# The Self-reported Prevalence and Knowledge of Urinary Incontinence and Barriers to Health Care-Seeking in a Community Sample of Canadian Women

D. Wayne Taylor<sup>1,\*</sup>, Michael Weir<sup>2</sup>, Jacqueline J. Cahill<sup>3</sup>, Diaa E. E. Rizk<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>DeGroote School of Business, McMaster University, and The Cameron Institute, Hamilton, L8S 4M4, Canada <sup>2</sup>Department of Urology, Peterborough Regional Health Center, Peterborough, K9J 7C6, Ontario <sup>3</sup>The Canadian Continence Foundation, Peterborough, K9J 2R8, Ontario

**Abstract** The study aimed to determine the prevalence and understanding of urinary incontinence (UI) in a community sample of Canadian women and identify barriers to health-care seeking. Women aged 20 or more were selected randomly from the community and interviewed about inappropriate urine loss within the previous 12 months, the meaning and causes of UI, and their demographics. Attitudes towards health care-seeking and average waiting intervals before medical encounter were also studied. With a response rate of 92%, 36.5% women admitted to having UI. Of this number, 76.5% considered themselves "incontinent" because of amount and/or frequency of leakage; only 55.8% sought medical advice, on average, 14 months post onset. Several reasons for not seeking help were identified. Only 46.2% of respondents interpreted "incontinence" as the inability to control urine; 19.4% defined incontinence as involuntary urine leakage. Exactly one-third of respondents did not know the causes of UI; 63.4% thought it was normal in old age, and 12.9% believed UI could not be cured or improved. Only 51.6% considered UI as any amount or frequency of uncontrolled urine loss. The study concluded that UI is prevalent, poorly-understood and under-reported in Canadian women because of inadequate public knowledge with consequent delay in management. This information should assist in formulating a public education strategy for UI and in planning the social and medical care of incontinent women.

**Keywords** Knowledge, Prevalence, Urinary Incontinence, Women

# 1. Introduction

Urinary incontinence (UI) is an embarrassing and debilitating symptom that is becoming a major health concern for women of all ages with significant public health and economic consequences[1-8]. Female UI is more common with vaginal birth, advancing age and co-morbid medical conditions[4, 7, and 9]. Previous studies have commented on the increasing community prevalence of UI in women worldwide and the psychosocial consequences of having this disorder[1-9].

The role of patient advocacy groups in improving health care and services through community knowledge transfer and government lobbying has been recently appreciated [10]. Incontinence advocacy groups like The Canadian Continence Foundation (TCCF) strive to ensure that all urinary incontinent Canadian consumers have access to evidence-based medical therapy of UI, and that their quality of life is given serious consideration by health policy makers,

because UI is still a stig matized and taboo condition amongst Canadian women. As such, UI is often suffered in silence without seeking health care[3, 9, 11, and 12].

Epidemiological studies and qualitative data show that many individuals do not understand the meaning of the word "incontinence" and therefore the condition is often under-reported[4, 6, 13-15]. Previous patient surveys have also consistently indicated that it takes several years before incontinent woman report the symptoms to a health care provider and/or get referred to the appropriate specialist[3-5, 11]. The reasons were: thinking that the condition could not be treated, feeling embarrassed, and thinking that this is a "normal" part of ageing.

Family physicians, who are the primary care providers for the majority of incontinent women in Canada, frequently report that they are not adequately trained to treat incontinent patients and therefore are reluctant to discuss or manage the condition during consultation, and in arranging subsequent care including timely specialist referral [16].

As yet, there is a paucity of accurate figures and recent studies pertaining to the perception, knowledge and health-care seeking behaviour of the Canadian female population with regard to UI[9, 12]. The "true" prevalence of this condition in the community is not known[9, 12].

