

Common Fixed Point Results for Generalized Contractive Conditions in Cone Metric Spaces

K. Prudhvi

Department of Mathematics, University College of Science, Saifabad, Osmania University, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, India

Abstract In this paper, we prove a unique common fixed point theorem for generalized contractive conditions in cone metric spaces without appealing to continuity and commutativity conditions. These results generalize several well-known comparable results in the literature.

Keywords Common Fixed Point, Cone Metric Space, Coincidence Points

1. Introduction

The study of common fixed points of mappings satisfying certain contractive conditions has been at the centre of vigorous research activity, being the applications of fixed point very important in several areas of Mathematics. In 2007 Huang and Zhang[5] have generalized the concept of a metric space, replacing the set of real numbers by an ordered Banach space and obtained some fixed point theorems for mapping satisfying different contractive conditions. Subsequently, Abbas and Jungck[1] and Abbas and Rhoades[2] have studied common fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces (see also[5],[14] and the references mentioned therein). Isak Altun, G.Durmaz,[3] have proved some fixed point theorems on ordered cone metric spaces and M.Abbas, B.E.Rhoades[2] have proved common fixed point theorems for mappings without appealing to commutativity conditions in cone metric spaces. Recently, Stojan Radenović[18] has obtained coincidence point result for two mappings in cone metric spaces which satisfies new contractive conditions. In this paper we obtain a common fixed point theorem for mappings without appealing to commutativity conditions defined in cone metric spaces.

In all that follows B is a real Banach Space, and θ denotes the zero element of B . For the mapping $f, g: X \rightarrow X$, let $C(f, g)$ denote the set of coincidence points of f and g , that is $C(f, g) = \{z \in X : fz = gz\}$.

The following definitions are due to Huang and Zhang[5].

Definition 1.1. Let B be a real Banach Space and P a subset of B . The set P is called a cone if and only if:

- (a). P is closed, non-empty and $P \neq \{\theta\}$;

(b). $a, b \in P, a, b \geq \theta, x, y \in P$ implies $ax + by \in P$;

(c). $x \in P$ and $-x \in P$ implies $x = \theta$.

Definition 1.2. Let P be a cone in a Banach Space B , define partial ordering ' \leq ' with respect to P by $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$. We shall write $x < y$ to indicate $x \leq y$ but $x \neq y$ while $x \ll y$ will stand for $y - x \in \text{Int } P$, where $\text{Int } P$ denotes the interior of the set P . This Cone P is called an order cone.

Definition 1.3. Let B be a Banach Space and $P \subset B$ be an order cone. The order cone P is called normal if there exists $K > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in B$,

$$\theta \leq x \leq y \text{ implies } \|x\| \leq K \|y\|.$$

The least positive number K satisfying the above inequality is called the normal constant of P .

Definition 1.4. Let X be a nonempty set of B . Suppose that the map

$d: X \times X \rightarrow B$ satisfies :

(d1). $\theta \leq d(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = \theta$ if and only if $x = y$;

(d2). $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;

(d3). $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then d is called a cone metric on X and (X, d) is called a cone metric space.

The concept of a cone metric space is more general than that of a metric space.

Example 1.5. ([5]). Let $E = \mathbb{R}^2, P = \{(x, y) \in E \text{ such that } : x, y \geq 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2, X = \mathbb{R}$ and $d: X \times X \rightarrow E$ such that $d(x, y) = (|x - y|, \alpha |x - y|)$, where $\alpha \geq 0$ is a constant. Then (X, d) is a cone metric space.

Definition 1.6. ([5]) Let (X, d) be a cone metric space. We say that $\{x_n\}$ is

(i) a Cauchy sequence if for every c in B with $c \gg \theta$, there is N such that for all $n, m > N, d(x_n, x_m) \ll c$;

* Corresponding author:

prudhvikasani@rocketmail.com (K. Prudhvi)

Published online at <http://journal.sapub.org/ajms>

Copyright © 2013 Scientific & Academic Publishing. All Rights Reserved

(ii) a convergent sequence if for any $c >> 0$, there is an N such that for all $n > N$, $d(x_n, x) << c$, for some fixed x in X . We denote this $x_n \rightarrow x$ (as $n \rightarrow \infty$).

Lemma 1.7. ([5]) Let (X, d) be a cone metric space, and let P be a normal cone with normal constant K . Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then

- (i). $\{x_n\}$ converges to x if and only if $d(x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$).
- (ii). $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $d(x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ ($n, m \rightarrow \infty$).

