Effect of Order of Chemical Reaction on a Boundary Layer Flow with Heat and Mass Transfer Over a Linearly Stretching Sheet
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Abstract The heat and mass transfer characteristics over a linearly stretching sheet subjected to an order of chemical reaction are studied numerically. A similarity transformation is utilized to convert the governing nonlinear partial differential equations into ordinary differential equations. The numerical method of solution is based on the shooting method with six order Runge-Kutta iteration scheme. The numerical simulations are conducted at Schmidt number $Sc$ varying from 0.22 to 0.78 and chemical reaction varying from 0.5 to 3. The effects of the governing parameters on the local skin friction and rate of mass transfer on the wall are accurately calculated.
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1. Introduction

The momentum, heat and mass transfer in laminar boundary layer flow over a linearly stretching sheet has important applications in polymer industry mass transfer over a stretching surface. In addition, the diffusing species can be generated or absorbed due to some kind of chemical reaction with the ambient fluid as was shown by [1-4]. This kind of generation or absorption of species can affect the flow properties and quality of the final product. Thus, the study of heat transfer and flow field is necessary for determining the quality of the final products of such processes.

Chambré and Young [5] studied a first-order chemical reaction in the neighborhood of a horizontal plate. On the other hand, Crane [6] studied the flow over a linearly stretching sheet in an ambient fluid and gave a similarity solution in closed analytical form for the steady two-dimensional problem. Further, Anderson et al. [7] studied the diffusion of chemical reactive species with first-order and higher-order reactions over a linearly stretching sheet. Anjalidevi and Kandasamy [8] investigated the effects of chemical reaction; heat and mass transfer on a steady laminar flow along a semi-infinite horizontal plate.

Additionally, Takhar et al. [9] investigated the flow and mass diffusion of a chemical species with first-order and higher-order reactions over a continuously stretching sheet. Gupta and Gupta [10], Carragher and Crane [11], Dutta et al. [12], Magyari and Keller [13] and Mahapatra and Gupta [14] studied the heat transfer in the steady two-dimensional stagnation point flow of a viscous, incompressible viscoelastic fluid over a horizontal stretching sheet considering the case of constant surface temperature. Recently, Afify [15] analyzed the MHD free convective flow and mass transfer over a stretching sheet with homogeneous chemical reaction of order $n$ (where $n$ was taken 1, 2 and 3). Samad and Mohebujaman [16] exposed the effect of a chemical reaction on the flow over a linearly stretching vertical sheet in the presence of heat and mass transfer as well as a uniform magnetic field with heat generation/absorption. Recently, Hayat et al. [17] studied the effect on unsteady three dimensional flow of couple stress fluid over a stretching surface with chemical reaction based on using homotopy analysis method. Alam and Ahammad [18] investigated the effects of variable chemical reaction and variable electric conductivity on free convective flow with heat and mass transfer over an inclined permeable stretching sheet under the influence of Dufour and Soret effects with variable heat and mass fluxes. Kandasamy et al. [19] presented a study on free convective heat and mass transfer fluid flow considering thermophoresis and chemical reaction over a porous stretching surface along with several assumptions by using Group theory. Oluwole and Sibanda [20]...
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Effect of Order of Chemical Reaction on a Boundary Layer Flow with Heat and Mass Transfer Over a Linearly Stretching Sheet has been focused to clarify the effects of first-order homogeneous chemical reaction on a two-dimensional boundary layer flow past a vertical stretching surface numerically. Effects of Chemical reaction flow through porous media over a stretching sheet was recently studied by Sing and Jai [21].

Based on the above-mentioned investigations and applications, this paper is concerned with two-dimensional steady, incompressible, laminar boundary layer flow of a fluid over a linearly stretching sheet. In this paper we investigate numerically the effects of chemical reaction on the steady laminar two-dimensional boundary layer flow and heat and mass transfer over a stretching sheet. The method of solution is based on the well-known similarity analysis together with shooting method.

2. Governing Equation of the Boundary Layer Flow

Consider two dimensional steady, incompressible, laminar boundary layer flow of a fluid over a linearly stretching sheet (i.e. stretched with a velocity proportional to \( x(u = u_0x) \) as shown in Figure 1). We assume that the fluid far away from the sheet is at rest and at temperature \( T_\infty \) and concentration \( C_\infty \). Further, the stretched sheet is kept at fixed temperature \( T_w(< T_\infty) \) and concentration \( C_w(< C_\infty) \).

The boundary layer equation and boundary conditions governing this flow model are the continuity, momentum, energy and mass concentration. These equations are given by

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{1}
\]

\[
u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \tag{2}
\]

\[
u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} = \alpha \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\nu}{c_p} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right)^2 \tag{3}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial C}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial C}{\partial y} = D \frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial y^2} - k_1 (C - C_w)^n \tag{4}
\]

where \( u \) and \( v \) are the velocity components in the \( x \) and \( y \) directions, respectively, \( T \) is the fluid temperature in the boundary layer, \( C \) is the concentration of the fluid, \( \nu \) is the kinematic viscosity, \( \alpha \) is the thermal diffusivity, \( c_p \) is the specific heat at constant pressure, \( k_1 \) is a constant of first-order chemical reaction rate and \( D \) is the effective diffusion coefficients.