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author:
wtaylor@mcmaster.ca (D. Wayne Taylor)
Published online at http://journal.sapub.org/ajmms
Copyright © 2013 Scientific & Academic Publishing. All Rights Reserved

This community-based, epidemiological study is important for projecting the need for women's health services as well as therapeutic intervention. With the continuing drive of patient groups, such as TCCF, to encourage access to incontinence treatment and patient education, it is also pertinent that physicians improve their understanding of women's perceptions of the condition, identify the biomedical knowledge gaps in public information about UI, and recognize the potential barriers to care-seeking in incontinent women.

The objectives of the study were to:

- 1- Determine the self-reported prevalence of UI in a randomly selected community sample of women including when women perceive this as a "problem", i.e. quantity or frequency of urine loss, and what "qualifies" as significant or bothersome UI necessitating medical help.
- 2- Identify the meaning of the term "incontinence" in this group and assess their background knowledge about the causes and treatment outcomes for UI.
- 3- Study the patient-specific reasons for delaying or not choosing to seek incontinence care.
- 4- Measure the waiting interval before medical encounter and specialist referral of incontinent women, and evaluate the practice of family physicians regarding clinical triage.

## 2. Materials and Methods

A cross-sectional, population-based survey was conducted on a random cohort of Canadian women aged 20 or more years living in the City of Peterborough, Ontario. In 2006 the population of Peterborough was 74,898. In 2008 the average household income for Peterborough was \$60,700, over \$20,000 below the provincial average for the 82 cities in Ontario, thus designating it as a "low income" municipality.

The following women were excluded either because of the higher risk of developing UI or because UI is not related to obstetric or gynaecologic causes:

- a) Pregnant women or those who delivered less than 3 months previously.
- b) Women with neurological diseases known to cause UI such as previous spinal cord injuries, stroke, dementia, disseminated sclerosis and Parkinson's disease.
- c) Women with previous major operations or malignant diseases of the urinary tract.
  - d) Women who have permanent urinary stoma.

In addition, women who could not communicate in English were also excluded. The study protocol was approved by the Research Ethics Board of the Peterborough Regional Health Centre.

Sample size calculation indicated that a study of 101 subjects was adequate to achieve a high degree of precision ( $\pm$  4%; 95% confidence) in estimating the true prevalence of UI in the general female population of Peterborough[17]. *A priori* assumption was that the expected prevalence in this group is 4%, similar to that reported in women from Ontario in the largest Canadian study about UI "The Canadian"

Community Health Survey and Data about UI", with an anticipated 80% patient response rate[18]. The study population was identified through the telephone listings of Peterborough residents. Subjects were selected, using a simple random sampling technique, utilizing a random number generator, from households who had an eligible woman resident, and were contacted by telephone at home. Telephone interviews were conducted after 17.00h in order to increase participation. In some cases, an initial phone call was made and a further telephone appointment was set up at a mutually agreeable time in order to conduct the survey. Women who were not available were contacted a further two times and if still not available, were excluded and replaced by the subsequent woman in the list. Between March and June, 2011, 101 eligible women were recruited. After receiving information about the study, participation was voluntary and anonymous. The participant's consent was implied if she agreed to be interviewed and 93 women (92%) agreed to participate in the study.

Data were collected by one investigator[DEER] using a structured questionnaire. This consisted of 42 items that included a number of demographic, reproductive, medical and lifestyle variables (Table 1) with closed and open responses. Parity was the number of previous pregnancies > 28 weeks. Chronic constipation was considered as fewer than 3 bowel movements per week for at least 3 months. The survey definition of UI was any self-reported episode of involuntary urine loss within the previous 12 months; the screening inquiry included the concept of "current UI", UI in the previous 12 months only [2, 3, 7, 11], followed by a series of more specific questions. The questionnaire included items from the psychometrically validated PELVIC FLOOR BOTHER (PFB) questionnaire[19] combined with items based on the responses to the voluntary on-line pilot study of TCCF about UI[20].

The urinary component items of the PFB questionnaire are a survey instrument to detect the presence and type of symptom of UI and the degree of bother from UI. The patient-specific questions were generated from responses to the pilot study of TCCF, modified accordingly and categorized into 2 main themes to assess the women's knowledge about UI and their attitude to care-seeking. All the questionnaire answers were kept anonymous and confidential. A copy of the questionnaire is available from the authors on request.