2. Common Fixed Point Theorem

In this section we obtain a common fixed point theorem for mappings without appealing to commutativity conditions defined on a cone metric space in cone metric spaces, which generalizes the results of Stojan Radenović [18].

The following theorem generalizes the Theorem 2.3 [18].

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, d) be a complete cone metric space and P a normal cone with normal constant K . Suppose that the mappings $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ are such that for some constant $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and for every $x, y \in X$ are two self-maps of X satisfying

$$\|d(fx, fy)\| \leq \lambda (\|d(fx, gy)\| + \|d(fy, gx)\|) \quad (1)$$

If the range of g contains the range of f and $g(X)$ is a complete subspace of X , then f and g have coincidence point. Then, f and g have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X , and let $x_1 \in X$ be chosen such that

$y_0 = f(x_0) = g(x_1)$. Since $f(X) \subseteq g(X)$, let $x_2 \in X$ be chosen such that

$y_1 = f(x_1) = g(x_2)$. Continuing this process, having chosen $x_n \in X$, we chose $x_{n+1} \in X$

such that $y_n = f(x_n) = g(x_{n+1})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|d(y_n, y_{n-1})\| &= \|d(fx_n, fx_{n-1})\| \\ &\leq \lambda (\|d(fx_n, gx_{n-1})\| + \|d(fx_{n-1}, gx_n)\|) \\ &\leq \lambda (\|d(gx_{n+1}, gx_n)\| + \|d(gx_n, gx_{n-1})\|) \\ &\leq \lambda (\|d(y_n, y_{n-1})\| + \|d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2})\|) \text{ for } n=2,3,\dots \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|d(y_n, y_{n-1})\| - \lambda \|d(y_n, y_{n-1})\| &\leq \|d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2})\| \\ \Rightarrow \|d(y_n, y_{n-1})\| &\leq \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \|d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2})\| \\ &\leq \delta \|d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2})\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta = \frac{1}{1-\lambda}$.

Now by (2)

$$\|d(y_n, y_{n-1})\| \leq \lambda \|d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2})\| \leq \dots \leq \lambda^{n-1} \|d(y_1, y_0)\|.$$

Now we shall show that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. By the triangle inequality, for $n > m$, we have

$$d(y_n, y_m) \leq d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}) + \dots + d(y_{m+1}, y_m).$$

Hence, as P is a normal cone,

$$\|d(y_n, y_m)\| \leq K (\|d(y_n, y_{n-1})\| + \|d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2})\| + \dots + \|d(y_{m+1}, y_m)\|)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq K (\|d(y_n, y_{n-1})\| + \|d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2})\| + \dots + \|d(y_{m+1}, y_m)\|) \\ &\|d(y_n, y_m)\| \leq K (\lambda^{n-1} + \lambda^{n-2} + \dots + \lambda^m) \|d(y_1, y_0)\| \\ &\leq K \frac{1 - \lambda^{n-m}}{1 - \lambda} \|d(y_1, y_0)\| \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

From ([5], Lemma 4) it follows that $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since $g(X)$ is complete, there exists a q in $g(X)$ such that $y_n \rightarrow q$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, we can find p in X such that $g(p) = q$. We shall show that $f(p) = q$. From (1)

$$\begin{aligned} \|d(gx_n, fp)\| &= \|d(fx_{n-1}, fp)\| \\ &\leq \lambda (\|d(fx_{n-1}, gp)\| + \|d(fp, gx_{n-1})\|), \\ \Rightarrow \|d(gp, fp)\| &\leq \lambda (\|d(gp, gp)\| + \|d(fp, gp)\|), \\ &\leq \lambda \|d(fp, gp)\|, \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\|d(gp, fp)\| = 0$.