The associated boundary conditions are

\[
u u_0 x, v = 0, T = T_w, C = C_w \text{ at } y = 0
\]

\[
u u_0 x, T \to T_\infty, C \to C_\infty, \text{ as } y \to \infty. \tag{5}
\]

In order to solve Equations (1)-(5), we introduce the following similarity transformation

\[
u \eta = y^{1/2} \sqrt{\frac{u_0}{v}}, \psi(x,y) = \sqrt{\frac{u_0}{x}} f(\eta)
\]

\[
u \theta(\eta) = \frac{T - T_w}{T_\infty - T_w}, \phi(\eta) = \frac{C - C_w}{C_w - C_\infty},
\]

where \( \eta \) is the similarity variable, \( f \) is the dimensionless stream function, \( \theta \) is the dimensionless temperature, \( \phi \) is the dimensionless concentration and \( \psi \) is the stream function defined as

\[
u u = \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y}, v = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x}.
\]

Consequently, equations (2)-(4) and the boundary conditions (5) can be written in the following form

\[
u f'' + f(1 - f')^2 = 0 \tag{6}
\]

\[
u \theta'' + Pr[f\theta' + Ec(f^*)^2] = 0 \tag{7}
\]

\[
u \phi' + Sc[f\phi' - Cr\phi^*] = 0 \tag{8}
\]

subject to

\[
u f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1, \theta(0) = 1, \phi(0) = 1,
\]

\[
u f'(\infty) = 0, \theta(\infty) = 0, \phi(\infty) = 0, \tag{9}
\]

where \( Pr = \nu/\theta \) represents Prandtl number, \( Ec = u_0^2/c_p(T_w - T_w) \) represents Eckert number, \( Sc = \nu/D \) represents Schmidt number and \( Cr = k_1(C_w - C_\infty)^{n-1}/u_0 \) is the chemical reaction parameter.

It should be noted that the physical quantities of interest in this problem are the local skin-friction coefficient, \( f''(0) \), rate of heat transfers, \( -\theta'(0) \), and rate of mass transfers, \( -\phi'(0) \), which are defined as

\[
u f''(0) = \left( \frac{1}{u_\nu x} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) \right)_{y=0} \tag{10}
\]
\[ \theta'(0) = \frac{\sqrt{x}}{T_w - T_\infty} (Re) \left( \partial T \partial y \right)_{y=0} \]

\[ \phi'(0) = \frac{\sqrt{x}}{C_w - C_\infty} (Re) \left( \partial C \partial y \right)_{y=0} \]

Where, \( Re = \frac{u_0 x}{v} \) is the Reynolds number.

3. Results and Discussion

The ordinary differential equations (6)-(8) associated with the boundary conditions (9) are numerically solved by employing Nacktsheim's iteration technique together with Range-Kutta shooting method. Since the computational domain in \( \eta \) direction is unbounded, a large enough artificial outer boundary \( \eta_\infty \) (an approximation to \( \eta = \infty \)) must be chosen to represent infinity for the numerical treatment. The numerical simulations suggest that choosing a step size of \( \Delta \eta = 0.05 \) was sufficient to provide accurate numerical results.

Numerical simulations were carried out for
1. Pr = 0.71 corresponds physically to air is chosen.
2. The values of Schmidt number are chosen in such a way to correspond hydrogen (Sc=0.22), water vapour (Sc=0.62) and NH\(_3\) (Sc=0.78) at approximately 25°C and 1 atmosphere.
3. Small value of Eckert number (Ec=0.01) which can be interpreted as the edition of heat due to viscous dissipation.
4. The values of chemical reaction Cr are chosen arbitrary.
5. The rates of reaction for \( n=0, 1, 2 \); represent zeroth-order (\( n=0 \)), first-order (\( n=1 \)) and second-order (\( n=2 \)).

Figures 2-4 show the results for the velocity, temperature and concentration profiles with variation of Schmidt number and order of reaction when Pr=0.71, Ec=0.01 and Cr=0.5. It is noted that the velocity, temperature and concentration profiles are all decreasing as Schmidt number increase for fixed \( n \). On the other hand, the flow profiles increases as order of reaction increases for fixed Sc. Additionally, the thermal boundary layer has larger effect in the region far away from the plate. It is also noted that the concentration (mass) fluids become more influenced by order of reaction and Schmidt number rather than thermal boundary layer fluid flow.
We see that quantitatively, when $\eta = 1$ and $n$ increases from 0 to 2, there are 0.38%, 2.88%, and 16.78% increase in the velocity, temperature, and concentration profiles, respectively. On the other hand, when $\eta = 1$ and $0.22 \leq \text{Sc} \leq 0.78$ there are 0.25%, 2.5%, and 22.78% decrease in the velocity, temperature, and concentration profiles, respectively.