Data was analyzed using Stata 11.06 and Microsoft Office Excel 2007. Differences between proportions were examined by Fisher's exact test size. Measure of association between variables was assessed by correlation coefficient. Openended responses and qualitative data were analyzed using a content analysis approach whereby individual responses were analyzed and categorized into themes. For all analyses, a p value of < 0.05 was considered significant.

# 3. Results

A total of 93 women out of 101 enrolled subjects participated in the study giving a non-respondent rate of 8%. No further data were available on the 8 women who declined to be interviewed. Thirty four women (36.5%) were incontinent according to the survey definition of UI; 20 (58.8%) complained of stress UI and 14 (41.2%) of urge UI with 16 women (47%) having mixed symptoms (both stress and urge UI). Of the incontinent population, 23 (67.6%) had mild UI and 11 (32.4%) had severe UI. Only 19 (55.8%) of the 34 incontinent women had sought medical advice, the 11 women with severe UI and 8 women with mild UI.

Table (1) gives the socio-demographic, reproductive and medical characteristics of study subjects. The study sample was representative of the general female population in Peterborough. The mean age of participants was 51.50 years and parity was 1.87. The majority of subjects were Caucasians, married, educated to the secondary school level with a medium annual household income, and non-smokers. Both incontinent and continent women were comparable in the various parameters measured.

Table 1.	Demographics of	study population	(N=93)
----------	-----------------	------------------	--------

	Incontinent	Continent women
	women N=34(%)	N=59(%)
Age (mean; years)	51.53	51.48
Parity (mean)	1.41	2.13
Ethnic origin		
Caucasian/White	30 (88.23)	50 (84.75)
First Nation/ Aboriginal	2 (5.88)	5 (8.47)
Chinese	1 (2.94)	1 (1.69)
Indian	0	1 (1.69)
Middle East	1 (2.94)	1 (1.69)
Annual household		
income (Canadian \$)		
< 25,000	9 (26.47)	7 (11.86)
25,000 -50,000	7 (20.59)	22 (37.29)
50,000-100,000	14 (41.18)	27 (45.76)
> 15,000	3 (8.82)	1 (1.69)
Education		
University	15 (44.12)	25 (42.37)
Secondary/High school	17 (50.00)	32 (54.24)
Primary/Elementary	2 (5.88)	2 (3.39)
school		
Postmenopausal	5 (14.71)	3 (5.08)
Cigarette smoking	11 (32.35)	14 (23.73)
Associated medical		
disorders		
Diabetes mellitus	7 (20.59)	10 (16.95)
Diuretic intake	5 (14.71)	5 (8.47)
Didictic intake	3 (14./1)	5 (0.47)

Only 26 of the 34 incontinent women (76.5%) considered themselves "incontinent" because of excessive amount and/or frequency of urine leakage giving a self- perceived incontinence rate of 28%. Twenty four women from this group were sexually active and the majority (n=18) reported that the presence of incontinence adversely affected and/or significantly diminished their ability to enjoy sex. Only 19 (73.1%) of the 26 women who self-perceived their condition as incontinence sought medical advice.

The association between choosing to seek medical help and of reporting having severe incontinence was significant (r=0.72, p=.05), however seeking medical help was not significantly associated with urge UI or decreased sexual enjoyment as a result of UI. Fifteen women were consulting their family physician and 4 more women had already been referred to a gynaecologist or urogynecologist. The average interval before the initial medical encounter was 14 months with a range of 2-36 months. Reasons for not seeking help in the remaining 7 women, who considered themselves incontinent, were: thinking that UI would resolve spontaneously in 4 (57.2%); UI was normal in old age in 2 (28.5%); and the difficulty in accessing health services in 1 (14.3%).

