) \leq V(t_0, X_0, U_0)$ for all $0 \leq t \leq T$.

If $D^+V(t, X(t), U(t)) < -\beta V(t, X(t), U(t))$ then $V(t, X(t), U(t)) \leq V(t_0, X_0, U_0) \cdot e^{[-\beta(t-t_0)]}, \forall 0 \leq t \leq T$ and if (EB)

is not true, given ε_0 , we choose

$$T = T(t_0, \varepsilon_0) = \frac{1}{\beta} \ln \frac{a(t_0, \delta)}{b(\varepsilon_0)} + 1 \text{ then}$$

$$b(D[X(t), \theta^{nN}]) \leq V(t, X(t), U(t)) \leq a(t_0, \delta) \cdot e^{[-\beta(t-t_0)]} < b(\varepsilon),$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq T$, this contradiction proves that the fuzzy set solution $X(t) \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is (EB).

Definition 3.2. The set solutions of SCDEs (3) are said to be:

a/ (B1)- equi - bounded, if for any $\alpha > 0$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, there exists a $\beta = \beta(t_0, \alpha) > 0$ such that $\|X_0\| < \alpha$ implies $\|X(t)\| < \beta, t \geq t_0$.

b/ (B2)- uniform - bounded, if β in (B1) does not depend on t_0 .

Theorem 3.4. Assume that the Lyapunov-like function $V \in C[\mathbb{R}_+ \times K_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \times U, \mathbb{R}_+]$ and feedback $U(t) = \lambda(t)X(t)$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$(i) \quad |V(t, \bar{X}(t), \bar{U}(t)) - V(t, X(t), U(t))| \leq L(D[\bar{X}(t), X(t)] - D[\bar{U}(t), U(t)])$$

where L is bounded Lipschitz constant, for all $\bar{X}, X \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^n); \bar{U}, U \in U$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$;

(ii) add condition $D^+V(t, X(t), U(t)) =$

$$\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \{V(t + h, X(t) + hF(t, X(t), U(t)), U(t) + h[\lambda(t)F(t, X(t), U(t)) + \lambda'(t)X(t)] - V(t, X(t), U(t))\} \leq g(t, V(t, X(t), U(t)))$$

where $g \in C[\mathbb{R}_+^2, \mathbb{R}]$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+, X(t) \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^n), U \in U$.

If $X(t) = X(t, t_0, X_0, U_0)$ is any solution of SCDEs (3) existing on $[t_0, T]$ such that $V(t_0, X_0, U_0) \leq \omega_0$, then we have $V(t, X(t), U(t)) \leq r(t, t_0, \omega_0)$, where $r(t, t_0, \omega_0)$ is a maximal solution of ordinary differential equation (ODE) (5)

$$\frac{d\omega}{dt} = g(t, \omega), \quad \omega(t_0) = \omega_0 \geq 0.$$

Proof. Let $X(t) = X(t, t_0, X_0, U_0)$ is any solution of SCDEs (3) existing on $[t_0, T]$.

Define $m(t) = V(t, X(t), U(t))$ so that

$m(t_0) = V(t_0, X_0, U_0) \leq \omega_0$. Now, for small $h > 0$, by our assumption it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} m(t+h) - m(t) &= V(t+h, X(t+h), U(t+h)) - V(t, X(t), U(t)) \\ &= V(t+h, X(t+h), U(t+h)) \\ &\quad - V(t+h, X(t) + hF(t, X(t), U(t)), U(t) + h[\lambda(t)F(t, X(t), U(t)) + \lambda'(t)X(t)]) \\ &\quad + V(t+h, X(t) + hF(t, X(t), U(t)), U(t) + h[\lambda(t)F(t, X(t), U(t)) + \lambda'(t)X(t)]) \\ &\quad - V(t, X(t), U(t)) \\ &\leq L[D[X(t+h), X(t) + hF(t, X(t), U(t))] \\ &\quad - D[U(t+h), U(t) + h[\lambda(t)F(t, X(t), U(t)) + \lambda'(t)X(t)]] \\ &\quad + V(t+h, X(t) + hF(t, X(t), U(t)), U(t) + h[\lambda(t)F(t, X(t), U(t)) + \lambda'(t)X(t)]) \\ &\quad - V(t, X(t), U(t)), \end{aligned}$$