The effect of chemical reaction parameter $\text{Cr}$ and order of reaction $n$ when $\text{Pr} = 0.71$, $\text{Ec} = 0.01$ and $\text{Sc} = 0.22$ on the velocity, temperature and concentration profiles are shown in Figures 5-7. We observe that the velocity, temperature and concentration profiles are decreasing as chemical reaction parameter increases. On the other hand, the flow profiles increase as order of reaction increases.

By comparing figure 4 with figure 7, one can easily see that the concentration boundary layer is wider for $n=0$ than for $n=2$.

Tables 1-3 summarize the calculated values of local skin-friction coefficient ($-f''(0)$), rate of heat transfer ($-\theta'(0)$) and rate of mass transfer ($-\phi'(0)$) for $0.22 \leq \text{Sc} \leq 0.78$, $0 \leq n \leq 2$. These tables indicate that $-f''(0)$, $-\theta'(0)$ and $-\phi'(0)$ are continuously decrease as $\eta$ increase for all values of $n$ and $\text{Sc}$. Moreover, the values of $-f''(0)$, $-\theta'(0)$ and $-\phi'(0)$ are greater in case of hydrogen ($\text{Sc} = 0.22$) than that of water vapour ($\text{Sc} = 0.62$) or NH$_3$ ($\text{Sc} = 0.78$).
Table 1. Zeroth order missing slope for different values of $Sc$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\eta$</th>
<th>$-f''(0)$</th>
<th>$-\theta'(0)$</th>
<th>$-\phi'(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.0026</td>
<td>1.0066</td>
<td>1.0069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.7815</td>
<td>0.7856</td>
<td>0.7859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6094</td>
<td>0.6138</td>
<td>0.6140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.4754</td>
<td>0.4801</td>
<td>0.4803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3711</td>
<td>0.3763</td>
<td>0.3763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.2899</td>
<td>0.2949</td>
<td>0.2952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.2265</td>
<td>0.2317</td>
<td>0.2325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.1712</td>
<td>0.1823</td>
<td>0.1826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1387</td>
<td>0.1437</td>
<td>0.1444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.1086</td>
<td>0.1134</td>
<td>0.1137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.0851</td>
<td>0.0896</td>
<td>0.0899</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. 1st order missing slope for different values of Schmidt number $Sc$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\eta$</th>
<th>$-f''(0)$</th>
<th>$-\theta'(0)$</th>
<th>$-\phi'(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.9998</td>
<td>1.0018</td>
<td>1.0024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.7786</td>
<td>0.7806</td>
<td>0.7812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6064</td>
<td>0.6085</td>
<td>0.6091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.4722</td>
<td>0.4744</td>
<td>0.4751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3677</td>
<td>0.3701</td>
<td>0.3708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.2863</td>
<td>0.2888</td>
<td>0.2895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.2229</td>
<td>0.2254</td>
<td>0.2262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.1736</td>
<td>0.1761</td>
<td>0.1768</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1351</td>
<td>0.1376</td>
<td>0.1383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.1052</td>
<td>0.1076</td>
<td>0.1083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.0885</td>
<td>0.0918</td>
<td>0.0921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.0683</td>
<td>0.0685</td>
<td>0.0665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0496</td>
<td>0.0525</td>
<td>0.0521</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. 2nd order missing slope for different values of Schmidt number $Sc$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\eta$</th>
<th>$-f''(0)$</th>
<th>$-\theta'(0)$</th>
<th>$-\phi'(0)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.9991</td>
<td>1.0008</td>
<td>1.0014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.7799</td>
<td>0.7797</td>
<td>0.7803</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6056</td>
<td>0.6075</td>
<td>0.6081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.4714</td>
<td>0.4734</td>
<td>0.4741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3668</td>
<td>0.3689</td>
<td>0.3697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.2854</td>
<td>0.2876</td>
<td>0.2884</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.2222</td>
<td>0.2242</td>
<td>0.2225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>0.1727</td>
<td>0.1749</td>
<td>0.1757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1342</td>
<td>0.1364</td>
<td>0.1372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.1043</td>
<td>0.1067</td>
<td>0.1072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.0811</td>
<td>0.0831</td>
<td>0.0838</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.0648</td>
<td>0.0655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0489</td>
<td>0.0506</td>
<td>0.0512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.0395</td>
<td>0.0401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.0295</td>
<td>0.0309</td>
<td>0.0314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>0.0229</td>
<td>0.0241</td>
<td>0.0246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0177</td>
<td>0.0189</td>
<td>0.0193</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Conclusions

In this paper, we consider two-dimensional laminar boundary layer flow bounded by stretching sheet in the present of chemical reaction. The transformed similar conservation ordinary differential equations are obtained and solved by Nacktsemwigerter iteration technique together with Range-Kutta shooting method. Numerical results are obtained for the dimensionless velocity, temperature and concentration profiles as well as missing slope for various values of problems parameters. It was found that the flow profiles increases with the increase of order of reaction and decreases with the increase of Schmidt number Sc and chemical reaction parameter Cr. Furthermore the missing slope is larger in the case of hydrogen (Sc = 0.22) than that of NH3 (Sc = 0.78).
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