**Table 2.** Self-reported meaning of the word "incontinence" in incontinent (N=34) and continent (N=59) women

	Incontinent women N=34(%)	Continent women N=59(%)
Inability to control urine	20 (58.82)	23 (38.98)
Involuntary leakage of urine	6 (17.65)	12 (20.34)
Do not know	1 (2.94)	11 (18.64)
Inability to pass urine	1 (2.94)	0

Answers to the question "what does the word incontinence mean to you?" are shown in Table 2. Only 61 respondents (65.6%) interpreted the word "incontinence" correctly as the inability to control urine or the involuntary leakage of urine. A third of the participants either gave different interpretations or did not know the meaning of the term. Parado xically, one woman perceived UI to be the retention of urine. The differences between the responses of continent and incontinent women were not significant.

The expectations of women about the quantity and/or frequency of leakage of urine that should be categorized as UI are listed in Table 3. One-half of the women considered that any amount or frequency of involuntary urine loss is UI. The other half thought that there is a particular amount or frequency threshold to suffer from UI with the majority choosing this as the need to change underwear but without soaking and/or at least 3 episodes of leakage of urine per week.

Perceptions of the causes of UI in the study population are presented in Table 4.

Although 31 women perceived the cause of UI as unknown and 5 perceived the cause to be urinary tract infection, a third of women identified childbirth as the primary cause. Ageing was cited as a cause for UI by only 8 women with 51 more women indicating that UI is normal in old age in a subsequent question. Twelve women also believed that UI could not be cured or improved by medical intervention. The differences between the causes of UI given by continent and incontinent respondents were not significant.

Table 3.	Expectations of incontinent (N=34) and continent (N=59) women
about wha	at amount and/or frequency of urine loss is considered UI

	Incontinent	Continent
	women	women
	N=34(%)	N=59(%)
1) Amount of urine loss		
a- A few drops/day	16 (64.71)	32 (54.24)
b-Need to change underwear	15 (44.12)	31(52.54)
without soaking		
c- Need to use absorbent products	2 (5.88)	9 (15.25)
d-Complete bladder emptying		
without warning	0	1 (1.69)
2) Frequency of urine loss		
a- A small amount of leakage		
i- Once/day	15 (44.18)	31(52.54)
ii- T wice/day	6 (17.65)	6 (10.17)
iii- Once/week	3 (8.82)	4 (6.78)
iv-Three times/week	1(2.94)	2 (3.39)
b- A large amount of leakage		
i- Once/day	3 (8.82)	4 (6.78)
ii- T wice/week	4 (11.76)	2 (3.39)
iii- Once/week	0	1 (1.69)
iv-Threetimes/week	0	3 (5.08)

**Table 4.** Perceptions of causes of UI among incontinent (N=34) and continent women (N=59) \*

	Incontinent	Continent
	women	women
	N=34(%)	N=59(%)
Childbirth	9 (26.47)	22 (37.29)
Do not know	9 (26.47)	22 (37.29)
Weakness of pelvic floor muscle	2 (5.88)	9 (15.25)
Old age	5 (14.71)	3 (5.08)
Urinary tract infection	1(2.94)	4 (6.78)

### 4. Discussion

This survey has produced information from a Canadian female community population perspective which can be added to the world literature on the epidemiology of UI. Results showed that almost one third of Canadian women of all ages suffer from UI similar to previous studies [9, 12, and 18]. This finding clearly explains the considerable cost to the health care system incurred by UI, estimated to be CND6 billion per annum in 2009 [21]. The symptom of UI remains under-reported mainly because of the lack of women understanding or appreciating the morbidity of the condition with subsequent delay in seeking care from their primary care provider and/or further specialist advice.

Comparison of results from various studies estimating the prevalence of female UI is limited by differences in the definition of UI, particularly its onset, severity, frequency and whether or not it poses a social or hygienic problem. Other factors are the ethnic group examined, the type of patient population whether community- or hospital-based, and the standards and methods of data collection[22]. Therefore, available results vary widely but comparable studies of Western women in the community belonging to a similar age spectrum have described prevalence rates between 4 and 60%[1-8, 23]. This study's result was within

this range, and the self-perceived incontinence rate was interestingly similar to the overall incontinence rate of 28.8% found in a recent multi-provincial community study of 518 Canadian women [12].