using the Lipschitz condition give (i), thus we have

$$D^+m(t) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \sup \frac{1}{h} [m(t+h) - m(t)] \leq D^+V(t, X(t), U(t)) + \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \sup \frac{1}{h} \{D[X(t+h), X(t) + hF(t, X(t), U(t))] - D[U(t+h), U(t) + h[\lambda(t)F(t, X(t), U(t)) + \lambda'(t)X(t)]]\}.$$

$$\frac{1}{h} D[X(t+h), X(t) + hF(t, X(t), U(t))] =$$

$$\text{Since } D\left[\frac{X(t+h) - X(t)}{h}, F(t, X(t), U(t))\right],$$

and $X(t)$ is any solution of SCDEs (3), we find that

$$\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \{D[X(t+h), X(t) + hF(t, X(t), U(t))]\} = D[D_H X(t), F(t, X(t), U(t))] = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{h} D[U(t+h), U(t) + h[\lambda(t)F(t, X(t), U(t)) + \lambda'(t)X(t)]] \\ &= D[\lambda(t)D_H X(t) + \lambda'(t)X(t), \lambda(t)F(t, X(t), U(t)) + \lambda'(t)X(t)] \\ &\leq \lambda(t)(D[D_H X(t), F(t, X(t), U(t))] + D[\lambda'(t)X(t), \lambda'(t)X(t)]) \equiv 0 \end{aligned}$$

We therefore have the scalar differential inequality $D^+m(t) \leq g(t, m(t))$, $m(t_0) \leq \omega_0$, which yields, as before, the estimate $m(t) \leq r(t, t_0, \omega_0)$, where $r(t, t_0, \omega_0)$ is a maximal solution of ODE (5). This proof is complete.

Corollary 3.1. *A function $g(t, m(t)) \leq 0$ is admissible in the theorem 3.4 to yield the estimate*

$$V(t, X(t), U(t)) \leq V(t_0, X_0, U_0), \quad t \in [t_0, T].$$

Next, we have some denotes:

$$S^c(\rho) = \{X \in K_c(\mathbb{R}^n) : D[X, \theta^n] = \|X\| < \rho\},$$

$$K = \{\sigma \in C[\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+] : \sigma(\omega) \text{ is increasing in } \omega \text{ and } \sigma(\omega) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } \omega \rightarrow \infty\}.$$

Now, we introduce some results on the boundedness of set solutions for SCDEs (3) by feedback $U(t) = \lambda(t)X(t)$.

Theorem 3.5. Assume that

$V \in [R_+ \times K_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \times U, R_+]$ is Lyapunov-like function and $U(t) = \lambda(t)X(t)$ is feedback for SCDEs (3) satisfies the following conditions:

$$(i) \quad |V(t, X_1(t), U_1(t)) - V(t, X_2(t), U_2(t))| \leq L(D[X_1(t), X_2(t)] - D[U_1(t), U_2(t)]),$$

for $(t, X, U) \in R_+ \times K_c(\mathbb{R}^n) \times U$; $L > 0$.

$$(ii) \quad D^+V(t, X(t), U(t)) \leq 0$$

$$b(\|X(t)\| + \|U(t)\|) \leq V(t, X(t), U(t)) \leq$$

$$(iii) \quad a(t, \|X(t)\| - \|U(t)\|),$$

then, the affirmation (B1) holds.

Proof. Proof of this theorem is analogous proof of theorem 3.3.

Theorem 3.6. Assume that

(i) $V \in [R_+ \times S^c(\rho) \times U, R_+]$, where ρ may be large, satisfies:

$$|V(t, X_1(t), U_1(t)) - V(t, X_2(t), U_2(t))| \leq L(D[X_1(t), X_2(t)] + D[U_1(t), U_2(t)]), L > 0$$

(ii) for $(t, X(t), U(t)) \in R_+ \times S^c(\rho) \times U$,

$$D^+V(t, X(t), U(t)) \leq 0;$$

$$(iii) \quad b(\|X(t)\|) \leq V(t, X(t), U(t)) \leq a(\|U(t)\|)$$

and $0 < \lambda(t) < 1$ where $a(\cdot), b(\cdot) \in K$, which are defined only on $[\rho, \infty)$,

then, (B2) holds.