Hence,

$$gp = q = fp, \text{ } p \text{ is a coincidence point of } f \text{ and } g. \quad (3)$$

Now using (1),

$$\|d(p, gp)\| \leq \|d(p, y_n)\| + \|d(y_n, gp)\|$$

(by the triangle inequality)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \|d(p, y_n)\| + \|d(fx_n, fp)\| \text{ (since } fp = gp) \\ &\leq \|d(p, y_n)\| + \lambda (\|d(fx_n, gp)\| + \|d(fp, gx_n)\|) \end{aligned}$$

From (1.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \|d(p, gp)\| &\leq K (\|d(p, y_n)\| + \lambda (d(fx_n, gp) + d(fp, gx_n))) \\ &\leq K (\|d(p, y_n)\| + \lambda (\|d(y_n, gp)\| \\ &\quad + \|d(fp, y_{n-1})\|)) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \\ &\leq K (\|d(p, q)\| + \lambda (\|d(q, gp)\| + \|d(fp, q)\|)) \\ &\leq K (\|d(p, gp)\| + \lambda (\|d(gp, gp)\| + \|d(fp, fp)\|)) \\ &\leq K \|d(p, gp)\| + 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|d(p, gp)\| = 0.$$

That is, $p = gp$.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \|d(fp, p)\| &= \|d(fp, gp)\| \\ &\leq \lambda (\|d(fp, gp)\| + \|d(fp, gp)\|) \text{ (by (1))} \\ &\leq 0 \text{ (since } fp = gp) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|d(fp, p)\| = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow fp = p.$$

Since, $fp = gp$.

Therefore, $fp = gp = p$, f and g have a common fixed point.

Uniqueness, let p_1 be another common fixed point of f and g , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|d(p, p_1)\| &= \|d(fp, gp_1)\| \\ &= \|d(fp, fp_1)\| \\ &\leq \lambda (\|d(fp, gp_1)\| + \|d(fp_1, gp)\|) \text{ (by (1))} \\ &\leq \lambda (\|d(p, p_1)\| + \|d(p_1, p)\|) \\ &\leq 2\lambda \|d(p_1, p)\|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $d(p, p_1) = 0$, that is, $p = p_1$.

Therefore, f and g have a unique common fixed point.

This completes the proof.

3. Conclusions

Without appealing to continuity and commutativity conditions and also we can get common fixed points in cone metric spaces with the help of normal cone with normal constant.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abbas and G. Jungck, Common fixed point results for non commuting mappings without continuity in cone metric spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 341(2008) 416-420.
- [2] M. Abbas and B.E.Rhoades, Fixed and periodic point results in cone metric spaces. *Appl. Math. Lett.* 22 (2009), 511-515.
- [3] Issk Altun, G.Durmaz, Some fixed point theorems on ordered cone metric spaces, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* 58 (2009) 319-325.
- [4] Hardy, G.E., Roggers, T.D. A generalization of a fixed point theorem of Reich, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 16(2) (1973), 201-206.
- [5] L. G. Huang, X. Zhang, Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, *J.Math. Anal. Appl.* 332(2)(2007)1468-1476.
- [6] J.Gornicki, B.E.Rhoades, A general fixed point theorem for involutions, *Indian J.Pure Appl.Math.*27 (1996) 13-23.
- [7] Ilic, D., Rakocevic, V. Common fixed points for maps on cone metric space, *J.Math. Anal. Appl.*, 341 (2008), 876-882.
- [8] G.Jungck, Commuting maps and fixed points, *Internat. J. Maths. Sci.*9(4)(1986) 771-779.
- [9] G.Jungck, common fixed points for non continuous non self maps on non-metric spaces, *Far East J. Math. Sci.(FEJMS)* 4(1996) 199-215.
- [10] G.J.ungck and B.E.Rhoades, fixed point for set valued functions without continuity, *Indian J.Pure Appl. Math.* 29(3)(1998) 227-238.
- [11] R.Kannan, some results on fixed points, *Bull.Calcutta Math. Soc.* 60 (1968)71-76.
- [12] R.P.Pant. Common fixed points of noncommuting mappings, *J.Math. Anal. Appl.*, 188(1994),436-440.
- [13] Reich, S. Some remarks concerning contraction mappings, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 14(2) (1971), 121- 124.
- [14] S.Rezapour and Halbarani, Some notes on the paper "cone metric spaces and fixed point theorem of contractive mappings", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 345(2008), 719
- [15] S.Rezapour, R.H.Hagi, Fixed of multi functions on cone metric spaces, *Number.Funct.Anal.*30 (7-9) (2009) 825-832.
- [16] B.E.Rhoades, A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings, *Trans. Amer. Math. soc.* 26(1977) 257-290.
- [17] Sessa, S. On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations, *Publ. Inst. Math.*, 32(1982), 149-153.
- [18] Stojan Radenović, Common fixed points under contractive conditions in cone metric spaces, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* 58 (2009) 1273- 1278.
- [19] Vetro, P.:Common fixed points in cone metric spaces, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo.* 56 (2007), 464-468.