A previous, family practice, clinic-based, Canadian study, however, reported a higher prevalence of UI in women (51%) in another town in Ontario [9]. The difference could be explained by the shorter time frame of one month over which UI was measured in this study with a likely higher recall of symptoms. Our prevalence rate is significantly higher than the 4% rate previously cited in the national Canadian Community Health Survey (CCHS) possibly because of the different manner of enquiring about UI[18]. Unlike the CCHS study, inquiry about UI in our study was based on the assumption that it would detect incontinence of any severity or frequency to be an important problem to the woman concerned to report, whether or not diagnosed by a health professional[3, 11,19, 22]. This definition of UI is similar to the most recent standardized terminology used for UI by the International Continence Society [24] and was comprehensi ble to the target Canadian population in the previous TCCF survey[20].

Although pregnancy and vaginal birth is considered the major cause for having female UI, this factor does not totally explain the origin and progression of UI in all women[8]. UI has been observed in nulliparous women and the absence of the condition has been confirmed in many multiparous women, as seen here[9]. Besides obstetric causes, other important risk factors such as age, medical disorders like diabetes mellitus and chronic respiratory diseases, chronic constipation, and diuretic intake are known to be associated with the development of UI[2-4, 9, 12, and 25]. There was no significant association, however, with UI in the present study. A possible explanation could be the under-representation of women with other associated medical disorders in our sample.

As observed in this study, perception of UI as a problem for which to seek care is directly related to having severe UI, urge UI and associated sexual dysfunction that grossly under-estimates the "true" community prevalence of female UI[12, 23]. Moreover, even the presence of severe symptoms is probably under-reported because most women are embarrassed to talk about UI and prefer to suffer in silence [6, 13-15, 21]. It is also possible that specific inquiry about the symptom of UI may yield a relatively lower rate of positive answers because of lack of understanding and/or difficulty with interpretation on the subjects' part of this widely accepted question on interviewing[11,18]. In this study, therefore, a specific question was added about the meaning of the word "incontinence". Only 76.5% of women understood the proper meaning of the term UI, even if they were incontinent, similar to other studies[6, 14]. Many respondents were also unsure about the definition, causes or treatment outcome of UI and yet aware of the adverse effects. This finding underscores the importance of promotion of public awareness about UI[5].

Knowledge translation campaigns and community

education programs about UI in Canada should thus focus on explaining the word "incontinence" clearly to women and on discussing the available treatment options for UI and their expected effectiveness. Women should also be informed about the risk factors for having UI and that any involuntary loss of urine irrespective of amount or frequency is abnormal. Raising awareness and channelling information about UI to the general public has a positive impact on care seeking and access to incontinence services [6, 13, and 14].

Canada's general comparative affluence, well-developed women's health care delivery infrastructure, near-universal coverage, and public-funding of physician and hospital services tend to balance the traditional barriers to UI care-seeking in women [15]. This explains the low number of incontinent women who did not seek care because of difficulty in accessing health services.

A disappointing finding, however, was that some women in the study still believed that UI may either regress spontaneously or is normal at old age, and had low expectations about the results of treatment. The knowledge barrier to seeking help for UI emphasizes the responsibility of health care providers as key informants to correct women's misconceptions about UI being normal or untreatable[6, 13,14,26]. The majority of the incontinent women sought help from a family physician but after a long interval of over a year, similar to previous Canadian studies [12]. Education and training of family physicians in the management of UI, and raising public awareness of other sources of medical help for UI are, therefore, recommended to improve timely patient access to appropriate incontinence care[5, 27]. Dedicated continence promotion clinics in a community care setting staffed by specialist continence providers may be an alternative means of achieving this objective, particularly if effort is made to make the clinic as user-friendly as possible to the clientele.