Proof. We have to prove that (B2) holds. Because $g(t, V(t, X(t), U(t)) \leq 0$ implies

$$V(t, X(t), U(t)) \leq V(t_0, X(t_0), U(t_0)) \text{ and } \forall t \geq t_0$$

$$b(\|X(t)\|) \leq V(t, X(t), U(t)) \leq$$

$$V(t_0, X(t_0), U(t_0)) \leq a(\|X(t)\|)$$

Thus for all $X_i \in S^c(\rho)$ and $\forall t_0 \in R^+$ there exists estimate $b(\|U(t)\|) \leq a(\|X(t)\|)$ then by (iii) of theorem 3.5 the affirmation for (B1) holds, that means (B2) holds.

4. Conclusions

By the Lyapunov like-funcions and by some kinds of

feedback we just have investigated the problems of boundedness for set solutions to set control differential equations - SCDES, that is an one of the new trends in set-valued analysis. The boundedness properties of set solutions allows testing the extremals solutions, what is useful in practice of applications SDEs and SCDEs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the referees for their careful reading and many valuable remarks which improved the presentation of the paper.

Thanks are due to the Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) for financial support.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Allahviranloo, A. Amirteimoori, M. Khezerloo, S. Khezerloo, "A New Method for Solving Fuzzy Volterra Integro-Differential Equations", Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, Vol. 5, Issue 4 (2011), pp 154 -164.
- [2] Gnana Bhaskar T., Vasundhara Devi J. "Stability Criteria for Set Differential Equations", J. Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 2005, 41 (11-12): 1371-1378, doi:10.1016/j.mcm.2004.01.012.
- [3] Gnana Bhaskar T., Vasundhara Devi J. "Nonuniform stability and boundedness criteria for set differential equations", J. Applicable Analysis, 2005, 84 (2): 131-143, doi:10.1080/00036810410001724346.
- [4] Hoa N.V., Phu N.D., "On maximal and minimal solutions for Set-valued Differential Equations with Feedback Control". Hindawi Publishing Corporation, J. Abstract and Applied Analysis, Volume 2012, Article ID 816218, 11 pages, doi:10.1155/2012/816218.
- [5] Lakshmikantham V., Gnana Bhaskar T., Vasundhara Devi J., "Theory of set differential equations in metric spaces", Cambridge Scientific Publisher, UK, 2006.
- [6] Lakshmikantham, V. and S. Leela, Fuzzy differential systems and the new concept of stability, J. Nonlinear Dynamics and Systems Theory, 1(1) (2001), pp 111--119.
- [7] S. Li, A. Ren, "Representation theorems, set-valued and fuzzy set-valued Ito integral", J. Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 158 (2007) pp 949-962.
- [8] Michta, "On set-valued stochastic integrals and fuzzy stochastic equations", J. Fuzzy sets and Systems, (2011), doi: 10.1016/j.fss.2011.01.007.
- [9] Nikolas S. Papageorgiou, "Existence of solutions for integro-differential inclusions in Banach spaces", Comment.Math.U niv.Carolin, Vol. 32, Issue 4 (1991), pp 687 -696.
- [10] Plotnikov A.V., Skripnik N.V., "Differential Equations with Set and Fuzzy Set-valued in Right hand side". Odessa-Ukraine, 2009. (In Russian) 192p.

- [11] Phu N.D., “On the Global Controllable for Set Control Differential Equations”, *International Journal of Evolution Equations*, Vol. 4, Issue 3 (2009), pp 281 - 292.
- [12] Phu N.D., Hoa N.V., Vu H., “On comparisons of set solutions for fuzzy control integro-differential systems”, *Journal of Advanced Research in Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 4, Issue. 1, 2012, pp. 84-101, doi: 10.5373/jaram.1081.08.2011
- [13] Phu N. D., Quang L.T, Tung T.T., “Stability criteria for set control differential equations”, *J. Nonlinear Analysis: TMA*, 69(2008), pp 3715 - 3721. doi:10.1016/j.na2006.07.01
- [14] Phu N. D., Quang L. T. Hoa N.V., “On the Existence of Extremal Solutions for Set Differential Equations” *J. Advanced Research in Dynamical and Control Systems*, Vol 4 (2012), pp 18 –28.
- [15] Phu N.D., Tung T.T., “On the Existence of fuzzy solutions of fuzzy control differential equations”, *J. Science and Technology Development* 10, (5) (2006), pp 5--15.
- [16] Phu N. D., Tung T.T., “Some results on sheaf solutions of sheaf set control differential equations” *J. Nonlinear Analysis: TMA*, Vol 9 (2007), pp 1309 – 1315