Surveys are subject to sampling, detection and response biases. The inclusion of only English-speaking women in the study inevitably induced a selection bias against non-English speaking women in Canada. Results cannot be generalized to the entire female population of Canada since this is a city-based survey. The results of this survey, however, can be used as a pilot basis for a further nationwide study of English- as well as French-speaking and other minority language Canadian women. Our data collection technique of telephone interviews contained less detection bias of the community prevalence of UI than surveys based on face-toface interviews, or self-completed mailed questionnaires, because a larger population of women could be sampled by this relatively inexpensive method, and because most incontinent women can report the symptom freely over the phone through indirect personal contact with an investigator. The anonymity allowed by private telephone participation further optimized freedom of choice and liberty in responses to questions particularly those involving sensitive or personal health topics such as bladder control or sexual activity. This interviewing technique also reduced response bias as a result of direct verbal communication and

interaction with participants that provides more accurate information because subjects' questions can be clarified immediately prior to answering[2,3,11,12]. Response bias was further minimized in our cohort because the interviewer approached women as an independent researcher and not as a service provider when respondents may be reluctant to criticize health care professionals. However, there was no further information to assess the characteristics of non-respondents. This could bias the results because motivated participants may differ from non-respondents.

The questionnaire included a fixed-choice option about the ethnic origin of participants in an attempt to investigate the recognized role of ethnicity on women's understanding and attitudes to UI[2, 3]. The small size of the ethnic subgroups precluded further statistical analysis in our study. Another limitation was that our survey did not include detailed qualitative analysis of responses. A further qualitative study may seek suggestions from interviewees about how best to manage UI, for example, what diagnostic and treatment strategies would they be prepared to accept in order to provide more patient-centred information about management of UI.

If and when funding is secured for further research in this important area of women's health, a larger and more nationally representative and stratified sample will be surveyed.

### 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, our results support the findings of previous national studies that UI is a prevalent condition in Canadian women. The novel data provided by our study on the Canadian female consumer's views regarding UI is expected to increase awareness and develop the knowledge base of different stakeholders involved in incontinence service provision in Canada. This should assist in formulating the public education strategy for UI and in planning the social and medical care of incontinent women. Society should be aware that UI is a disorder that needs medical attention. Women must be encouraged to report their problem and seek medical advice and treatment.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The study was funded by a 2010 grant from the Astellas US Foundation to The Canadian Continence Foundation.

# REFERENCES

- Botlero R, Davis SR, Urquhart DM, Bell RJ (2011) Incidence and resolution rates of different types of urinary incontinence in women: findings from a cohort study. J Urol 185: 1331-1337.
- [2] DeLancey JO, Fenner DE, Guire K, Patel DA, Howard D,

- Miller JM (2010) Differences in continence system between community-dwelling black and white women with and without urinary incontinence in the EPI study. Is J Obstet Gynecol 202: 584.e1-584.e12.
- [3] Berger MB, Patel DA, Miller JM, Delancey JO, Fenner DE (in press). Racial differences in self-reported healthcare seeking and treatment for urinary incontinence in community-dwelling women from the EPI study. Neurourol Urodyn DOI: 10.1002/nau.21145.
- [4] Botlero R, Davis SR, Urquhart DM, Shortreed S, Bell RJ (2009) Age-specific prevalence of, and factors associated with, different types of urinary incontinence in community-dwelling Australian women assessed with a validated questionnaire. Maturitas 62: 134-139.
- [5] Buckley BS, Lapitan MC (2009) Prevalence of urinary and faecal incontinence and nocturnal enuresis and attitudes to treatment and help-seeking amongst a community-based representative sample of adults in the United Kingdom. Int J Clin Pract 63: 568-573.
- [6] Franzén K, Johansson JE, Andersson G, Nilsson K (2008) Urinary incontinence: Evaluation of an information campaign directed towards the general public. Scand J Urol Nephrol 42: 534-538.
- [7] Anger JT, Saigal CS, Litwin MS; Urologic Diseases of America Project (2006) The prevalence of urinary incontinence among community dwelling adult women: results from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. J Urol 175: 601-604.
- [8] Lawrence JM, Lukacz ES, Nager CW, Hsu JW, Luber KM (2008) Prevalence and co-occurrence of pelvic floor disorders in community-dwelling women. Obstet Gynecol 111: 678-685.
- [9] Swanson JG, Kaczorowski J, Skelly J, Finkelstein M (2005) Urinary incontinence: common problem among women over 45. Can Fam Physician 51: 84-85
- [10] Rothman SM (2011) Health advocacy organizations and evidence-based medicine. JAMA 305: 2569-2570.
- [11] Sims J, Browning C, Lundgren-Lindquist B, Kendig H (2011) Urinary incontinence in a community sample of older adults: prevalence and impact on quality of life. Disabil Rehabil 33: 1389-1398.
- [12] Herschorn S, Gajewski J, Schulz J, Corcos J (2008) A population-based study of urinary symptoms and incontinence: The Canadian Urinary Bladder Survey. BJU Int 101: 52–58.
- [13] Wooldridge LS (2009) Promoting continence awareness and community education. Ostomy Wound Manage 55: 4.
- [14] Kang Y (2009) Knowledge and attitudes about urinary incontinence among community-dwelling Korean American

- women. J Wound Ostomy Continence Nurs 36: 194-199.
- [15] Heit M, Blackwell L, Kelly S (2008) Measuring barriers to incontinence care seeking Neurourol Urodynam 2008 27: 174-178.
- [16] Swanson JG, Skelly J, Hutchinson B, Kaczorowski J (2002) Urinary incontinence in Canada: National survey of family physicians' knowledge, attitudes, and practices. Can Fam Physician 48: 86-92.
- [17] Aday LA (1996) Designing and Conducting Health Surveys. San Francisco CA. Jossey-Bass.
- [18] Incontinence: A Canadian Perspective. The Canadian Continence Foundation, May 2007 (www.canadiancontinenc e.ca). Accessed 15th December, 2010; also, Statistics Canada. Canadian Community Health Survey Cycle 3.1[updated 2005; cited 2008 May 5]. Available from: http://www.statcan.ca/english/concepts/health/.
- [19] Peterson TV, Karp DR, Aguilar VC, Davila GW (2010) Validation of a global pelvic floor symptom bother questionnaire. Int Urogynecol J 21:1129–1135.
- [20] Participate in research. The Canadian Continence Foundation (www.canadiancontinence.ca). Accessed 1st December 2010.
- [21] Impacts of incontinence in Canada: A briefing document from the Canadian Continence Foundation, May 2009 (www.canadiancontinence.ca). Accessed 15th December, 2010.
- [22] Albertsen PC (2005) The prevalence of urinary incontinence in community-dwelling married women: a matter of definition. J Urol 174: 613.
- [23] Coyne KS, Sexton CC, Vats V, Thompson C, Kopp ZS, Milsom I (2011) National community prevalence of overactive bladder in the United States stratified by sex and age. Urology 77: 1081-1087.
- [24] Abrams P, Cardozo L, Fall M, et al (2002) The standardization of terminology of lower urinary tract function: report from the standardization sub-committee of the International Continence Society. Neurourol Urodynam 21:167-78.
- [25] Finkelstein MM (2002) Medical conditions, medications, and urinary incontinence. Analysis of a population-based survey. Can Fam Physician 48: 96-101.
- [26] Rizk DEE (2009) Measuring barriers to urinary incontinence care seeking in women: The knowledge barrier. Neurourol Urodynam 28: 101.
- [27] Buckley BS, Grant AM, Tincello DG, Wagg AS, Firkins I (2010) Prioritizing research: Patients, Carers, and Clinicians working together to identify and prioritize important clinical uncertainties in urinary incontinence. Neurourol Urodynam 29: 708-14.